

CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION COMMITTEE
EVALUATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
ON THE
MARION CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION

Prepared and Submitted by

CIIC Staff

December 29, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION.....	6
INSPECTION PROFILE	
FINDINGS SUMMARY.....	7
INSTITUTIONAL OVERVIEW.....	8
MISSION	
GENERAL INFORMATION	
COSTS.....	9
ESCAPES OR WALKAWAYS	
INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION	
Inmate Population by Institution on October 1, 2005.....	10
PROGRAMS	
UNIT PROGRAMS	
INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS.....	12
RELIGIOUS SERVICES PROGRAMS.....	13
RECOVERY SERVICES PROGRAMS.....	16
OHIO PENAL INDUSTRY PROGRAMS.....	17
EDUCATIONAL/CAREER TECH PROGRAMS	
MEDICAL PROGRAMS.....	19
ORGANIZATIONAL PROGRAMS	
RECREATION DEPARTMENT PROGRAMS.....	20
MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS.....	21
FINDINGS.....	23
ENTRY BUILDING	
INSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS	
VISITATION	
FOOD SERVICES	
INMATE HOUSING.....	24
RECREATION AREA/YARD.....	27
LAUNDRY/QUARTERMASTER.....	28
LIBRARY/LAW LIBRARY	
OHIO PENAL INDUSTRIES	
EDUCATIONAL/VOCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND SERVICES.....	29
Enrollment Data Reported on MCI Monthly Report for November 2005	
MEDICAL SERVICES.....	30
Medical Institutional Statistical Summary	

	PAGE
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.....	33
Programs	
September 2005 Mental Health Report	
Number of Mentally Ill Inmates in Segregation with	
Percentage of Segregation Population that are	
Mentally Ill in September 2005.....	34
Mental Health Caseload System-Wide as of	
September 2005.....	36
Attempted Suicides	
Number of Suicide Attempts from January through	
December 21, 2005 by Institution.....	37
 USE OF FORCE	
Use of Force Incidents Per Month at MCI from	
January through October 2005 with Racial Breakdown.....	38
 CONDUCT REPORTS	
Conduct Reports at MCI from January through	
October 2005 by Race.....	39
 CIIC DATABASE	
CONTACTS	
Number of Contacts Received by CIIC from January 1,2005	
through December 13, 2005 by Institution.....	40
 CONCERNS	
Number of Concerns Received by CIIC from	
January 1, 2005 through December 13, 2005 by Institution.....	41
Number of Concerns Received by CIIC from January 1, 2005	
through December 29, 2005 from MCI by Subject.....	42
 INMATE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE	
 INFORMAL COMPLAINTS	
2004 Informal Complaints Filed by Institution.....	43
Untimely Responses	
Number of Informal Complaints with Untimely Responses	
in 2004 by Institution.....	45
 FILERS	
Number of Inmates Who Filed One or More Grievances	
in 2004 by Institution.....	46

	PAGE
GRIEVANCES.....	47
Number of Grievances Filed in 2004 by Institution	
GRIEVANCE APPEALS.....	48
Number of Appeals in 2004 by Institution	
ORIGINAL GRIEVANCES.....	49
Number of Grievances Filed with Chief Inspector Regarding Inspector or Warden in 2004 with Breakdown by Institution	
OCTOBER 2005 INSPECTOR'S REPORT.....	50
Reason for Unresolved Grievance Dispositions by the Inspector of the Marion Correctional Institution in October 2005	
GRIEVANCE REVIEW: October 2003 – November 2004.....	51
Number of Grievances Filed at the Marion Correctional Institution by Subject from October 2003 through November 2004.....	52
RESOLVED/UNRESOLVED	
INVESTIGATOR CASELOAD.....	53
Number of Investigations Initiated by Investigators in 2004 by Institution.....	54
Staff Misconduct Investigations.....	55
Staff Misconduct Investigations in 2003 and 2004 by Institution	
Staff/Inmate Relationship Investigations.....	56
Number of Staff/Inmate Relationship Investigations In 2003 and 2004 by Institution	
Positive Drug Urinalysis Investigations.....	57
Number of Positive Drug Tests in 2003 and 2004 By Institution	
Inmate/Visitor Drug Investigations.....	58
Number of Inmate/Visitor Drug Investigations in 2003 and 2004 by Institution	
Staff/Inmate Drug Investigations.....	59
Number of Staff/Inmate Drug Investigations in 2003 and 2004 by Institutions	
Drug Investigations Involving Staff.....	60
Drug Investigations Involving Mail Other Drug Investigations	

	PAGE
Searches, Shakedowns: Illicit Pills Confiscated.....	60
Crack/Cocaine Confiscated.....	61
Heroin Confiscated	
Marijuana Confiscated	
Hooch Confiscated.....	62
Major Shakedowns	
Canine Searches	
Employee Strip Search/Pat Downs.....	63
Visitor Strip Search/Pat Downs	
ASSAULTS	
Assault Investigations.....	64
Inmate on Staff	
Sexual Assault.....	65
OTHER INVESTIGATIONS	

CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION COMMITTEE

EVALUATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

ON THE

MARION CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION

INTRODUCTION

This report provides data and information regarding the Marion Correctional Institution gathered from the time of the initial inspection on November 22, 2004 through December 29, 2005. While the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee is charged with inspecting each Ohio prison biennially, the CIIC is also required to evaluate operations, conditions and the grievance procedure. Monitoring and evaluation involve an ongoing, continuous process that begins long before an inspection, and continues long after an inspection is completed. This report presents information specific to the Marion Correctional Institution, as well as comparison data from all Ohio prisons. It includes information and observations from the one-day inspection, as well as information gathered subsequently from the monthly reports for evaluative purposes.

INSPECTION PROFILE

DATE: November 22, 2004
TYPE: Unannounced

CIIC MEMBER AND STAFF PRESENT: Representative Robert Latta
 Shirley Pope, CIIC Director
 Adam Jackson, Inspector
 Carol Robison, Inspector

INSTITUTIONAL STAFF PRESENT:

Christine Money, Warden; Tim Brant, Administrative Assistant for Warden and Prison News Network (PNN) Supervisor; Margaret (Maggie) Beightler, Deputy Warden of Operations; Ken Kopycinski, Deputy Warden of Administration; Cliff Smith, Deputy Warden of Special Services; Steven Brinkley, Inspector of Institutional Services, Ed Castenadea, Health Care Administrator; Randy Fox, Major; Darrell Starcher, Correctional Officer and Union President; R.D. Smith, Unit Four Manager; Michelle Turner, Correctional Officer; Jimmy Harris, Correctional Officer, O Block; Kristen Faine, Recovery Services Supervisor; Jason Bunting, Unit Management Administrator; Jeff Hunsaker, Housing Program Coordinator/Contractor for Horizon Dorm; Taggart Boyd, Unit Management M Block; Thomas King, Librarian; Edith Nchetam, Food Services Manager; Scott Platt and Arden High, Exodus Program Coordinators;

AREAS/ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE INSPECTION:

Visitation Room	Medical Administrative Office
Segregation- O Block	Protective Control- M Block
Inmate Housing- J Block	Horizon Interfaith Dorm
Library/Law Library	Inmate Dining Hall
Laundry/Quartermaster	Exodus Office
LifeLine Computer Training Center	OPI Shops: Sheet Metal, Woodworking
Vocational Shop: Automotive	Prison News Network Offices, Studio
Graphics Department	Recreation
Visitors' Processing Building	Art Room

STATUTORY REQUIREMENT OF ATTENDANCE AT REHABILITATIVE OR EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

The LifeLine Industrial Computer Training Class, Graphics Department and Automotive Technology programs were all observed.

STATUTORY REQUIREMENT OF ATTENDANCE AT GENERAL MEAL PERIOD

The CIIC ate lunch in the inmate-dining hall. The lunch meal consisted of chef/lettuce salad with ham and cheese, or tuna, mashed potatoes, peas, three slices of white bread and butter, chocolate cake and milk.

FINDINGS SUMMARY

Prompt feedback was provided to the DRC Assistant Director regarding the inspection of the Marion Correctional Institution on the day following the inspection. As relayed in that communication, there were more positive aspects observed regarding the Marion Correctional Institution than any other facility inspected since our restart in October 2003. All observations and verbal communication received on site indicate that MCI provides an environment that is safe, secure, and abundant with life-changing opportunities. In addition, the mutual respect between staff and inmates, and the sincerity, commitment, leadership and teamwork among the staff were more evident at MCI than any other facility inspected to date. The combination of these positive factors is believed to be what makes the facility so unique and impressive.

Based on the comments of inmates who spent many years in higher security facilities due to serious behavior problems, and who earned reduction to level two (medium security), they seem to have discovered their unique gifts or talents through the staff and program opportunities at MCI. Other inmates with the experience of being at other institutions commented that it was not until they attended programs at MCI that they were genuinely moved and positively affected. It has been said that, "Programs don't change people – People do." At MCI, the inmate and staff communication indicated that the staff have

made that positive difference. Programs serve as a necessary vehicle, but the extent to which learning and internalization takes place may be more influenced by the sincerity and dedication of staff connected to the programs.

The Protective Control Unit with its freedom of movement within, open cell doors, puppy program, and inmates caring for birds in need, was unlike any other Protective Control Unit in the State. As recently as 2001, inmates in the PC Unit at MCI had a litany of chronic complaints that they communicated to CIIC. In response to the discussion of the contrast between then and now, MCI staff relayed that the PC unit staff have made every effort to maximize positive activities for the PC inmates. It seems to have made a major difference in their ability to adjust and to accept the necessary limitations of PC placement to ensure their personal safety. The environment, atmosphere and interaction in the PC Unit were all regarded as good, and free of tension between inmates and between inmates and staff.

The general population inmates expressed initial complaints about MCI reportedly being operated as if it was a Level Three (Close Security) facility, when in reality it is a Level Two (Medium Security) facility. However, by the end of the discussion, the inmates acknowledged that they like the fact that there are strict rules on inmate movement, because they know that it helps to maintain a safe environment for all.

INSTITUTION OVERVIEW

MISSION:

According to the ODRC Marion Correctional Institution website, the mission of MCI is:

To provide a safe, secure and humane environment for staff, inmates and the community. In addition MCI we will promote professionalism among employees and provide quality services to inmates. In order to prepare inmates for a successful return to the community, innovative programs will be made available.

GENERAL INFORMATION:

Per information from the ODRC website, the Marion Correctional Institution opened in 1954 and sits on 1,032 acres of land. As of November 2005, the population totaled 1,761, with 698 Level 1, or minimum security status, 1,040 Level 2, or medium security status and 23 Level 3, or close security status. The racial breakdown of inmates at the Marion Correctional Institution as of November 2005 totals 947 Black inmates (53.5%), 741 White inmates (41.9%), 79 Hispanic inmates (4.5%) and 3 Other inmates (0.2%). The total of the racial breakdown of inmates shows a figure of 1,770, a slight discrepancy from the reported population of 1,761.

As reported on the website, the total staff at the Marion Correctional Institution is 481. Of that figure, 282, or 58.6% of the total staff are security staff. The Marion Correctional Institution is accredited by the American Correctional Association.

COST:

The Marion Correctional Institution website reports an annual budget for FY 2006 of \$37,346,228. This is a slight decrease from the reported FY 2005 budget of \$37,458,408. Only ten Ohio prisons had budgets larger than the Marion Correctional Institution, ranging from \$38,287,749 at the London Correctional Institution to \$51,693,944 at the Mansfield Correctional Institution. Twenty-one Ohio prisons have budgets smaller than the Marion Correctional Institution, ranging from \$10,548,442 at the Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center to \$37,282,064 at the Belmont Correctional Institution. The Marion Correctional Institution reports an Annual cost per inmate of \$21,105.98 and a daily cost per inmate of \$57.82

ESCAPES OR WALKAWAYS:

According to written information provided on site, there have been no escapes or walkaways at MCI as of December 21, 2005.

INSTITUTION POPULATION:

According to the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections data, as of October 1, 2005, the total inmate population was 44,783 system-wide. The population at the Marion Correctional Institution was reported to be 1,717. The population at the Marion Correctional Institution decreased slightly from October 1, 2004 when their population totaled 1,740. System-wide the inmate population increased slightly from 44,377 inmates in 2004 to 44,783 inmates in 2005.

INMATE POPULATION BY INSTITUTION ON OCTOBER 1, 2005

Institution	Population
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	2,700
Mansfield Correctional Institution	2,362
Richland Correctional Institution	2,287
Noble Correctional Institution	2,254
North Central Correctional Institution	2,246
Ross Correctional Institution	2,191
Belmont Correctional Institution	2,151
Lebanon Correctional Institution	2,126
London Correctional Institution	2,087
Pickaway Correctional Institution	1,985
Madison Correctional Institution	1,936
Ohio Reformatory for Women	1,862
Correctional Reception Center	1,841
Lorain Correctional Institution	1,768
Marion Correctional Institution	1,717
Southeastern Correctional Institution	1,541
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	1,451
Grafton Correctional Institution	1,399
Allen Correctional Institution	1,297
Trumbull Correctional Institution & Camp	1,246
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	1,057
Warren Correctional Institution	1,004
Toledo Correctional Institution	781
North Coast Correctional Treatment	588
Northeast Pre Release Center	583
Franklin Pre Release Center	491
Hocking Correctional Facility	466
Ohio State Penitentiary	404
Dayton Correctional Institution	402
Montgomery Education and Pre Release	337
Oakwood Correctional Facility	116
Corrections Medical Center	107
Total	44,783

PROGRAMS

Based on the Inmate Program Guide of the Marion Correctional Institution provided on July 14, 2004, the following are programs offered at the MCI Main Compound.

UNIT PROGRAMS:

Cage Your Rage- A ten-week course based on dealing with the past experiences in life and growing up in areas dealing with violence and acting out the bad experiences through committing other acts of violence. The program shows one how to deal with the anger that is deep inside of them and uses different techniques in coping with reality and surroundings. The course goes in depth on growing up, dealing with family and creating a calmer atmosphere.

G.O.A.L.S. (Gaining Opportunities and Living Skills)-A program that teaches “10 keys to success” through personal inventory techniques used to increase self-esteem, focusing on the positive points of self, setting positive goals and how to achieve those goals.

Life Skills (Levels I, II, & III)-A program designed to study and introduce skills to help inmates prepare themselves for reintroduction into society, help them with self-esteem issues, conflict resolution, working styles and assertiveness training.

Positive Solutions- A program that challenges inmates to look at things from a different perspective. It is not meant to change values; it is meant to change the way people interpret and think about events. The program is interactive and not lectures. There are role-plays and projects to do in class.

Quest- A well-rounded cognitive learning program for incarcerated populations encompassing Life Skills, Accountability, Responsibility, Anger Management, Relationships, Communication Skills and Parenting. Quest is designed to raise the awareness of participants to develop new patterns of behaviors and patterns of interaction that will be healthy for participants and those with whom they interact.

Resiliency- A sixteen week program with a two week break that deals with bouncing back from adversity about one’s painful childhood experiences.

Opening Doors- A program derived from various conflict resolution programs and modeled after the Help Increase the Peace Project and the Alternatives to Violence Project (AVP). Opening Doors is an intensive learning experience, not a class. Participants do not take notes, complete workbooks or sit through lectures. The success of each workshop is dependent on the group’s energy, participation, honesty and openness. Over the course of three days, the group works on establishing a sense of community where there is trust and respect. The most successful outcome is that this community spirit will be carried outside of the workshop into the MCI population.

Responsible Family Life Skills- This is a parenting program that is conducted in three phases:

Phase I Consists of getting to know yourself, communication, the impact of crime, community justice, repairing family, the cycle of poverty, taking responsibility, the dynamics of the family and culture and morality.

Phase II- Consists of the history of child rearing, stages of child development, growing up drug free, discipline, violence prevention, communication skills, and separation issues.

Phase III- Consists of examining your self-esteem planning for release, communication, dealing with stress, anger management, domestic violence, expression of feelings, balancing responsibilities, relapse prevention and developing a plan.

INNOVATIVE INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMS:

PNN (Prison News Network)- Provides high quality video productions and graphics that addresses viewers needs in order to develop skills, educate, inform and entertain. PNN produces weekly programs for the MCI population. In addition PNN produces many special project videos and print graphics for MCI, DR&C and outside organizations. The program provides support to MCI's staff for in-service training that is unavailable elsewhere. PNN supports staff and inmates with team training presentations such as Power Point, video, poster, banners, newsletters, etc. Support is provided in all areas that are not security related.

Service Dog Training Program- Inmates provide training for dogs for the following purposes and agencies:

- Pilot Dogs are raised from puppies to the age of 12 to 14 months and are then placed with the visually impaired. This is done through Pilot Dogs, Inc. of Columbus, Ohio, which is the largest agency dealing with guide dogs in Ohio.
- Circle Tail Inc. provides dogs that are trained for service and signal work. Dog handlers provide most of the training within the institution, later the dogs are placed and fine trained by the coordinators of Circle Tails.
- Shelter programs provide dogs that are being saved from being euthanized. MCI takes in any dog and readies it for adoption.

My Child and I- A program designed to further increase an offender's awareness of responsibility to the family with positive nurturing and interaction. Approximately 30 inmates, who have gone through a screening process and attended a parenting class, participate in the program. Volunteers from Marion Correctional Institution, outside organizations and churches assist in numerous areas during the program. Families are invited to play games, produce a family video, work with a variety of crafts and share in the enjoyment of reading to each other. Occasional attractions include C.O.S.I., a clown performance or animals from the Wildlife Center and the MCI farm. At each program birthdays missed are celebrated with birthday cakes, ice cream and birthday goody bags. The program has been very successful and well received by the inmates and their families. Each program is centered on a specific theme. The intent of this program is to promote literacy and bonding between father and child/children.

Aunt Mary's Storybook- This is a program in which fathers have the opportunity to record a book for their child or children. Once the book is recorded the tape along with the book is sent to the child without cost. Recordings are made every other Thursday. Community Connections provides the books, tapes and postage to make this possible.

Ohio Reads- A program provided by Community Connections and staffed by volunteers. Each institution has established a Reading Room for visiting children. The children may read with the Reading Aide or choose books to read with their families. In creating these Reading Rooms in every prison we are encouraging and fostering good relationships between the inmates and their children.

Community Service- The Community Service program at MCI is dedicated to supporting the community through volunteerism. MCI works in partnership with local and surrounding areas, and non-profit organizations to help assist with completion of projects through the volunteer labor of inmates. The Community Service program is an excellent way for inmates to “give back” to the community. MCI inmates complete over 250,000 hours of community service annually.

Fathers Reaching Out- MCI is offering a new program designed to build upon and enhance relationships between incarcerated fathers and their children. “Fathers Reaching Out” is a program that provides educationally based interactive learning materials for incarcerated fathers. Fathers are encouraged to use these materials as a tool in their effort to establish an active, supportive and open line of communication with their child(ren) via the mail. The program encourages incarcerated fathers to take responsibility for and become an active part in the parenting of the child(ren).

LifeLine Industrial Computer Training Program- This program offers MCI inmates an opportunity to learn how to use a computer. Participants learn in a self-paced learning environment. When they reach a predetermined competency level they are able to move to the next level or portion of the program.

“A Better Way”- This is a program directed and intended to guide troubled youth to an awareness of the choices and decisions they make in their lives, and the potential consequences of these choices. MCI currently provides this program at the Marion County Juvenile Correctional Facility.

ADAPT (Assistance with Discovering Alternative Problem-Solutions Together)- This is a voluntary process available to inmates in a dispute to discuss and explore problems and solutions.

RELIGIOUS SERVICES PROGRAMS:

Jewish- A contract Rabbi provides services for all Jewish inmates and assists the MCI chaplain regarding their faith and practices. Services include: Torah Teachings, Prayer Services and Shabbat Observance. In addition, all major holidays are observed. The Rabbi is available for counseling and instruction one day each week. Kosher meals are provided to all Jewish inmates through the MCI Food Services Kosher Kitchen.

Islamic- A contract Imam provides services for all Islamic inmates and assists the MCI Chaplain regarding their faith and practices. Services include: Jumma and Taleem weekly services. In addition, all major holidays are observed. The Imam is available for counseling and instruction every Monday and Friday. During Ramadan, special religious diets are provided through the MCI Food Services Department. Halal meals are provided on special occasions.

Jehovah Witness- A volunteer Minister provides services for all inmates of the Jehovah Witness faith and assists the MCI Chaplain regarding their faith and practices. Services are offered on Saturday afternoons.

Wicca- A volunteer High Priestess provides services for all inmates of the Wicca faith and assists the MCI Chaplain regarding their faith and practices. The major Wican feasts are observed on the availability of the High Priestess.

Native American- Services are provided for Native Americans to practice their faith under the direction of the MCI Chaplain. Smudging services are conducted in the MCI Chapel Garden area.

Catholic- A contract Catholic Deacon provides services for all Catholic inmates and assists the MCI Chaplain regarding their faith and practices. Services include: Weekly Mass, Catholic Bible Study, Catholic Inquiry Class and a local Priest offers Reconciliation and Mass the last Wednesday of each month. In addition, all major holidays are observed. The Deacon is available for counseling and instruction every Wednesday and Thursday.

Horizon Interfaith- Inmates of the Jewish, Christian and Muslim faith are housed together and participate in programs specific to their faith, the faith of others and also secular rehabilitative programs. This is a ten-month program that encourages participants to submit to the God of Abraham in the area of relationship to God, to others and to self. Programs include:

Outside Brothers- Program participants are matched up with a mentor for weekly visits.

Faith Specific- Program used to teach participants about their own faith.

Victim Awareness- a ten-week programs that looks at the impact of crime on victims.

Kairos- The Kairos weekend is a total immersion, three and one half days instructional introduction to living in Christian community. After completing the weekend, the participants are offered the opportunity to participate in weekly share and prayer, monthly reunions and semiannual resident instructional retreats. The purpose of Kairos is to establish strong Christian communities among the populations of correctional institutions.

Kairos Outside- A Christian ministry that enables female family members of inmates to participate in a weekend spiritual renewal/retreat in the MCI Interfaith Chapel. It strives to bring healing, reconciliation and forgiveness to the lives of the participants and their families. A team of Kairos Outside volunteers share talks and facilitate discussions that help the participants explore their relationships with God and their families.

Kairos Torch- This is a program of Kairos Prison Ministry designed to meet the needs of youthful offenders early in their incarceration experience. The weekend consists of two and one half days of structured activities and talks with the purpose of introducing God's offer of unconditional Love and Acceptance. After completing the weekend, the participants are offered the opportunity to share weekly with a mentor, attend weekly small share and prayer groups within the Kairos community, attend monthly Kairos reunions and attend Kairos Torch reunions every three months. This program is for male inmates from 18 to 25 years of age.

Alpha- A short course in the relevance of the life and teachings of Jesus and the issues of life application of these teachings. The "Alpha Course" consists of 15 classes, followed by a 9-lesson course called "A Life Worth Living."

Emmanuel Fellowship- This program is offered to inmates that are 50 years of age and older. It offers an ongoing series of course studies focusing on Christian principles and includes life application discussions. The program uses study courses such as: "A Life Worth Living," "Alpha Course," "Experiencing God" and "He Chose Nails."

Ministry of Theater- This community service project is a Christian centered activity, which provides high quality musical plays at Christmas and Easter. Plays and musicals are original, written and arranged by residents of MCI. Participation by actors, singers, musicians, artists and stage crew is open to all inmates in the population and involves over 150 inmates per performance.

MCI Promise Keepers- Promise Keepers is a Christian men's ministry that focuses on each individual's commitment to become "Men of Integrity." Men that accept the call to be Promise Keepers follow what are known as the 7 Promises of a Promise Keeper. These promises center on a commitment to be Godly men. MCI offers a yearly conference that is broadcasted in the MCI chapel live to all who wish to participate. On August 12, 2003 MCI hosted the first live conference held from within a prison. Currently, MCI Promise Keepers offers weekly study/accountability held every Thursday where the group uses Promise Keepers resources. Also, on the last Friday of each month MCI holds a Mini-Rally that reinforces the Promise Keepers conference experience.

Passage- On December 15, 2001 Promise Keepers held its first Passage event. The Passage is offered to 13 to 18 year old males and assists them in exploring their personal passage into manhood. MCI residents who participate in MCI Promise Keepers, along with volunteers and MCI staff travel to a local DYS facility and facilitate the Passage event for 42 incarcerated young men. As a follow-up to this event a 6-week mentoring program is offered, which culminates in a Rights of Passage Celebration. This occurs bi-annually.

Exodus Faith-Based Reentry- The Exodus Program assists MCI offenders in best utilizing MCI programs in preparation for release and then connecting participants to resources that are vital for their successful reentry. It accomplishes this with the

assistance of an inside inmate mentor and an outside faith mentor. The program focuses on three areas:

- 1) **Character-** This area is related specifically to the faith of each participant and adhering to their specific faith teaching,
- 2) **Life Skills-** This area represents programs aimed at preparing each participant for community life (i.e.- budgeting, family life skills, employment skills, etc.), and
- 3) **Transition-** This is the point where each participant is connected with vital resources for transition (i.e.- transportation, clothing, housing, employment, etc.)

*There are many other Religious Services programs offered throughout the year.

RECOVERY SERVICES PROGRAMS:

AA (Alcoholics Anonymous)- AA is an ongoing voluntary self-help meeting for alcohol abuse and dependency recovery issues. It is a 12-step program that finds its strength from the group support that it offers. All inmates are welcome to attend regardless of whether their issues surround alcohol and or/drug abuse or dependency.

NA (Narcotics Anonymous)- NA is an ongoing voluntary self-help meeting for drug abuse and dependency recovery issues. It is a 12-step program that finds its strength from the group support that it offers. All inmates are welcome to attend regardless of whether their issues surround drug and/or alcohol abuse or dependency.

Big Book Study- A voluntary group which meets to read and reflect on the Big Book and how it pertains to the participants and their daily struggles in life. All inmates are welcome to participate in this group.

Residential Treatment- This program is a voluntary, 4-month intensive, in-patient education and treatment program that has been established to help individuals who have drug and alcohol abuse or dependency issues. Individual counseling, education treatment planning, assessment/screening and group counseling are a few on the components of this program. This program is the inmates' full time work assignment.

Aftercare- This is a voluntary recovery group which meets weekly to discuss issues of recovery including family, racism, work, peer pressure and learning to cope with change, This is an open discussion counseling formatted group.

Twelve Step Program- This is a program that takes participants through the entire recovery process.

Individual Counseling- Services are available for inmates who wish to address their own substance abuse issues in a personalized setting. Individual counseling is designed to help

inmates work through emotional and personal issues surrounding their pattern of abuse, history and current conditions.

Mandatory Treatment Program- This program has been established for individuals who test positive on drug and alcohol screenings. Inmates are placed into a sanction program and are required to attend basic drug and alcohol education counseling as part of their program.

Smoking Cessation- This group is a 9-week program that is designed to help participants to stop smoking.

OHIO PENAL INDUSTRY (OPI) PROGRAMS: Ohio Penal Industries provides realistic work programs that enable inmates to develop good work habits and attitudes. A GED or High School Diploma is mandated by current policy for all inmates assigned to OPI programs. OPI is an industrial training program.

OPI/Metal- This is a metal fabrication shop with a cushion room. Many items are made within this shop including locker boxes, nightstands, chairs and more. To accomplish this inmates are trained in many jobs such as material handlers, router operators, drill press operators, assembler, sander and others. This shop provides supervision and training so that inmates can experience a realistic work atmosphere.

OPI/Wood- This is a wood fabrication shop. Many items are made within the shop including desks, chairs, tables and more. To accomplish this, inmates are trained in many jobs such as material handlers, router operators, drill press operator, assembler, sander and others. This shop provides supervision and training so that inmates can experience a realistic work atmosphere.

OPI/Graphics- This is a Computer Aided Drawing (CAD) program, which creates maps, blueprints and other output to meet customer need. Operators are trained on computers in the Windows environment, on CAD software packages such as Microstation, AutoCAD, ArcView and others. Work is supervised by outside contractors. This work is highly marketable for the inmates upon release.

EDUCATION/CAREER TECH DEPARTMENT PROGRAMS:

ABE (Literacy Unit)- Classes consist of reading, math, language, character education and life skills. The classes utilize inmate tutors and classroom teachers to develop students' skills in all areas. Classes are held in 3 and C dorm dayrooms. Once an ABE student attains a 6.1 in reading on the quarterly test, they have completed the ABE program.

Pre-GED/GED (General Education Diploma)- Students in Pre-GED and GED classes study reading, language, math, writing and character education. Life skills studies are integrated in these academic areas as appropriate. When a student reaches a 9.1 reading

level, he has completed the pre-GED component. Students who pass the pre-GED test may complete the paperwork and register to take the GED test.

MTC (Marion Technical College)- Through MTC students have the opportunity to obtain a 1-year and a 2-year Advanced Certificate in Business Management. Those who graduate have knowledge in the formation of different types of business, sole proprietorships, partnerships and cooperations. They are given understanding in management styles, as well as the structure of businesses. They become capable of writing business letters and memos, preparing company books, including federal and state income tax calculations. The course offers studies in various other sectors of the business field, from human resource management keyboarding, oral communication to microcomputer applications.

Laubach Tutor Program- Literacy Unit tutors are trained and certified after completing a 12-hour workshop with emphasis on tutoring literacy in accordance with approved Pro literacy standards. Tutors also receive an OCTA-GONE certificate by the Ohio Central School System that recognizes them as volunteer tutors. These tutors are not only working in the Literacy Unit of MCI, but also in other areas within MCI's Education Department. Inmates must have a GED or diploma and a reading score of 10.0 or higher and an overall score of 7.5 to qualify.

Apprenticeship Programs- The Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections has 31 approved apprenticeship programs. Many of these programs are offered at MCI. Apprenticeship training provides each eligible resident with employable skills in a particular craft and enhances self-improvement and self-esteem.

Auto Mechanics- This program teaches engine performance, alignments, brakes, electrical, air conditioning, and automatic transmissions. An eighth grade reading and math level are required.

Carpentry- This program teaches the basics of framing, window installation, plumbing, roofing, drywall, siding, decks and more. Building maintenance teaches math skills as they relate to the industry. An 8.0 reading and math level are required.

House Wiring- Students in the Electrical Trades program study electrical theory, basic lighting circuits, motor connections, door chimes, low voltage lighting control, electrical service building, conduit installation, cable installation, all facets of residential wiring, and the National Electrical Code. An eighth grade reading and math level are required.

Welding- This program offers all students the opportunity to develop skills needed to enter the welding and cutting field at entry level. Students learn a variety of welding concepts including blueprints, plasma cutting, mig, tig, and arc welding. A 6.5 reading and math level are required.

Production Agriculture- This program provides students with basic skills to gain employment in the agricultural field, covering three major aspects: crops, livestock and equipment. Students will acquire 720 hours of technical and lab times.

Small Engine Repair (Power Equipment Technology)- This program provides students with basic skills to gain employment in small engine repair to include lawn mowers, snow blowers, motorcycles, etc. An 8.0 reading and math level is required.

MEDICAL DEPARTMENT PROGRAMS:

AIDS Awareness- A six weeks program designed to increase an offender's awareness of HIV/AIDS, its social implications, current medical testing and treatment of the disease, as well as lifestyle choices. Twenty to thirty offenders may participate and are led by program facilitators and MCI health department staff.

Annual Health Fair- An annual exposition of medical exhibits promoting good health, as well as, health screening for both offenders and staff.

Certified Courses- Certified courses are offered through the Marion Chapter of the American Red Cross with certified Red Cross offenders supervised by medical staff. A certificate is presented to the offender upon completion of the sessions required. These include:

- Adult CPR (Coronary/Pulmonary Resuscitation)
- Infant/Child CPR (Coronary/Pulmonary Resuscitation)
- First Aid
- AED (Automatic Extended Defibrillator) Training
- HIV/AIDS Awareness

Cancer Support Group- This group is being established and will offer support to each individual offender's needs, education information and a chance to ask questions and share information with each other. There will be monthly meetings for cancer survivors

ORGANIZATIONAL PROGRAMS:

AMVETS (American Veterans) Post 42- The AMVETS is a support organization whose membership consists of honorably discharged U.S. Armed Forces veterans and members known as "Friends of AMVETS" who support the organization. Post 42 holds fund raisers for charitable campaigns and donates the funds collected to organizations such as the Red Cross, Special Wish Foundation and the Open Shelter. At MCI the AMVETS assist the inmate veterans in obtaining copies of the military service verification form (DD214), plant and maintain a "special" AMVETS flower garden, and honorably perform the daily duty of raising and lowering of the US flag. AMVETS created a Memorial Wall in honor of all Ohio military men and women who lost their lives defending the country. AMVETS also provides the AMVETS Against Drugs and Alcohol (AADA) program.

Red Cross- The MCI Branch of the Marion County Chapter of Red Cross was chartered on January 1, 1979 to provide services within MCI. They offer many self-help groups and classes, and offer services to the institution. There are classes and committees for parliamentary procedures, emergency services/disaster action team, rules and by-laws, officers training, membership & funds, public information, community volunteer services, health & safety services, CPR & first aid, public speaking, bio-hazard, shelter-in-place, armed forces emergency services, connection newsletters and general membership.

Toastmasters- Toastmasters is a worldwide organization whose objective is to provide continuous self-improvement in public speaking, listening and leadership skills. The club meets bi-monthly to develop these skills.

NAACP- The NAACP will work to provide interactive social and educational programs that are meant to empower and re-enfranchise the membership through practical knowledge of the political system, voter education and registration and access to a network of appropriate social, spiritual and educational support organizations outside the prison. The NAACP is taking an active role in providing fatherhood programs to enhance the relationship between the men of MCI and their families.

RECREATIONAL DEPARTMENT PROGRAMS:

ADA (American Disabilities Act)- Provides a separate recreation time for inmates with disabilities three times per week. They have full use of the weight cage, basketball court and all other recreation resources.

Art Program- Inmates have the opportunity to express themselves in an artistic manner by creating paintings with oil-base paints, pastels, chalk and acrylics. Participants must buy their own supplies and are permitted to sell their art at an art show, to staff or they may mail them home to their families.

Music Program- Inmates have the opportunity to express their musical talents in this program. There are currently seven different bands, Jazz, Rap, Country, Hip-Hop, House and Gospel. They perform at special functions and conduct concerts.

Model and Craft Program- Inmates have the opportunity to order models and use the recreation department's airbrush for fine detailing. They can make jewelry boxes, clocks, or any other type of wooden craft. Participants must buy their own supplies and are permitted to sell their models and crafts at an art show, to staff or they may mail them to their families.

Carving Program- Inmates have the opportunity to do wood carvings using the recreation departments carving tools. Participants must buy their own supplies and are permitted to sell their models and crafts at an art show, to staff or they may mail them to their families.

MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS:

Stress and Anger Management- A psycho-education group composed of lecture, group discussion and homework assignments that meets on a weekly basis for 10 weeks. Topics of discussion include emotional and physical effects of stress and anger on the body, sources of anger and the cycle of anger with each inmate identifying their own cycle. Additionally, participants are introduced to various cognitive and behavioral techniques to reduce stress and anger levels, including cognitive restructuring and progressive muscle relaxation.

Medication Education- An eight-week course offered to inmates on psychotropic medication. The course provides information relating to major illness categories and identifies medical, psychological social and legal issues associated with each illness. Medications used to treat the symptoms associated with each illness are described and explained.

Surviving Mental Illness- Schizophrenia- A twelve-week course focusing on theories of schizophrenia, symptoms and illness related problems that develop. Discussions include treatment relationships within hospitals, communities and professionals. Events leading to the recovery and the process of developing an acceptable identity and purpose in life are discussed.

Transitions Group- A weekly program for mental health caseload inmates who projected release date is within 120 days. The goal of this program is to reduce the potential of recidivism secondary to the process of transition. Inmates are provided with community information and resources to assist with their specific needs and are provided an opportunity to meet with other inmates going home as a means of support and encouragement.

Medication Compliance- Conducted on a monthly basis for inmates whose medication compliance was below 80% for the month. The goal of the counseling is to educate inmates about the effects and adverse effects of arbitrarily discontinuing their medication.

Mood Management/Impulse Control- A six-week program that focuses on helping inmates to begin to understand their emotions and to control their impulses. Topics of discussion include a review of the basic history of emotions, an introduction to mindfulness and a guided imaginary exercise. Additionally, group participants are offered one-on-one follow-up consultation regarding group homework assignments.

Sleep Protocol- A ten-week course offered to inmates having difficulty getting adequate rest and sleep. The course provides information on exercise, nutrition, stress, anger, conflict and trust and relates how each affects our ability to rest and/or sleep. The course endorses a healthy sleeping pattern through the practice of medication and self-control.

Victim Awareness/Violent Offender- A twelve-week group that examines the impact that crime has upon its victims. The class uses written exercises and victim impact panels to bring the offender face to face with not just a crime, but a person directly affected by the crime. The class also provides an opportunity for offenders to look at their issues with violence. Group discussions include such subjects as hate/race violence, violence against children and the elderly, domestic violence, fatherlessness, homicide and other subjects related to violence.

PTSD (Post Traumatic Stress Disorder)-A ten-week program that provides inmates with information about PTSD, including possible symptoms. Five aspects of the disorder are addressed including bereavement, victimization, negative intimacy, autonomic arousal and death imagery. This program is appropriate for inmates who have combat experience and/or other military related traumatic experience, as well as, those who have witnessed/been a participant in a traumatic event, or who have been victimized by rape or other forms of assault.

Grief Work- Provides participants with information regarding various perspectives on grief and loss from a historical, psychological and philosophical viewpoint. Members are taught how the process of grief over loss is shaped primarily by the way one sees life in the world and are encouraged to think about life perspectives in relation to the “cave of grief.”

Somatic Group for Depression- To provide mental health caseload inmates with a safe and non-threatening environment in which they can interact with other inmates, recreate and improve their social skills. This is accomplished by walking, basketball, interaction with dogs, small groups discussion and other recreational activities.

Wellness Group (Level I, II)- A twelve-week program offered to assist those who are interested in areas of better parenting, responsibility, communicating effectively, handling grief and loss, confrontation and conflict, support systems, money and time management, humor and coping skills. Artistic creativity is encouraged. Due to interest from the graduating group members, a second level of this group is offered. The opening date of the program was June of 2004.

Dual Diagnosis- A ten-week program offered to inmates that are being treated in both Mental Health and Recovery Services. The course provides information to participants reaffirming that they must consider and actively participate in treatment for all of the disorders that an individual might have. Discussion in this phase also includes managing the prescribed medication properly, and the ill effects of using substances with their prescribed medication. The second phase is treatment related in defining what works best at helping individuals in their attempt to become abstinent from substance use. The third phase covers issues involved with relapse to substance use and the ongoing consequences it brings to their lives.

Emotional Awareness/Emotional Healing- A ten-week course that uses the book “Houses of Healing” as a resource guide. This group discusses and addressed issues such as recovery from childhood wounding, anger and resentment, forgiving self and others, facing guilt, the impact of crime, restoring one’s dignity and spiritual awareness.

FINDINGS

ENTRY BUILDING:

The CIIC security check at the entry building was orderly and systematic. Identification and personal articles were checked quickly, efficiently, and thoroughly. One CIIC staff persons’ name was not on the Officer’s CIIC checklist, though Central Office was previously provided with an updated list for distribution to the Wardens. The area in the entry building was **clean and the temperature was comfortable. Staff were courteous, respectful, thorough and organized.**

INSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS:

Although the CIIC remained indoors throughout the inspection, the institutional grounds appeared to be clean and well maintained as viewed through windows.

VISITATION:

The visitation area at the Marion Correctional Institution consists of a large room with a wall of glass windows, making visitors and inmates observable to staff. The room is filled with rows of chairs, a wall of vending machines and a children’s corner that includes toys and other entertaining items for children. Open visitation is Monday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00am to 3:00pm, and Saturday and Sunday by reservation only. No visitation is permitted on holidays.

FOOD SERVICES:

The food services operation at the Marion Correctional Institution was observed. The lunch meal consisted of chef/lettuce/tuna salad, three slices of white bread and butter, mashed potatoes, peas, frosted chocolate cake and milk. **The flavor of the food was good and the salad was fresh. Food temperatures were appropriately warm, or cool. The food portions were appropriate.** Meals are provided at MCI at an average cost of eighty-five cents per meal.

It was reported that the cafeteria has a seating capacity for 420 inmates, but not every seat is filled at one time. Within the dining hall, **handicapped accessible seating for up to twenty inmates is available.** Inmates are called to the meal by block. The inmates at the lunch meal appeared to be **calm, relaxed and orderly. The dining hall was clean,** with steel tables and benches that were bolted to the floor. Staff relayed that there are generally no complaints about food services.

The food services area was staffed by two Corrections Officer in the dining hall, and two Corrections Officers in the kitchen. The Food Service Manager was introduced during the inspection.

INMATE HOUSING:

J Block

J Block houses General Population inmates, and has a reported capacity to house 116 inmates. Inmates in J Block are double celled, unless they are participating in the dog-training program.

J Block appeared to be notably clean. The block was equipped with three showers. Mounted ceiling fans to provide for air circulation were observed. Mounted mirrors in the corners of the central area of the block provide added security.

The interpersonal environment within J Block seemed to be respectful and pleasant. Communication among inmates and staff appeared to be quite good and there was an element of productive activity prevalent. The Warden explained that in compliance with the **philosophical posture of the institution, the inmates in J Block are kept busy and always learning something.** Through activities such as fundraisers that include sales of pies and *Krispy Kreme* donuts, and participation in special groups such as Red Cross, AmVets, a NCAAP chapter, or Toastmasters, inmates in J Block are encouraged to build hope.

While two inmates complained that the measures of “high security” at MCI caused them to feel as though they were being locked down in their housing unit without the freedom to come and go, neither desired to locate to another institution. If given a choice, both preferred to remain at MCI. In relaying to the Warden the above mentioned, she noted that **keeping the dorms/blocks locked, with only movement out for scheduled activities or on passes, effectively prevents inmates from going out of place to housing units. It is a safety and security measure that positively impacts the environment, which was regarded as relaxed, orderly and productive with absolutely no signs of idleness, except, of course, in segregation.**

The Warden relayed that she and her staff try to exemplify the **philosophy of respect by being accessible and responsive to inmates.** Evidence of this commitment to the goal was observed during an inspection when an inmate approached her and she took time to listen and immediately asked staff to talk further with the inmate. The inmate’s concern was given immediate priority attention from the highest level of administration.

O Block-Segregation

O Block, which houses inmates in Segregation, has a total **capacity of 127 inmates, with occupancy by 58 inmates at the time of the inspection.** It was relayed that the longest time an inmate has remained in O Block was 159 days.

The cells in O Block are not separated by status, such as Security Control, Local Control or Disciplinary Control, but rather the statuses are mixed throughout the range. The status of each inmate is documented in the segregation control center. The name of each inmate is maintained at the entrance of the block, and **a staff contact log is maintained each time staff check on an inmate.** The inmate count is taken six times, every twenty-four hours.

O Block is complete with four ranges with 18 cells per range. The cells are constructed of concrete and used as single-cells units, although a few are outfitted and used as double-bunk cells, with a bolted steel bed, steel sink and toilet. Cell doors are padlocked steel with one 5" by 8" glass window. The food slots are kept locked, except when meals are dispersed. **Inmate porters distribute the meals to the inmates. Staff relayed that the porters do a good job, and that the meal distribution works well.**

All plumbing operated correctly at the time of the inspection. The lighting in the block was subtle, making the interior of the block seem dingy. The condition of the floors, walls and ceiling in O Block appeared to be satisfactory, except for some cells in need of cleaning, and which emitted a foul odor.

The temperature at one end of O Block was notably cool. It was explained that the temperature at that particular end was always several degrees colder than at the opposite end. Upon further inquiry, it was explained that this area was not used unless required to do so, due to crowded conditions of O Block, or if an inmate requested a cooler cell. Reportedly some inmates prefer cooler cells and may be provided with extra blankets as long as they do not put them on the floor to use as makeshift rugs. One of the cooler cells, #19 was entered and was very cool in temperature. **MCI segregation staff explained that due to problems with the heat vent, this cell and those in the same area are not heated adequately.**

The noise level in the block was moderate. During the inspection, one inmate was quite loud on the upper range. Except for this one inmate, all inmates in segregation **were calm, with most asleep.**

Staff discussed the recreation and shower schedule. **Inmates may clean their cells during the shower schedule, which is five times per week. Staff noted that each time an inmate is out of his cell for a shower, the officers shake down the cell to identify and remove any contraband, and the porter assists with cleaning. Inmate porters who assist with the maintenance of O Block consider it a privilege to work in that area.**

Reportedly, there are three officers on first and second shifts and two officers on third shift in the segregation unit. A Supervisor is reportedly assigned only on first shift.

Eight recreation cages were observed in the center of O Block, as were outdoor recreation cages with dip bars. One or two inmates at a time are given **one-hour recreation periods five times per week**. Staff relayed that in the past, bicycles were provided for inmates, but the inmates tore up the equipment and it had not been replaced at the time of the inspection.

M Block-Protective Control

M Block houses inmates who have been placed in Protective Control. **M Block has a capacity for up to 74 inmates, but at the time of the inspection, there were 47 inmates in the block.** Inmates are housed on four sides, two upper and two lower ranges. Each cell in M Block comes equipped with a bed, toilet, sink, bedside stand, television, writing table, and a set of shelves. The cells are double bunked, but most inmates in the block were living single celled because the block was not filled to capacity.

Within M Block are rooms designated for special purposes, a program room, library, and an art room. Inmates receive specific programming, such as conflict resolution counseling in the program room. For additional programming, inmates go outside to other programming areas. Inmates in M Block are permitted recreation three times a day in the gym, or in the yard at MCI. Also, within the central area of the block was a payphone, in use by an inmate during the inspection.

Inmates in the Service Dog Training Program have single cells within the block. There were **five inmates with dogs in the Pilot Dog Training Program** on the lower range of M Block. The dogs are provided to MCI via the Humane Society. An inmate participating in the program noted that participating in the dog program meant a great deal to him, and that he never had a dog on the outside. Another inmate was observed **with two cockatiels and one parakeet** on his shoulder. Staff explained that the birds are part of another community service project to assist birds with special needs.

A-1 Dorm- Horizon Inter-Faith Dorm

The housing arrangement in A-1 dorm is designed to **encourage community among inmates through sharing a common space and requiring development and improvement of interpersonal skills and definition of personal character attributes.** MCI inmates of various faiths, including Muslim, Jewish and Christian, live together in a dormitory and participate in programs designed to deepen their faith commitment while becoming more knowledgeable and tolerant of other faiths.

The dorm was clean and the room temperature was comfortable. Inmates were calm, content and comfortable in responding to questions. It was relayed that there are 44 inmates assigned to the dorm, which is partitioned off into cubes, with six men per cube, three beds on each of the two sides of the cube. **There was ample space between**

the beds, so that the environment was pleasant and certainly not crowded. Each cube has inmates of similar faiths, who work together on **the goal of coming together as a “family.”** Each inmate had a small portable television with headphones.

Inmates explained that they must attend the Kairos program before they can apply for acceptance into the Horizon Inter Faith Dorm. An inmate commented that MCI has the best opportunities for programs, and that at MCI all inmates in the dorm have faith, and want to learn about other faiths.

A table was observed set up in the middle of one of the cubes. On the table were snowmen, bears, trees and stars, which had been traced and cut from bright construction paper and decorated with glitter. In the center of each was a white cardboard insert to hold a photograph. Staff and inmates explained that the Christmas decorations were for an upcoming program, “Kairos Outside.” It was described as a retreat for any female loved one chosen by the participating inmate. Staff relayed that the inmates most often pick their mother. The inmate and visitors attend the program from 8 am to 8 pm, both Saturday and Sunday.

Recreation Area/Yard

The single recreation yard at MCI serves all inmates. The indoor recreation was observed during the inspection. The Recreation Supervisor coordinates all recreation activities and services. The recreation staff consists of the Recreation Supervisor, two Recreation Therapists, and four Corrections Officers. There are reportedly always four Corrections Officers per shift. Otherwise, the Supervisor and Recreation Therapists work on varying shifts.

The visible features of the gym included a scale, scoreboard, full basketball court, weight room, two foosball tables, and a pull-up/chin-up bar. **The gym was well ventilated.** Within the indoor recreation area there were weight cages and bleachers. **During the inspection, many inmates were actively participating in the activities in the gym. Some were playing basketball and others were using exercise equipment.** All of the recreation cages positioned along one wall of the gym were in use with inmates using the equipment for workouts. During the inspection, two inmates were reading the Koran while sitting on the bleachers at the end of the gym.

Based on written material provided in follow-up to the inspection on November 23, 2004, in the warmer months, the Recreation Department provides: **Handball, Racquetball, Softball, Calisthenics, Track for running, Basketball, and a weight cage indoors.** Programs include: **ADA and 50 and Over, and an Abs Class** on Monday, Wednesday and Friday. In the cold weather months, the Recreation Department offers: **Inside basketball, Racquetball league, Handball league, and Volleyball.** There are also **monthly tournaments such as bingo, various skill challenges, as well as tournaments for chess, spades, trivial pursuit and other board games.** A music program provides **guitar classes, sound classes and practice for institutional bands.** An art and woodcraft room may be used to make personal projects as well as projects for

community service, sales to staff/visitors, and at auctions. Recreation provides sales programming for the Main stockade, MCC and M-Block. **M-Block has a mini-yard as well as an internal arts and crafts room, plus access to dip and pull up bars, and access to the main recreation for two hours per day.** Inmates at the Marion Correctional Camp (MCC) have their own yard with putt-putt, bocce ball, softball and basketball. Inside, they have weight and callisthenic areas, as well as a music program. They also have ping-pong, pool tournaments and board games.

Laundry/Quartermaster

A view of the laundry and quartermaster area was included during the inspection. Several inmates were engaged in the work area, which appeared to be **quite clean, and not crowded.**

Library/Law Library

The library at MCI was quiet with no inmates either reading or serving as library aides at the time of the inspection. **Fifteen inmate library aides, who work five days per week during two sessions per day, serve the needs of the institution's library services. Making use of aide's schedules, the library was, at the time of the inspection, open on the weekends.**

The library was **clean and orderly**, consisting of one room with tables, chairs and stacks filling most of the space. The reference section is contained within one wall with another internal wall separating it from other materials. The law library/legal section, containing the Administrative Rules, is at one far end of the central area.

Ohio Penal Industries (OPI)

According to the OPI Correctional Industries website, Ohio Penal Industries ("OPI") is a division of the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, dedicated to preparing prisoners for life after incarceration by providing industrial training and instilling positive work habits. It is further reported that OPI works with institution staff to ensure that inmates learn the importance of employee accountability, pride in job performance, the ability to work with peers, and to work productively under strict supervision.

On the Marion Correctional Institution website, the **Wood Furniture Shop and the Metal Fabrication Shop are listed as current OPI shops at MCI as of December 2005.** The Sheet Metal Shop and Wood Furniture shops were observed. Inmates were making chairs, refinishing old wooden chairs from the Ohio Statehouse and making flag cases. The Staff showed large sheets of plexiglass with the names of veterans from each county in Ohio who were killed in war. The sheets, along with a plexiglass drawing of bronze soldiers in action were to be used for a memorial on the grounds.

At the time of the inspection, **75 inmates were assigned to the wood furniture shop**. It was reported that no Correctional Officers are assigned to the area; rather OPI Supervisors and/or Instructors are assigned to the shops.

The OPI areas observed were **clean and orderly**. Except for a few inmates taking a brief break, the inmates were **actively involved in their work, whether operating machines making steel hardware for chairs, sanding down wooden chair legs, or tracing, cutting and sewing leather like vinyl to make office chair cushions**. Neither the inmates nor staff expressed any concerns. **Both staff and inmates seemed to be proud of their area and of their work.**

Educational/Vocational Programs and Services

Educational Programs include **Adult Basic Education (ABE), Pre-GED, and GED (High School) level courses, as well as college course through Marion Technical College**. The Career Tech school portion includes **Administrative Office Technology, Auto Mechanics, Carpentry, House Wiring, Welding, Production Agriculture and Small Engine Repair**. The following is the Ohio Central School System Monthly Enrollment Report for November 2005.

Enrollment Data Reported on MCI Monthly Report for November 2005

Academic	November 2005	Under Age 22	Year To Date Enrollment	Waiting List*	Completers Year To Date**
Literacy	96	1	129	175	6
Pre-GED	86	7	138	89	19
GED Evening	40	0	141	0	19
GED	38	0	56	94	14
ABLE	0	0	0	0	0
HS OR HS Options	0	0	0	0	0
Total	75	9	235	79	56

*Waiting List refers to requests for enrollment, not yet participating.

**Year To Date is the cumulative total from the fiscal start on July 1. Data was extracted from the Year to Date totals for the June 2005 reports.

The **Graphics Department** was observed where two artistically talented inmates were working at computers. In this educational area inmates make pictures and designs for calendars, and bookmarks, and update the inmate medical service manual.

An **Automotive Technology program** is also offered at MCI. Per course requirements, the program requires 720 hours to complete and at the time of the inspection, **14 inmates were enrolled, with an additional estimation of 300 on the waiting list**. A GED is the

academic prerequisite, and an education certificate from the Ohio Department of Education is issued to inmates upon completion of the program. At the time of the inspection, no inmates were working on any automotive projects. However, a member of the MCI vocational education staff was there to answer any questions.

MEDICAL SERVICES:

The Medical Services area was observed, and the Health Care Administrator (HCA)/Psychiatric nurse was introduced. Rather than separate examination rooms, separate patient examination tables were located within the medical reception area. **The area was clean and very orderly.**

The HCA explained that comprehensive medical services are available twenty-four hours a day, seven days per week. Sick call requests are picked up daily, and the response time to inmate medical needs is reportedly less than forty-eight hours between an inmate's request, referral to see a doctor, and the appointment with the doctor. Medical emergency responses are made to inmates within four minutes.

Staff in medical services reported that complaints they receive revolve mostly around the co-pay policy, especially for those inmates that frequent medical services. Medical services staff relayed that there is no co-pay for mental health services. Furthermore, it was relayed that more staff, especially nurses, could always be utilized for each shift. According to the websites of December 2005, there are two unfilled nursing positions at MCI.

Medical Institutional Statistical Summary

In a review of the October 2005 Medical Institution Statistical Summary reported to the ODRC, the following is an examination of the hours that each staff person, both civil and contractual, worked in the month.

Hours of MCI Civil Service Staff in October 2005

RN	1,317.00
Pharmacy Technician	164.25
HCA	160.00
Clerical	144.00
LPN	139.00
X-Ray Technician	80.00
Physician	0
Dentist	0
Ancillary Dental Staff	0
Pharmacist	0
Lab Technician	0
Hospital Aide	0
Total Hours Logged	2004.25

Hours of MCI Contractual Staff

LPN	401
RN	294
Ancillary Dental Staff	143
Physician	0
Dentist	0
Pharmacist	0
Pharmacy Technician	0
X-Ray Technician	0
Lab Technician	0
Clerical	0
Total Hours Logged	838

According to Pharmacy data for the month, the following is reported:

Prescriptions Filled at MCI in October 2005

New Prescriptions	2,206
Prescription Refills	2,035
Psychotropic Prescriptions	671
Controlled Med Scripts	26
Total	4,938

Based on the staffing lists above, no Pharmacist was reported to have logged hours on the civil or contractual staffing list. However, the monthly report provides data on the volume of prescriptions filled on the month, a total of **4,938 prescriptions**. In follow-up communication from ODRC Central Office staff, it was relayed that although the monthly reports were not filled out correctly, **MCI does in fact have a half time Pharmacist plus a Pharmacy Technician on contract, and a civil service Pharmacy Technician**. It was noted that in Ohio prisons that have pharmacies, **only the Pharmacist has access to the key to the pharmacy**, except for the Health Care Administrator who may have access in an emergency. It was relayed **that Pharmacy Technicians never work by themselves, but are always with a Pharmacist**. It was also clarified that some institutions, such as the Toledo Correctional Institution, have no pharmacy. Their prescriptions are filled via mail order and Pharmacy Technicians check the orders on receipt.

PRIMARY HEALTH CARE:

Intake Screenings

According to the monthly report, in October 2005, intake screenings by Nurses and a Physician were provided to 164 inmates.

Sick Call

Also per the monthly institutional report, Nurse's Screenings for General Population inmates were primarily on the First Shift, with 389 reported in October 2005. The remainder consisted of 94 on Second Shift and zero (0) on Third Shift. **A total of 483 inmates were seen on Nurses Sick Call in the month. Of that number, 312 inmates were referred to a Physician.**

Physician appointments for Doctor's Sick Call consisted of 392 scheduled visits, with fifteen "no-shows," and fifty-five emergent add-on appointments.

Segregation Screenings for Nurses Sick Call in the month totaled zero on First Shift, ten on Second Shift, and zero on Third Shift for a total of **ten from all three shifts**. In the month of October there were **eight on-site segregation visits by the Physician**.

Emergency Triage

According to Emergency Triage statistics, a **Nurse screened 146 inmates, and a Physician treated ten inmates** on site at the Marion Correctional Institution. Seventeen inmates were sent to the local Emergency Room, and nine inmates were sent to the Ohio State University Emergency Room.

Infirmary

Regarding Infirmary Care, 34 infirmary bed days were reported in the month, with 32 used for medical purposes, and two used for mental health purposes.

Dental Care

In October 2005, Dental Care was provided to 221 inmates who were seen by appointment. An additional sixteen inmates were seen on an emergent basis, with a total of 237 inmates seen.

Specialty Care

Regarding on site Specialty Care via Telemedicine, 26 inmates were provided services in October 2005 as follows:

Specialty Care on Site- Telemedicine

Other	10
Internal Medicine	8
Infectious Disease	5
Cardiovascular	2
Surgery	1
Nutrition	0
Total	26

According to the monthly statistical report, the Optometrist saw 54 inmates in the month. It was also reported that the Podiatrist saw 70 inmates in the month.

Infectious Disease Data

The October medical report data shows that **1,454 inmates and zero staff were given a TB test in the month, with six positive test results. Reportedly, there are 18 inmates on INH and no inmates at MCI have TB disease. According to the report, 26 inmates at MCI were HIV positive in the month. Eight inmates were reported to be on Protease Inhibitors.**

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES:

Programs

MCI offers inmates under Mental Health Services a multitude of programs, including: Stress and Anger Management, Medication Education, Surviving Mental Illness, Transitions Groups, Medication Compliance, Mood Management/Impulse Control, Sleep Protocol, Victim Awareness/Violent Offender, PTSD, Grief Work, Somatic Group for Depression, Wellness Group (Level I, II), Dual Diagnosis and Emotional Awareness/Emotional Healing.

September 2005 Mental Health Report

The September 2005 mental health monthly report provides information on the number of inmates in segregation and the number in segregation that are on the mental health caseload. **According to the report, there were 42 inmates in segregation at the Marion Correctional Institution, with 11, or 26 percent of the inmates in segregation on the caseload. This figure was about midpoint as far as number of inmates/percentages of inmates in segregation on the caseload.** The table below shows the number and percentages of mentally ill inmates in segregation for all Ohio prisons.

**Number of Mentally Ill Inmates in Segregation with Percentage of Segregation Population that are Mentally Ill
in September 2005**

PRISON	NUMBER OF MENTALLY ILL INMATES IN SEGREGATION	PERCENTAGE OF INMATES IN SEGREGATION WHO ARE MENTALLY ILL
Northeast Pre-Release	3	100%
Chillicothe CI	37	47%
Allen CI	16	46%
Ohio Ref for Women	31	46%
Warren CI	34	38%
Trumbull CI	18	37%
Richland CI	21	36%
S. Ohio Correctional	24	35%
S. Eastern CI	24	31%
London CI	22	29%
Grafton CI	7	28%
N. Central CI	17	27%
Marion CI	11	26%
Ross CI	31	24%
Madison CI	14	23%
Lebanon CI	32	23%
Correctional Reception Center	7	23%
Mansfield CI	19	21%
Pickaway CI	12	16%
Toledo CI	8	16%
Noble CI	12	15%
Belmont CI	0/13*	0/15%*
Lake Erie CI	6	14%
North Coast CTF	1	13%
Lorain CI	2	6%
Ohio State Pen	1	5%
Hocking CF	0	0
Dayton CI	0	0
Montgomery EPRC	0	0
Corrections Medical Center	0	0
Franklin PRC	0	0
TOTAL SYSTEM	410 (423)**	27%

*The system wide monthly report shows Belmont CI with zero in segregation for the month, zero on the caseload in segregation, and zero percent in segregation on the caseload. Per phone inquiry to the institution, it was relayed that their reports show that there were 86 inmates in segregation at the end of the month, and 13 inmates on the mental health caseload in segregation at the end of the month. Clearly there is a need for careful monitoring of the accuracy of the BMH data. Only the errors that were immediately apparent are noted in this section, after contacting the BMH and the pertinent institutions.

** The total in the report is cited as 410, but the new total with the 13 added from Belmont CI is 423.

System-wide mental health data from September 2005 was also reviewed. **With a population of 1,719 in September 2005, there were 240 inmates on the “Total Caseload,” comprising fourteen percent of the total population. The Marion Correctional Institution reported having 84 inmates classified as C1, or “seriously**

mentally ill.” They had 117 inmates classified as C2, or on the Psychiatric Caseload, but not “seriously mentally ill,” and 39 inmates classified as C3, or on the general Mental Health Caseload, with a mental health diagnosis and treatment plan. The Psychiatric Caseload is comprised of inmates classified as C1 and C2, thus the total Psychiatric Caseload at MCI in September 2005 was 201. The Total Mental Health Caseload contains all C1, C2 and C3 inmates, making the Total Caseload 240.

For comparative purposes, the Ohio Reformatory for Women had the highest total Psychiatric Caseload (C1+C2), with 656 inmates. The institution population for ORW in September 2005 was 1836, thus 35.7 percent of the inmates at ORW are on the Psychiatric Caseload. The Chillicothe Correctional Institution ranked second highest, first among the male institutions with a total Psychiatric Caseload of 546. The population at CCI in September 2005 was 2,686, thus 20.3 percent of the population was on the Psychiatric Caseload. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 20th in Psychiatric Caseload.**

Mental Health Caseload System-wide as of September 2005.

Institution	Total Psych Caseload	Total Caseload	C1	C2	C3	Institution Population	RTU Population
Ohio Ref for Women	656	751	356	300	95	1,836	40
Chillicothe CI	546	564	356	190	18	2,686	0*
Mansfield CI	375	483	165	210	108	2,346	0
Noble CI	356	409	280	76	53	2,230	0
Pickaway CI	324	331	173	151	7	1,944	0
Richland CI	319	359	160	159	40	2,259	0
Belmont CI	312	412	216	96	100	2,162	0
Corr. Reception	301	314	166	135	13	1,845	78
Allen CI	289	336	188	101	47	1,305	69
Madison CI	286	333	172	114	47	1,923	0
S. Ohio Corr	285	308	133	152	23	1,058	54
Southeastern CI	282	297	100	182	15	1,544	0
Trumbull CI	281	308	110	171	27	1,247	0
N. Central CI	280	327	95	185	47	2,378	0
Lebanon CI	271	323	131	140	52	2,113	0
NE Pre Release	245	279	125	120	34	562	0
London CI	243	311	108	135	68	2,019	0
Warren CI	243	254	184	59	11	1,003	57
Ross CI	232	285	123	109	53	2,205	0
Franklin PR	213	221	124	89	8	473	0
Marion CI	201	240	84	117	39	1,719	0
Grafton CI	195	205	154	41	10	1,389	63
Lake Erie CI	177	204	98	79	27	1,460	0
Lorain CI	146	159	80	66	13	1,759	0
Hocking CF	97	97	55	42	0	464	0
Toledo CI	80	96	26	54	16	776	0
Oakwood CF	54	58	52	2	4	116	0
N. Coast CTF	44	85	18	26	41	594	0
Corr Medical C	30	30	23	7	0	116	0
Ohio State Pen	4	5	1	3	1	410	0
Dayton CI	0	4	0	0	4	403	0
Montg. Educ/PR	0	5	0	0	5	338	0
TOTAL	7,367	8,393	4,056	3,311	1,026	44,682	321*

*Zero population was reported for Chillicothe Correctional Institution's Residential Treatment Unit population, which is definitely inaccurate. The BMH staff communication relayed that they compile the data based on data entered by the institutions on DOTS (Departmental Offender Tracking System). In the previous errors noted above, the institutions insist that their data is accurate as entered on DOTS.

Attempted Suicides

As of December 21, 2005, the Marion Correctional Institution logged one suicide attempt in 2005. This single attempt occurred in November. There was one attempt made at eight other Ohio prisons. The highest number of attempts occurred at the Correctional Reception Center, at 26, while five prisons reported no suicide attempts in the year. There were 133 suicide attempts made in Ohio prisons as of the above

mentioned date, of which the smallest number of attempts occurred in May, with seven, and the highest number of attempts occurred in August, with 20.

Number of Suicide Attempts from January through December 21, 2005 by Institution

Institution	Number
Correctional Reception Center	26
Ohio Reformatory for Women	15
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	14
Southeastern Correctional Institution	9
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	8
Toledo Correctional Institution	6
Oakwood Correctional Facility	6
Madison Correctional Institution	5
Mansfield Correctional Institution	5
Ohio State Penitentiary	4
Lebanon Correctional Institution	4
Richland Correctional Institution	4
Ross Correctional Institution	4
Trumbull Correctional Institution	3
North Central Correctional Institution	3
Pickaway Correctional Institution	3
London Correctional Institution	2
Grafton Correctional Institution	2
Lorain Correctional Institution	2
Allen Correctional Institution	1
Belmont Correctional Institution	1
Dayton Correctional Institution	1
Franklin Pre Release Center	1
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	1
Noble Correctional Institution	1
Northeast Pre Release Center	1
Marion Correctional Institution	1
Corrections Medical Center	0
Hocking Correctional Facility	0
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	0
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	0
Warren Correctional Institution	0
Total	133

USE OF FORCE:

In the CIIC Biennial Report of January 2005, a breakdown of use of force incidents by institution for November 2004 was provided. Although six institutions provided no data, the remainder ranged from no incidents at the Franklin Pre-Release Center, to the high of 40 at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility.

The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 13th with three Use of Force incidents in the month of November 2004, along with Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center, Ohio Reformatory for Women and Trumbull Correctional Institution. All three

Use of Force incidents involved Black inmates, with each incident logged as “Slight Use of Force,” needing no further investigation.

An evaluation of the most current Use of Force data was completed. The following data was taken from monthly reports from MCI from January 2005 through October 2005

**Use of Force Incidents Per Month at MCI from
January through October 2005 with Racial Breakdown**

USE OF FORCE INCIDENTS INCLUDING “SLIGHT FORCE”					USE OF FORCE COMMITTEE INVESTIGATIONS			
MONTH	Black	White	Other	Total	Black	White	Other	Total
January	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
February	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
March	16	1	0	17	1	0	0	1
April	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0
May	5	3	0	8	0	1	0	1
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	4	0	1	5	1	0	0	1
August	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
September	8	2	1	11	0	0	1	1
October	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0
Total	52	9	2	63	2	1	1	4
Percent	82.5%	14.3%	3.2%	100%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100%
Average Per Month	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0
Monthly Range	0-16	0-3	0-1	0-17	0-1	0-1	0-1	0-1

*For the table above, the Use of Force incidents, including “Slight Force” are a total of all Use of Force incidents from that month. The Use of Force Committee Investigations column shows how many of all Use of Force Incidents went on to the investigation stage. For example, in the month of March, of the 16 Use of Force incidents involving a Black inmate, one went on to the Use of Force Committee for further investigation.

The above MCI use of force data from January through October 2005 shows that in all, there were **63 use of force incidents at MCI in the ten-month period, ranging from zero to 17 incidents per month, with an average of six per month.** March had the largest number of incidents at 17, followed by September with 11 incidents. **Of the 63 use of force incidents, only 4 incidents (6.3 percent) were referred to the Use of Force Investigating Committee to determine if the force was authorized and not excessive.**

CONDUCT REPORTS:

The MCI monthly use of force data includes the number of disciplinary reports with a breakdown by race of the inmate who received the conduct report. A review of data from the reports for January through October 2005 was made with the following results:

Conduct Reports at MCI from January through October 2005 by Race

Month	Black	White	Other	Total
January	389	201	20	610
February	393	177	11	581
March	426	220	11	657
April	511	261	15	787
May	441	226	10	677
June	302	169	6	477
July	386	167	12	565
August	417	210	15	642
September	453	200	14	667
October	372	180	18	570
Total	4,090	2,011	132	6,233
Percent	65.6%	32.3%	2.1%	100%
Monthly Average	409	201	13	139
Monthly Range	302-511	167-261	6-20	477-787

System-wide data on conduct reports by race at each institution in November 2004 was provided in the CIIC Biennial Report. During that period, with 23 prisons reporting, there were 7,935 conduct reports written, an average of 345 per prison. The specific number at each prison ranged from a low of 13 at the Hocking Correctional Facility to a high of 1,139 at the North Central Correctional Institution. **The Marion Correctional Institution did not report for the month of November 2004.**

CIIC DATABASE:

Contacts

The CIIC database for January 6, 2004 to January 12, 2005 shows that 52 contacts were received from or regarding the Marion Correctional Institution in the period. A total of 1,803 contacts were received system-wide. **Contacts regarding MCI comprised 2.9 percent of all contacts.** The largest number of contacts in the period was from or in regard to the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, with 292 contacts comprising 16.2 percent of the contacts.

A review was made of the number of contacts received by CIIC system-wide from January 1, 2005 to December 13, 2005. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 5th with 92 contacts, along with the Chillicothe Correctional Institution.** A larger number of contacts were received from four other Ohio prisons, ranging from 95 contacts from or regarding the Warren Correctional Institution, to 255 contacts from or regarding the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. **Twenty-eight Ohio prisons had fewer contacts than the Marion Correctional Institution,** ranging from no contacts from the Franklin Pre Release Center and Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center, to 91

from the Lebanon Correctional Institution. **The CIIC received a total of 1,705 contacts system-wide, with MCI comprising 5.4 percent of all contacts.**

Number of Contacts Received by CIIC from January 1, 2005 through December 13, 2005 by Institution

INSTITUTION	# of CONTACTS
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	255
Madison Correctional Institution	105
North Central Correctional Institution	103
Warren Correctional Institution	95
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	92
Marion Correctional Institution	92
Lebanon Correctional Institution	91
Mansfield Correctional Institution	79
Pickaway Correctional Institution	75
Grafton Correctional Institution	63
Correctional Reception Center	56
Trumbull Correctional Institution	53
Toledo Correctional Institution	52
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center	51
Allen Correctional Institution	47
Other	47
Richland Correctional Institution	46
Noble Correctional Institution	45
Ohio State Penitentiary	42
Ross Correctional Institution	38
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	37
London Correctional Institution	31
Ohio Reformatory for Women	27
Hocking Correctional Facility	20
Corrections Medical Center	15
Southeastern Correctional Institution	13
Belmont Correctional Institution	11
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	9
Oakwood Correctional Facility	7
Lorain Correctional Institution	5
Dayton Correctional Institution	2
Northeast Pre Release Center	1
Franklin Pre Release Center	0
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	0
Total	1,705

CONCERNS

The CIIC database for January 6, 2004 through January 12, 2005 shows that the 1,803 contacts system-wide relayed 5,038 problems, issues or concerns in their communication. **The 52 contacts from or regarding Marion Correctional Institution relayed 126 logged concerns, comprising 2.5 percent of the reported concerns.** The largest volume of reported concerns was from or regarding the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, with 845 logged concerns, comprising 16.8 percent of the concerns system-wide.

A review was made of the number of concerns logged from each institution from January 1, 2005 through December 13, 2005. System-wide, 5,707 problems, issues or concerns were reported to the CIIC. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 7th with 286 concerns, or 5.0% of all concerns.** Six Ohio prisons had a larger volume of reported concerns than the Marion Correctional Institution, ranging from 287 at the Madison Correctional Institution, to 930 at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. **Twenty-seven Ohio prisons had fewer reported concerns than MCI,** ranging from no concerns at the Franklin Pre-Release Center and Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center, to 281 at the Northeast Ohio Correctional Center.

Number of Concerns Received by CIIC from January 1, 2005 through December 13, 2005 by Institution

CONCERN	# of CONCERNS
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	930
Warren Correctional Institution	353
Lebanon Correctional Institution	348
North Central Correctional Institution	341
Mansfield Correctional Institution	299
Madison Correctional Institution	287
Marion Correctional Institution	286
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center	281
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	253
Pickaway Correctional Institution	238
Trumbull Correctional Institution	196
Toledo Correctional Institution	183
Grafton Correctional Institution	176
Richland Correctional Institution	152
Allen Correctional Institution	145
Correctional Reception Center	140
Ross Correctional Institution	129
Noble Correctional Institution	128
Other	128
Ohio State Penitentiary	118
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	103
Ohio Reformatory for Women	94
London Correctional Institution	84
Corrections Medical Center	79
Hocking Correctional Facility	70
Belmont Correctional Institution	54
Southeastern Correctional Institution	41
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	26
Lorain Correctional Institution	25
Oakwood Correctional Facility	17
Dayton Correctional Institution	2
Northeast Pre Release Center	1
Franklin Pre Release Center	0
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	0
Total	5,707

From January 1, 2005 to December 29, 2005, a total of 95 contacts have been received by the CIIC from or regarding the Marion Correctional Institution. Of those contacts, a total of 293 problems, issues or concerns were relayed. **The largest category of concerns was Health Care, with 56 reported concerns. The second largest category was the Inmate Grievance Procedure, with 48 concerns. Staff Accountability ranked third in volume, with 43 reported concerns.** There were from 10 to 24 complaints in the following categories: Protective Control, Institution Assignment, Special Management Housing, Other, Force/Supervision, and Non-

Grievable Matters. Other categories ranged from **no concerns regarding Visiting, Records, Library, Commissary, Facility Maintenance, and Safety/Sanitation**, one complaint each regarding Telephone, Recreation, Educational/Vocational, Inmate Groups, Laundry, and Psychological/Psychiatric Services, to a high of seven complaints each in the Personal Property and Mail/Package categories.

Number of Logged Concerns from or Regarding MCI
from January 1, 2005 to December 29, 2005 by Subject

SUBJECT CATEGORY OF CONCERN	NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS
Health Care	56
Inmate Grievance Procedure	48
Staff Accountability	43
Non-Grievable Matters	24
Force/Supervision	18
Other	15
Special Mgmt. Housing	13
Institution Assignment	11
Protective Control	10
Mail/Package	7
Personal Property	7
Security Classification	6
Legal Services	5
Dental Care	4
Religious Services	4
Discrimination	4
Records	3
Housing Assignment	3
Job Assignment	2
Inmate Account	2
Food Services	2
Psychological/Psychiatric Services	1
Laundry	1
Inmate Groups	1
Educational/Vocational	1
Recreation	1
Telephone	1
Safety/Sanitation	0
Facility Maintenance	0
Commissary	0
Library	0
Records	0
Visiting	0
TOTAL	293

INMATE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE

INFORMAL COMPLAINTS:

In 2004, a total of 30,492 informal complaints were filed system wide, from 62 at Hocking Correctional Facility, to the high of 3,432 at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 12th among the institutions, with 1,125 informal complaints filed in 2004.** The breakdown by institution is provided below:

2004 Informal Complaints Filed by Institution

INSTITUTION	INFORMAL COMPLAINTS IN 2004
Southern Ohio CF	3,432
Ohio Ref for Women	2,443
Ohio State Penitentiary	1,938
Mansfield CI	1,693
North Central CI	1,537
Madison CI	1,371
Chillicothe CI	1,206
Lake Erie CI	1,171
Toledo CI	1,153
Grafton CI	1,141
London CI	1,133
Marion CI	1,125
Lebanon CI	1,052
Trumbull CI	1,040
Richland CI	978
Lorain CI	892
Noble CI	888
Pickaway CI	828
North Coast CTF	745
Warren CI	679
Ross CI	614
Belmont CI	609
Southeastern CI	595
Allen CI	519
Warren CI	433
Corrections Medical	252
Correctional Reception	217
Montgomery EPRC	193
Oakwood CF	177
Dayton CI	119
Hocking CF	62
TOTAL	30,492

UNTIMELY RESPONSES:

According to the 2004 Chief Inspector's Annual Report, untimely responses to informal complaints system-wide totaled 4,756. **The Marion Correctional Institution had 194, comprising 4.1 percent of the system-wide total of informal complaints with untimely responses.** Untimely responses system wide ranged from only six at the Hocking Correctional Facility to as high as 1,017 at the Ohio Reformatory for Women.

Although the number of informal complaints system wide decreased by 1,136 from 2003 to 2004, the number of untimely responses to informal complaints increased by 149, from 4,607 in 2003 to 4,756 in 2004. **At the Marion Correctional Institution, untimely responses increased by one from 193 in 2003 to 194 in 2004.**

An Informal Complaint is the first step in using the three-step grievance procedure. If the problem is resolved at the Informal Complaint level, it prevents the need to file a grievance and appeal, saving time and effort of the Inspector, Chief Inspector and inmate. According to Administrative Rule 512-09-31 on the Grievance Procedure, the inmate has 14 calendar days to file an informal complaint from the “date of the event giving rise to the complaint,” and the Staff Supervisor to whom the Informal Complaint is addressed, “shall respond in writing within seven calendar days of receipt of the informal complaint.” If no response is received, the inmate is to contact the Inspector, who “shall take prompt action to ensure that a written response is provided to the informal complaint within four calendar days.” According to the Administrative Rule, if a response is not provided by the end of the fourth day, the informal complaint step is automatically waived.

The Administrative Rule specifies that informal complaint responses should reflect an understanding of the inmate’s complaint, be responsive to the issue, cite any relevant rules or policies, and specify action taken if any. It further states that the Inspector must monitor staff compliance with the informal complaint process, and any pattern of non-compliance by staff must be reported to the Warden for appropriate action. Therefore, the Administrative Rule provides specific directives to the Staff Supervisors to provide thorough responses to Informal Complaints, and further directs the Inspector to monitor staff compliance with the Administrative Rule and to report patterns of non-compliance to the Warden for appropriate action. When an inmate notifies the Inspector of non-response to an Informal Complaint beyond the seven days, per the Administrative Rule, the Inspector must take action to ensure that a written response is provided to the inmate in four calendar days. If the inmate does not receive a response by the end of the fourth day, the informal complaint step is waived, and he may file a grievance. Therefore, the extent to which the responses to Informal Complaints are thorough, timely and actually resolve the reported problem, can greatly benefit the Inspector by requiring less of his time at the informal complaint level, and by actually resolving problems quickly so the inmate has no need nor desire to proceed with a grievance.

On the other extreme, untimely responses to informal complaints can do serious damage to the inmate perception of the grievance procedure. It creates frustration at the very first step, impacts the workload of the Inspector who must monitor and intervene when staff do not respond to informal complaints within the timeline in the Administrative Rule, and involves the Warden who must be alerted by the Inspector to any patterns of non-compliance.

Number of Informal Complaints with Untimely Responses in 2004 by Institution

INSTITUTION	INFORMAL COMPLAINTS WITH UNTIMELY RESPONSES IN 2004
Ohio Ref for Women	1,017
Toledo CI	318
Ohio State Penitentiary	311
London CI	295
Lake Erie CI	256
Madison CI	235
Trumbull CI	203
Marion CI	194
Southern Ohio CF	185
Pickaway CI	185
Mansfield CI	160
Ross CI	155
North Central CI	148
Richland CI	148
Warren CI	121
Southeastern CI	100
North Coast CTF	95
Lebanon CI	91
Chillicothe CI	85
Lorain CI	59
Corrections Medical C	42
Grafton CI	38
Oakwood CI	37
Belmont CI	34
Allen CI	30
Correctional Reception C	29
Montgomery EPRC	29
Noble CI	22
Dayton CI	16
Warren CI	10
Hocking CF	6
TOTAL	4,756

FILERS

In 2004, a total of 3,211 inmates system wide used the grievance procedure, filing a total of 6,303 grievances. **At the Marion Correctional Institution, 145 inmates used the grievance procedure, filing a total of 230 grievances. The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 10th among the institutions in the number of inmates who filed one or more grievances.**

The number of inmates who used the grievance procedure at each institution in 2004 is presented below:

Number of Inmates Who Filed One or More Grievances in 2004 by Institution

INSTITUTION	NUMBER OF INMATES	PERCENT
Southern Ohio CF	249	7.8%
Mansfield CI	224	7.0
Pickaway CI	190	5.9
North Central CI	183	5.7
Lebanon CI	161	5.0
Madison CI	159	5.0
Grafton CI	152	4.7
Chillicothe CI	151	4.7
Ohio Ref for Women	148	4.6
Marion CI	145	4.5
Ohio State Penitentiary	141	4.4
Warren CI	131	4.1
Allen CI	130	4.1
Richland CI	129	4.0
Noble CI	127	4.0
Toledo CI	115	3.6
London CI	101	3.1
Ross CI	84	2.6
Trumbull CI	79	2.5
Belmont CI	72	2.2
Lorain CI	65	2.0
Lake Erie CI	63	2.0
Southeastern CI	59	1.8
Correctional Reception	40	1.2
North Coast CTF	27	.8
Corrections Medical C	20	.6
Montgomery EPRC	15	.5
Oakwood CF	13	.4
Hocking CF	12	.4
Franklin PRC	10	.3
Northeast PRC	8	.2
Dayton CI	8	.2
TOTAL	3,211	100%

GRIEVANCES

In 2004, a total of 6,303 grievances were filed system wide, ranging from nine at the Dayton Correctional Institution, to 656 grievances at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 15th among the institutions, with 230 grievances in 2004.**

Number of Grievances Filed in 2004 by Institution

INSTITUTION	NUMBER	PERCENT
S. Ohio CF	656	10.4%
Mansfield CI	447	7.1
Ohio State Pen	443	7.0
Lebanon CI	351	5.6
N Central CI	346	5.5
Madison CI	307	4.9
Warren CI	295	4.7
Ohio Reformatory for Women	293	4.6
Pickaway CI	291	4.6
Grafton CI	281	4.5
Chillicothe CI	278	4.4
Allen CI	271	4.3
Toledo CI	265	4.2
Noble CI	247	3.9
Marion CI	230	3.6
Trumbull CI	206	3.3
Richland CI	205	3.3
London CI	164	2.6
Lake Erie CI	133	2.1
Ross CI	128	2.0
Lorain CI	89	1.4
Belmont CI	88	1.4
S Eastern CI	77	1.2
N Coast CTF	46	.7
Corrections Medical Center	44	.7
Correctional Reception Center	43	.7
Montgomery EPRC	21	.3
Oakwood CF	13	.2
Hocking CF	13	.2
Franklin PRC	12	.2
Northeast PRC	11	.2
Dayton CI	9	.1
TOTAL	6,303	100%

GRIEVANCE APPEALS

If an inmate is not satisfied with the Inspector's decision on a grievance, the inmate may appeal the grievance disposition to the Chief Inspector. In calendar year 2004, the Chief Inspectors office received 3,005 grievance appeals system-wide, ranging from no appeals from the Dayton Correctional Institution and North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility, to 418 appeals from the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 14th in the number of appeals with 93.**

According to the Chief Inspector's 2003 Annual Report, there were 76 appeals from the Marion Correctional Institution in 2003. The number of appeals increased by 17 in 2004, with a total of 93 grievance appeals received from the Marion Correctional Institution in 2004. System wide, grievances increased by 601 in the two-year period, from 2,404 in 2003 to 3,005 in 2004.

Number of Appeals in 2004 by Institution

INSTITUTION	NUMBER	PERCENT
Southern Ohio CF	418	13.9 %
Mansfield CI	203	6.8
Madison CI	198	6.6
Ohio State Penitentiary	188	6.3
Lebanon CI	181	6.0
Chillicothe CI	175	5.8
Warren CI	160	5.3
Trumbull CI	137	4.6
Allen CI	135	4.5
Noble CI	124	4.1
Grafton CI	123	4.1
North Central CI	120	4.0
Pickaway CI	99	3.3
Richland CI	99	3.3
Marion CI	93	3.1
Toledo CI	87	2.9
London CI	86	2.9
Lake Erie CI	76	2.5
Ohio Ref for Women	75	2.5
Belmont CI	65	2.2
Ross CI	52	1.7
Lorain CI	32	1.1
Corrections Medical Center	31	1.0
Southeastern CI	23	.8
Hocking CF	7	.2
Oakwood CF	7	.2
Montgomery EPRC	4	.1
Correctional Reception	3	.1
Northeast PRC	3	.1
Franklin PRC	1	.0
North Coast CTF	0	.0
Dayton CI	0	.0
TOTAL	3,005	100%

ORIGINAL GRIEVANCES

If an inmate has a problem or complaint pertaining to the Inspector or Warden, the inmate may file a grievance directly with the Chief Inspector. Such grievances are referred to in the Chief Inspector's Annual Report as "Original Grievances." In 2004, the Chief Inspector received 509 original grievances. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 12th in the number of original grievances with 17.**

**Number of Grievances Filed with Chief Inspector Regarding Inspector or Warden
in 2004 with Breakdown by Institution**

INSTITUTION	NUMBER	PERCENT
S Ohio CF	60	11.8%
Trumbull CI	38	7.5
Belmont CI	35	6.9
Mansfield CI	33	6.5
Noble CI	28	5.5
Chillicothe CI	27	5.3
Allen CI	25	4.9
Grafton CI	23	4.5
London CI	20	3.9
Warren CI	20	3.9
Madison CI	19	3.7
Lebanon CI	19	3.7
Ohio State Pen	18	3.5
Richland CI	18	3.5
Toledo CI	18	3.5
Marion CI	17	3.3
Corrections Med Cent	14	2.8
Lake Erie CI	14	2.8
Lorain CI	13	2.6
N Central CI	13	2.6
Pickaway CI	9	1.8
Ross CI	8	1.6
ORW/Women	6	1.2
Southeastern CI	5	1.0
Hocking CF	3	.6
Montgomery EPRC	3	.6
Oakwood CF	2	.4
Franklin PRC	1	.2
N Coast CTF	0	0
Dayton CI	0	0
N East Pre R	0	0
Correctional Reception Center	0	0
TOTAL	509	100%

OCTOBER 2005 INSPECTOR'S REPORT

Grievance data contained in the MCI Inspector's monthly report for October 2005 was reviewed. Filing an Informal Complaint is the first step to using the grievance procedure. According to the monthly report, **154 Informal Complaints were filed in August 2005.** If an inmate is not satisfied with the response to his Informal Complaint, he may file a grievance with the Inspector who may investigate and take or recommend any corrective action found warranted.

Of the 55 grievances that received dispositions in the month, sixteen were classified as "Insufficient evidence to support claim," with "Staff action was a valid exercise of discretion" as the second highest with eleven.

Reasons for Unresolved Grievance Dispositions by the Inspector of the Marion Correctional Institution in October 2005

Insufficient evidence to support claim	16
Staff Action was valid exercise of discretion	11
Not within the scope the grievance procedure	3
Contrary to DRC Policy	1
Failure to use informal complaint procedure	1
Contrary to ORC	0
Contrary to AR	0
Contrary to Institution Policy	0
Not within time limit	0
False Claim	0
TOTAL	32

Of the thirty-two "Not Resolved" grievance dispositions, White inmates filed fifteen of the grievances, and Black inmates filed sixteen of the grievances and an "other" inmate filed one grievance. There was one 14-day extension of grievance decisions and no 28-day extension of grievance decisions during the month.

Of the 55 grievances dispositions in October 2005, there were twenty-three grievances categorized by the Inspector as "Resolved." White inmates filed thirteen of the 55 resolved grievances. Black inmates filed the remaining ten resolved grievances. Thirteen of the twenty-three resolved grievances were categorized as "Problem Corrected." Ten grievances were categorized as "Problem noted, correction pending." No resolved grievances were categorized as "Problem noted, report/recommendation to the Warden."

GRIEVANCE REVIEW: October 2003 - November 2004

System-wide, 7,381 grievances were filed for the time period of October 2003 through November 2004. **There were 247 grievances filed at the Marion Correctional Institution in the same time period.** The number of grievances filed ranged from eight or 0.1% at the Dayton Correctional Institution, to the highest number of grievances filed at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, with 722, or 9.8% of all grievances filed.

Caution should be taken in interpreting the meaning of a large or small number of grievances filed. A large number of grievances could mean that a multitude of problems exist, or that inmates have enough faith and confidence in the grievance procedure that they are willing to use it to relay problems and to seek assistance. Similarly, a low number of grievances could mean that very few problems exist, or that inmates do not use the grievance procedure to seek help with a problems due to lack of faith in the grievance procedure or fear of retaliation for complaining. Therefore, a low number of grievances is not necessarily a positive factor. It can be a cause for concern.

The following information reflects the subject and number of grievances filed at the Marion Correctional Institution from October 2003 through November 2004.

**Number of Grievances Filed at the Marion Correctional Institution by Subject from
October 2003 through November 2004**

Complaints Grievied	Oct 2003	Nov	Dec	Jan 2004	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Total
Health Care	1	6	0	0	4	0	0	3	7	0	1	7	3	8	40
Personal Property	2	1	0	2	1	0	4	1	9	6	0	3	6	4	39
Mail/ Package	2	2	2	3	4	4	3	2	3	2	2	1	0	3	33
Staff Accountability	3	5	1	2	0	1	1	3	2	2	3	4	1	2	30
Force Supervision	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	19
Inmate Account	2	0	0	4	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	14
Non Grievable	3	1	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	12
Education/ Vocational Training	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	10
Dental Care	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	7
Food Services	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	6
Records	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	5
Job Assignment	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Commissary	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	4
Facilities Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
Religious Services	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Visiting	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
Recovery Services	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Housing Assignment	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Institution Assignment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Library	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Discrimination	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Protective Control	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Security Classification	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Safety/ Sanitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Laundry/Quartermaster	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Telephone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
UNRESOLVED	14	16	5	16	10	5	6	11	18	10	12	20	7	11	161
RESOLVED	2	4	2	5	9	3	5	4	15	5	3	6	11	12	86
TOTAL	16	20	7	21	19	8	11	15	33	15	15	26	18	23	247

There were no grievances in the following areas: Psychological/Psychiatric, Inmate Groups, Recreation, Legal Services, and Special Management Housing.

RESOLVED/UNRESOLVED

The ODRC Inspector of Institutional Services Monthly Reports provide three types of “resolved” dispositions and list 10 possible reasons why a grievance was “unresolved.” The following are the three types of resolved dispositions:

- Problem corrected
- Problem noted, correction pending
- Problem noted, report/ recommendation to the Warden.

The following are the possible reasons why a grievance results in an unresolved disposition:

- Contrary to Ohio Revised Code
- Contrary to administrative rule
- Contrary to department policy
- Contrary to institution policy
- Staff action was a valid exercise of discretion
- Insufficient evidence to support claim
- False claim
- Failure to use informal complaint procedure
- Not within the scope of the grievance procedure
- Not within the time limits.

Based on 2004 data, the Marion Correctional Institution ranked 18th system-wide in number of unresolved grievances with 161, an average of 13.4 unresolved grievances per month.

INVESTIGATOR CASELOAD

The Chief Inspector's 2004 Annual Report provides information on the number of investigations initiated by the Investigator at each institution. In 2004, a total of 6,660 investigations were initiated system-wide. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 24th in the number of investigations, with 82 in the year, or 1.2 percent of all investigations system-wide.** The number of investigations ranged from the low of 15 at the Montgomery Education Pre-Release Center, to the largest number at the Ohio State Penitentiary, where 583 investigations occurred. The Madison Correctional Institution ranked second, with 538 investigations.

The number of investigations increased system-wide by 984 from 5,676 in 2003 to 6,660 in 2004. **However, the number of investigations slightly decreased at the Marion Correctional Institution from 83 to 82.** The breakdown of 2004 investigations per institution is provided below:

Number of Investigations Initiated by Investigators in 2004 by Institution

INSTITUTION	2004 INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED BY INVESTIGATOR	PERCENT
Ohio State Pen	583	8.75%
Madison CI	538	8.08
Noble CI	436	6.55
Trumbull CI	416	6.25
Warren CI	357	5.36
Ross CI	352	5.29
Belmont CI	342	5.14
Mansfield CI	293	4.40
N Central CI	287	4.31
Corrections Medical C	270	4.05
Lorain CI	263	3.95
Richland CI	257	3.86
Pickaway CI	256	3.84
Lake Erie CI	240	3.60
Lebanon CI	212	3.18
Southeastern CI	164	2.46
Allen CI	145	2.18
Northeast PRC	143	2.15
Chillicothe CI	142	2.13
ORW (Women)	134	2.01
Correctional Reception Center	123	1.85
Oakwood CF	104	1.56
Franklin PRC	99	1.49
Marion CI	82	1.23
Toledo CI	75	1.13
Hocking CF	69	1.04
S Ohio CF	62	.93
London CI	60	.90
N Coast CTF	57	.86
Grafton CI	43	.65
Dayton CI	41	.62
Montgomery EPRC	15	.23
TOTAL	6,660	100%

STAFF MISCONDUCT INVESTIGATIONS:

According to the Chief Inspector's 2004 Annual Report, there were 242 investigations system wide by the Investigators regarding staff misconduct. **The Marion Correctional Institution had three such investigations in 2004.** There were no such investigations at three prisons, including North Central Correctional Institution. The remaining prisons ranged from two such investigations at the Correctional Medical Center to 37 such investigations at the Ohio Reformatory for Women.

According to the Chief Inspector's 2003 Annual Report, there were 274 investigations of staff misconduct in 2003. Such investigations decreased by 32 in 2004. In 2003, the number of staff misconduct investigations ranged from zero at Mansfield Correctional Institution to 40 at the Ohio Reformatory for Women. **There were four such investigations at the Marion Correctional Institution in 2003.**

System-wide from 2003 to 2004 there was a decrease in the number of staff misconduct investigations from 274 to 242. The following is a breakdown of staff misconduct investigations from 2003 to 2004:

Staff Misconduct Investigations in 2003 and 2004 by Institution

Institutions	2003	2004
Ohio Reformatory for Women	40	37
Oakwood Correctional Facility	34	13
Trumbull Correctional Institution	21	7
Correctional Reception Center	14	15
Pickaway Correctional Institution	13	20
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	13	6
Richland Correctional Institution	11	10
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	10	8
Belmont Correctional Institution	10	6
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	10	6
Corrections Medical Center	9	2
Allen Correctional Institution	9	1
Franklin Pre Release Center	8	14
Grafton Correctional Institution	8	6
Ross Correctional Institution	7	17
Toledo Correctional Institution	7	8
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	7	0
Southeastern Correctional Institution	5	10
Warren Correctional Institution	5	4
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	4	5
Marion Correctional Institution	4	3
Northeast Pre Release Center	4	3
Lebanon Correctional Institution	4	1
Madison Correctional Institution	3	20
London Correctional Institution	3	3
Hocking Correctional Facility	3	1
Ohio State Penitentiary	2	3
Lorain Correctional Institution	2	5
Noble Correctional Institution	2	4
Dayton Correctional Institution	1	0
North Central Correctional Institution	1	0
Mansfield Correctional Institution	0	4
Totals	274	242

STAFF/INMATE RELATIONSHIP INVESTIGATIONS:

According to the Chief Inspector's Annual Report for 2004, there were 186 investigations system wide regarding staff/inmate relationships, ranging from no such investigations at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility and Belmont Correctional Institution, to a high of 21 at the Ohio Reformatory for Women. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported three such investigations, as did the Northeast Pre Release Center, North Central Correctional Institution, Trumbull Correctional Institution, Ross Correctional Institution and the Ohio State Penitentiary.**

There were 229 investigations of staff/inmate relationships system wide in 2003. Such ranged from no such investigations at three prisons (Ross Correctional Institution, Ohio State Penitentiary, and Corrections Medical Center) to a high of 37 at the Ohio Reformatory for Women. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 15th with the Noble Correctional Institution, with only one such investigations at each institution.**

The following is a breakdown of staff/inmate relationship investigations system-wide for 2003 and 2004:

Number of Staff/Inmate Relationship Investigations in 2003 and in 2004 by Institution

Institution	2003	2004
Ohio Reformatory for Women	37	21
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	22	4
Franklin Pre Release Center	18	7
Madison Correctional Institution	14	16
Pickaway Correctional Institution	13	8
Toledo Correctional Institution	13	7
Northeast Pre Release Center	12	3
Allen Correctional Institution	11	6
Mansfield Correctional Institution	9	7
Southeastern Correctional Institution	9	5
Richland Correctional Institution	8	12
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	8	9
Hocking Correctional Facility	7	6
Oakwood Correctional Facility	5	5
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	5	0
Grafton Correctional Institution	4	5
London Correctional Institution	4	4
Dayton Correctional Institution	4	2
Lorain Correctional Institution	3	13
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	3	11
Correctional Reception Center	3	4
Trumbull Correctional Institution	3	3
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	3	1
Belmont Correctional Institution	3	0
Warren Correctional Institution	2	6
North Central Correctional Institution	2	3
Lebanon Correctional Institution	2	2
Marion Correctional Institution	1	3
Noble Correctional Institution	1	2
Corrections Medical Center	0	5
Ohio State Penitentiary	0	3
Ross Correctional Institution	0	3
Totals	229	186

POSITIVE DRUG URINALYSIS INVESTIGATIONS:

According to the 2004 Annual Report of the Chief Inspector, there were 936 positive drug urinalysis tests system wide in the 12-month period. Six institutions had no positive drug test results, including the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, Ross Correctional Institution, London Correctional Institution, Franklin Pre-Release Center, Oakwood Correctional Facility, and Corrections Medical Center. The largest number of positive drug test results occurred at the North Central Correctional Institution, with 202 positives. **According to the Chief Inspector's 2004 Annual Report, the Marion Correctional Institution had 25 positive drug tests investigations in 2004.**

System wide in 2003, there were 898 positive drug tests, ranging from zero at the Corrections Medical Center to 141 at the Ross Correctional Institution. **In 2003, the Marion Correctional Institution only had five positive urinalysis investigations.** The breakdown of positive urinalysis investigations system-wide from 2003 to 2004 is shown below:

Number of Positive Drug Tests in 2003 and 2004 by Institution

Institution	2003	2004	Change
Ross Correctional Institution	141	0	-141
Southeastern Correctional Institution	130	16	-114
Dayton Correctional Institution	102	31	-71
Lebanon Correctional Institution	100	23	-77
North Central Correctional Institution	48	202	+154
Richland Correctional Institution	45	92	+47
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	41	96	+55
Belmont Correctional Institution	36	78	+42
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	33	46	+13
Allen Correctional Institution	29	59	+30
Trumbull Correctional Institution	28	54	+26
London Correctional Institution	25	0	-25
Mansfield Correctional Institution	19	46	+27
Pickaway Correctional Institution	19	33	+14
Madison Correctional Institution	14	13	-1
Grafton Correctional Institution	12	13	+1
Warren Correctional Institution	11	9	-2
Noble Correctional Institution	10	35	+25
Ohio Reformatory for Women	10	2	-8
Toledo Correctional Institution	9	11	+2
Ohio State Penitentiary	9	7	-2
Correctional Reception Center	7	15	+8
Marion Correctional Institution	5	25	+20
Lorain Correctional Institution	4	12	+8
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	3	7	+4
Hocking Correctional Facility	2	2	0
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	2	0	-2
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	1	6	+5
Northeast Pre Release Center	1	3	+2
Franklin Pre Release Center	1	0	-1
Oakwood Correctional Facility	1	0	-1
Corrections Medical Center	0	0	0
Totals	898	936	+38

INMATE/VISITOR DRUG INVESTIGATIONS:

From 2003 to 2004, drug investigations regarding inmates or visitors increased by 28, from 245 drug investigations in 2003 to 273 investigations in 2004 system-wide. **In 2003, the Marion Correctional Institution only had two such investigations, the same number of investigations as reported in 2004.** A breakdown of the number of investigations at each institution in 2003 and 2004 is provided below:

Number of Inmate/Visitor Drug Investigations in 2003 and in 2004 by Institution

Institution	2003	2004	Change
Lebanon Correctional Institution	53	82	+29
Warren Correctional Institution	53	34	-19
Richland Correctional Institution	20	20	0
Trumbull Correctional Institution	13	10	-3
Dayton Correctional Institution	11	2	-9
Southeastern Correctional Institution	10	11	+1
Pickaway Correctional Institution	9	21	+12
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	9	3	-6
Mansfield Correctional Institution	8	14	+6
Madison Correctional Institution	7	7	0
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	7	1	-6
Grafton Correctional Institution	6	7	+1
Correctional Reception Center	6	3	-3
Belmont Correctional Institution	4	8	+4
Noble Correctional Institution	4	8	+4
Lorain Correctional Institution	4	7	+3
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	4	0	-4
Toledo Correctional Institution	3	7	+4
Ross Correctional Institution	3	5	+2
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	2	3	+1
Marion Correctional Institution	2	2	0
Ohio Reformatory for Women	2	2	0
Allen Correctional Institution	1	9	+8
London Correctional Institution	1	2	+1
North Central Correctional Institution	1	2	+1
Northeast Pre Release Center	1	2	+1
Corrections Medical Center	1	0	-1
Hocking Correctional Facility	0	1	+1
Franklin Pre Release Center	0	0	0
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	0	0	0
Oakwood Correctional Facility	0	0	0
Ohio State Penitentiary	0	0	0
Totals	245	273	+28

STAFF/INMATE DRUG INVESTIGATIONS

In 2004, there were 72 Staff/inmate Drug Investigations system-wide. Such investigations ranged from no such investigations at 14 prisons, to the high of 20 such investigations at the Lebanon Correctional Institution. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported five such investigations, ranking third in the number of all investigations.**

In 2003, staff/inmate drug investigations system-wide totaled 36, and doubled to 72 in 2004. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported no Staff/inmate drug investigations in 2003.** The breakdown per institution in 2004 is provided below:

Number of Staff/Inmate Drug Investigations in 2003 and 2004 by Institution

Institution	2003	2004
Pickaway Correctional Institution	9	17
Richland Correctional Institution	7	4
Lebanon Correctional Institution	3	20
Warren Correctional Institution	3	3
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	2	3
London Correctional Institution	2	0
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	1	0
Correctional Reception Center	1	0
Ross Correctional Institution	1	0
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	1	0
Grafton Correctional Institution	1	3
Mansfield Correctional Institution	1	2
Madison Correctional Institution	1	1
Ohio Reformatory for Women	1	1
Southeastern Correctional Institution	1	1
Toledo Correctional Institution	1	1
Marion Correctional Institution	0	5
Trumbull Correctional Institution	0	4
Allen Correctional Institution	0	3
Belmont Correctional Institution	0	1
Lorain Correctional Institution	0	1
Noble Correctional Institution	0	1
Ohio State Penitentiary	0	1
Corrections Medical Center	0	0
Dayton Correctional Institution	0	0
Franklin Pre Release Center	0	0
Hocking Correctional Facility	0	0
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	0	0
North Central Correctional Institution	0	0
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	0	0
Northeast Pre Release Center	0	0
Oakwood Correctional Facility	0	0
Totals	36	72

DRUG INVESTIGATIONS INVOLVING STAFF:

System-wide in 2004, drug investigations pertaining to staff totaled 25, ranging from one each at six prisons, to the high of eight such investigations at the Pickaway Correctional Institution. **There were 21 prisons with no such investigations in 2004, including the Marion Correctional Institution.** In 2003, drug investigations pertaining to staff system-wide totaled only six, with one such investigation at six prisons. **The remaining institutions, including the Marion Correctional Institution, had no such investigations.**

DRUG INVESTIGATIONS INVOLVING MAIL:

There were 69 Drug Investigations Involving Mail/Packages system-wide in 2004, ranging from no such investigations at 15 prisons, including the Marion Correctional Institution to the high of 13 at Ross Correctional Institution. Richland Correctional Institution ranked second with 10 such investigations. **The Marion Correctional Institution is one of nine prisons that had no such investigations in 2003 or 2004.** The remaining eight Ohio prisons are the Allen Correctional, Corrections Medical Center, Franklin Pre Release Center, Lebanon Correctional, Northeast Pre Release Center, Oakwood Correctional, Toledo Correctional and the Ohio State Penitentiary. Such investigations system-wide in 2003 totaled 66, with a slight increase to 69 in 2004.

OTHER DRUG INVESTIGATIONS:

The “Drugs (Other)” category of investigations initiated by the Investigator totaled 353 system-wide in 2004. **The Marion Correctional Institution had 18 such investigations.** There were no such investigations at eight prisons. Such investigations at the remaining institutions ranged from one each at the Warren Correctional Institution and Northeast Pre-Release Center, to 47 such investigations at the Chillicothe Correctional Institution. Richland Correctional Institution ranks second with 45.

A total of 263 such investigations occurred system-wide in 2003, and increased by 90 in 2004, to a total of 353 such investigations. **The Marion Correctional Institution had 15 “other” investigations regarding drugs in 2003.** Six prisons had no such investigations in either year, including Franklin Pre Release Center, Hocking Correctional, Lebanon Correctional, North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility, Oakwood Correctional and the Ohio State Penitentiary.

SEARCHES, SHAKEDOWNS: ILLICIT PILLS CONFISCATED

According to the 2004 Chief Inspector’s Annual Report, 447 searches/shakedowns were conducted system-wide, in which illicit pills were confiscated. There were 25 prisons reporting no such incidents. The remaining seven prisons ranged from two such incidents at Belmont Correctional Institution to 349 at the Ross Correctional Institution. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 5th with four illicit pill confiscations.**

In 2003, there were 565 searches/shakedowns resulting in confiscation of illicit pills system wide. **Twenty prisons including the Marion Correctional Institution, reported no such incidents.** However, three prisons reported over 100, with 128 at the Grafton Correctional Institution, 137 at the Ross Correctional Institution, and 152 at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. The number of incidents system-wide declined from 565 in 2003 to 447 in 2004.

CRACK/COCAINE CONFISCATED:

In 2004, crack/cocaine was confiscated in 12 prisons. **However, none of the substance was confiscated from the Marion Correctional Institution, and 19 other institutions.** From two to 7.6 grams were confiscated from London, Pickaway and North Central Correctional Institutions. The largest amount was confiscated at the Ross Correctional Institution, with 15.44 grams.

Warren Correctional Institution reported confiscation of 44.4 grams in 2003, the largest amount of all Ohio prisons. Other institutions reporting such confiscation included Ross Correctional Institution with over 12 grams, Richland Correctional Institution with over six grams, and Trumbull Correctional Institution with five grams. **Fifteen Ohio prisons, including the Marion Correctional Institution, reported zero grams confiscated in 2003.**

HEROIN CONFISCATED:

In 2004 there were nine prisons in which heroin was confiscated during searches or shakedowns, including Lebanon Correctional Institution. Mansfield, Ross and Richland Correctional Institutions each confiscated 8.5 grams. London Correctional Institution reported confiscation of three grams, and Lebanon Correctional Institution confiscated two grams. **The Marion Correctional Institution ranked 5th in the amount of heroin that was confiscated, with 1.1 grams.**

In 2003, there were nine prisons in which heroin was confiscated during searches or shakedowns, with the largest amount at the Mansfield and Trumbull Correctional Institutions, at 8.5 and eight grams respectively. Other prisons in which heroin was confiscated in 2003 include Lebanon, London, Ross, Richland, Lake Erie and Chillicothe Correctional Institution. **For 2003, the Marion Correctional Institution reported no incidents of heroin confiscation.**

MARIJUANA CONFISCATED:

In 2004, marijuana was confiscated from all but 11 prisons. Amounts confiscated ranged from a "trace" at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, Ohio State Penitentiary, and Northeast Pre-Release Center, to the high of 23.24 ounces at the North Central Correctional Institution. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported 1.57 ounces confiscated.**

In 2003, the Marion Correctional Institution confiscated a much smaller amount of marijuana, with 0.26 grams confiscated. Southeastern and Lebanon Correctional Institutions reported the largest amount of marijuana confiscated, with 24.46 and 13.5 ounces respectively. Ten institutions reported confiscating zero ounces of the substance.

“HOOCH” CONFISCATED:

According to the Chief Inspector’s Annual Reports for 2003 and 2004, the confiscation and destruction of “hooch” varies from institution to institution and may not include Investigator involvement. Therefore, if an institution reports that no hooch was confiscated, it may only indicate that the Investigator had no involvement in its confiscation and destruction.

In 2004, a total of 784.86 gallons of “hooch” were reportedly confiscated in nine of the prisons, from 1.75 gallons at the Marion Correctional Institution to over 200 gallons each at the Mansfield and Noble Correctional Institutions. The remaining twenty-three institutions reported that no “hooch” was confiscated in the year.

In 2003 there were 13 prisons in which “hooch” was confiscated, with the largest amount at the Mansfield Correctional Institution, where 224 gallons were confiscated. **The Marion Correctional Institution had the 9th highest amount of “hooch” confiscated, with 22.5 gallons.**

MAJOR SHAKEDOWNS:

According to the Chief Inspector’s Annual Report, there were 52 major shakedowns in 2004 system wide. **Thirteen Ohio prisons had no shakedowns, including the Marion Correctional Institution.** Six prisons received one shakedown. Six prisons received two major shakedowns. The remaining seven prisons received from three shakedowns at the Oakwood Correctional Facility and Richland Correctional Institution, to the high of eight at the Madison Correctional Institution. London Correctional Institution ranked second, with seven shakedowns in 2004.

Fourteen prisons had no major shakedowns in 2003, including the Marion Correctional Institution. MCI was also was one of seven institutions that reported no major shakedowns in either 2003 or 2004. Madison and London Correctional Institutions received the largest number of shakedowns in both years, with eight and seven respectively. There were 52 major shakedowns in 2003 and the same in 2004.

CANINE SEARCHES:

According to the Chief Inspector’s Annual Report, there were 127 canine searches system-wide in 2004. No searches were reported to have occurred at seven prisons. **Two such searches were reported at the Marion Correctional Institution.** At the remaining

prisons, canine searches ranged from one each at four prisons, to 13 searches each at the Lorain Correctional Institution and North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility.

In 2003 there were 116 canine searches system-wide, with none at eight prisons. The Lebanon, Lorain, and Warren Correctional Institution and North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility had the highest number of canine searches with twelve each. **The Marion Correction Institution reported two such searches in both 2003 and 2004.**

EMPLOYEE STRIP SEARCH/PAT DOWNS:

According to the Chief Inspector's 2004 Annual Report, there were 85 employee strip/pat downs in 2004 system-wide. However, they only occurred at 10 of the prisons, ranging from one each at Grafton and Warren Correctional Institution, to 51 at North Central Correctional Institution. **The Marion Correctional Institution was one of twenty-three Ohio prisons that reported no employee strip searches/pat downs.**

In 2003 there were only eight employee strip/pat downs in the entire year system-wide, and they occurred at six of the prisons. One each occurred at the Lebanon, Lake Erie, London, Pickaway and Warren Correctional Institutions, and three occurred at the Toledo Correctional Institution, the highest number of searches. **The Marion Correctional Institution once again reported no searches/pat downs for employees in the year 2003.**

VISITOR STRIP SEARCH/PATDOWNS:

In 2004 there were 80 visitor strip/pat downs system wide. **No such pat downs occurred at 14 prisons, including the Marion Correctional Institution.** The remaining prisons had from one to 21 such pat downs, with the largest number at the Warren Correctional Institution, and the second highest at the Correctional Reception Center, with 10.

In 2003, there were 49 visitor strip/pat downs system wide, with none at 17 prisons. The remaining prisons ranged from one such pat down at Lorain Correctional Institution and the Correctional Reception Center, to seven pat downs at the Lebanon Correctional Institution. **The Marion Correctional Institution was one of ten Ohio prisons that reported no visitor strip searches/pat downs in both 2003 and 2004.** The number of pat downs increased by 31 in the two years; from 49 pat downs in 2003 to 80 pats downs in 2004.

ASSAULTS

Based on data provided by the DRC Central Office on inmate-on-inmate assaults, the number at the Marion Correctional Institution decreased slightly from five such assaults in 2003, to only one such assault in 2004. System-wide in 2003, the total number of inmate-on-inmate assaults was 482, with MCI accounting for only 1.03 percent. In 2004, the system-wide total for inmate-on-inmate assaults was 411, with MCI accounting for less than 0.3 percent.

Regarding inmate on staff assaults, **MCI ranked 17th system-wide in 2003, with six inmate-on-staff assaults.** Ohio prisons with more inmate-on-staff assaults ranged from seven such assaults at the Madison Correctional Institution to 130 such assaults at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. The Ohio Reformatory for Women ranked 2nd with 51 inmate-on-staff assaults. **System-wide 544 inmate-on-staff assaults were reported, with MCI comprising 1.1%.**

In 2004, there was a decrease in the number of inmate-on-staff assaults at MCI with no such assaults reported. The number of inmate-on-staff assaults ranged from one at the Corrections Medical Center and Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center, to 133 at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. Once again, the Ohio Reformatory for Women had the second highest number of such assaults with 40. System-wide, 432 inmate-on-staff assaults were reported.

ASSAULT INVESTIGATIONS:

Inmate on Inmate

Data contained in the Chief Inspector's Annual Reports for 2003 and 2004, includes information on the number of inmate on inmate assault investigations conducted by the Investigator. In 2004, there were 254 such investigations system-wide, ranging from no such investigations at four prisons, including the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, to 41 such investigations at the Noble Correctional Institution. **The Marion Correctional Institution had only three such investigations, comprising 1.2%.**

System wide, there were 258 inmate on inmate assault investigations in 2003, ranging from none at five prisons, including the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility and North Correctional Institution, to the high of 29 at the Madison Correctional Institution. Five prisons had from 20 to 29 inmate on inmate assault investigations in 2003. **There were nine such investigations at the Marion Correctional Institution, comprising 3.5%.** The Trumbull Correctional Institution and Franklin Pre Release Center also reported nine such investigations.

Inmate on Staff

In 2004 there were 188 inmate on staff assault investigations system wide, with no such investigations reported from seven prisons, including the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. The remaining prisons ranged from one such investigation at the Mansfield Correctional Institution to a high of 22 at the Ohio Reformatory for Women. Six prisons had from 10 to 22 such investigations. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported four such investigations in 2004.**

There were only 146 such investigations in 2003 system wide, with an increase of 42 in 2004, when there were 188 inmate on staff assault investigations. In 2003, eight prisons reported no such investigations, including the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility. The

remaining prisons ranged from one at five prisons including the Mansfield Correctional Institution, to a high of 26 at the Ohio Reformatory for Women. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported no change in the number of inmate-on-staff assault investigations from 2003 to 2004, reporting four such investigations for both years.**

Sexual Assault

According to the Chief Inspector's Annual Report, there were 124 sexual assault investigations system wide in 2004, with 10 prisons reporting no such investigations, to 21 such investigations at the Lake Erie Correctional Institution. Madison and Richland Correctional Institutions ranked second and third, with 13 and 10 such investigations, respectively. **In 2004, the Marion Correctional Institution reported two such investigations.**

According to the Chief Inspector's Annual Report, there were only 39 sexual assault investigations in 2003 system-wide, with **18 prisons reporting no such investigations, including the Marion Correctional Institution**, and five prisons reporting one such investigation in the year. The Ross Correctional Institution reported six such investigations; the highest of all prisons in 2003, followed by Richland Correctional, Correctional Reception Center, and Ohio Reformatory for Women, each with five. The number of sexual assault investigations increased from 39 in 2003 to 124 in 2004, an increase of 85.

OTHER INVESTIGATIONS

There were 3,160 "Other Investigations" conducted by Investigators system-wide in 2004, which include background checks. There were no such investigations at the Grafton Correctional Institution and Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center. The remaining institutions reported from two such investigations at the Dayton Correctional Institution to 555 at the Ohio State Penitentiary. There are seven prisons with more than 200 such investigations in the category. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported 17 such investigations, as did the London Correctional Institution.**

Such investigations system-wide increased from 2,948 in 2003 to 3,160 in 2004, an increase of 212. **The Marion Correctional Institution reported 43 such investigations in 2003, a decrease of 26 in 2004 with a total of only 17.** No such investigations were reported at the Pickaway, Dayton and Grafton Correctional Institutions in 2003. "Other investigations" ranged from two at the Northeast Pre Release Center, to 436 at the Belmont Correctional Institution in 2003.