

**CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION COMMITTEE  
126<sup>TH</sup> OHIO GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**HOUSE MEMBERS**

John Willamowski, Chair  
Todd Book  
Michael DeBose  
Robert Latta

**SENATE MEMBERS**

Eric Kearney, Vice Chair  
James Jordan, Secretary  
Stephen Austria  
Robert Hagan

**BIENNIAL REPORT**

**TO THE**

**127<sup>th</sup> OHIO GENERAL ASSEMBLY:**

**CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION  
COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES**

**February 27, 2007**

**Prepared and Submitted by the  
Correctional Institution Inspection Committee Staff:**

**Director Shirley Pope  
Inspector Gregory Geisler  
Inspector Adam Jackson  
Inspector Brianna Michalak  
Inspector Carol Robison  
Inspector Richard Spence**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
Introduction.....	7
 <b>CIIC COMPOSITION</b>	
Staff.....	8
Duties and Functions.....	9
CIIC: Who, What, When, How and Why.....	11
 <b>INSPECTIONS AND ON-SITE VISITS.....</b>	
Table 1. Inspections and On-Site Visits in 2005 and 2006 by Date, Facility, and CIIC Member in Attendance.	<b>14</b>
Table 2. 2005 and 2006 Inspections or On-Site Visits of Juvenile Correctional Facilities.....	15
Table 3. Inspections in 2005 and 2006 with Facility, Member Present and Date of Inspection.....	16
Table 4. Inspections in 2005 and 2006 by Facility, Date, and CIIC Member Present.....	17
 <b>INSPECTION REPORTS.....</b>	
Table 5. Reports on CIIC Inspections or Staff On-Site Visits Completed in 2005 and 2006 by Facility	<b>18</b>
Table 6. Grievance Procedure and Other Evaluation Reports Completed in 2005 and 2006.....	19
 <b>CIIC MEETINGS.....</b>	
Presenters	
Legislative Requests.....	21
Synopsis of CIIC Meetings.....	24
 <b>MEETINGS ATTENDED BY STAFF.....</b>	
Table 7. Meetings Attended by Staff in 2005 with Date, Location and Purpose	
Table 8. Meetings Attended by Staff in 2006 with Date, Location and Purpose...	34
 DRC Mental Health, Sex Offender Program, Medical Services Meetings.....	
	35
DRC Grievance Procedure, Sexual Assault, Security Threat Group Meetings.....	
	36
Re-Entry Meetings.....	
	39
DRC Prison Labor Advisory Council Meetings.....	
	41
Non-DYS Juvenile Meetings	
Other – Department of Youth Services Meetings.....	
	42
Other – Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Meetings.....	
	44
Private Prison Meetings.....	
	46
CIIC/DRC Presenters at International Conference	
CIIC Amendment.....	
	48
Legislative Service Commission New Staff Training: CIIC Orientation.....	
	49

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>DATA ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>50</b>
ODRC Reports/Data	
On Request.....	51
Annual Reports	
ODYS Reports/Data	
<b>INMATE DEATHS.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Natural Cause Deaths</b>	
Table 9. Number of Deaths by Natural Causes by Institution, January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006.....	54
<b>Natural Cause Inquiries.....</b>	<b>55</b>
Table 10. Number of CIIC Inquiries Relating to Deaths by Natural Causes by Institution, January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006	
Table 11. Number of Natural Cause Deaths by Institution from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006 by Month.....	56
Table 12. Dates of Inmate Natural Cause Deaths by Institution, January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006.....	57
<b>Inmate Murders.....</b>	<b>60</b>
Table 13. Number of Inmate Murders by Year and Institution from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006.....	61
Table 14. Number of CIIC Inquiries Relating to Inmate Murders by Institution, January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006	
<b>Inmate Suicides.....</b>	<b>62</b>
Table 15. Number of Inmate Suicides by Institution and Year, January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006.....	63
Table 16. Number of CIIC Inquiries Relating to Inmate Suicides by Institution, January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006.....	64
<b>SUICIDE ATTEMPTS BY INMATES</b>	
Table 17. Number of Inmate Suicide Attempts by Institution, January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006.....	66
<b>JUVENILE DEATHS.....</b>	<b>67</b>
Juvenile Natural Cause Deaths	
Juvenile Murders	
Juvenile Suicides	
<b>JUVENILE SELF-INJURIES</b>	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>PRISON CONTACTS AND CONCERNS.....</b>	<b>68</b>
Table 18. Number of Contacts by Institution from January 1, 2005 to December 28, 2006	
Table 19. Number of Logged Concerns by Institution from January 1, 2005 to December 28, 2006.....	69
CIIC Database: Concern Code List.....	70
 <b>Number and Type of Reported Concerns at Each Institution from January 1, 2005 to December 28, 2006.....</b>	 <b>73</b>
Table 20. Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	
Table 21. Lebanon Correctional Institution.....	74
Table 22. Chillicothe Correctional Institution.....	75
Table 23. Warren Correctional Institution.....	76
Table 24. Madison Correctional Institution.....	77
Table 25. Mansfield Correctional Institution.....	78
Table 26. Marion Correctional Institution.....	79
Table 27. North Central Correctional Institution.....	80
Table 28. Pickaway Correctional Institution.....	81
Table 29. Toledo Correctional Institution.....	82
Table 30. Allen Correctional Institution.....	83
Table 31. Grafton Correctional Institution.....	84
Table 32. Trumbull Correctional Institution.....	85
Table 33. Ross Correctional Institution.....	86
Table 34. Northeast Ohio Correctional Center.....	87
Table 35. Ohio State Penitentiary.....	88
Table 36. Lake Erie Correctional Institution.....	89
Table 37. Other/Jail Facilities.....	90
Table 38. Richland Correctional Institution.....	91
Table 39. Noble Correctional Institution.....	92
Table 40. Ohio Reformatory for Women.....	93
Table 41. Correctional Reception Center.....	94
Table 42. Belmont Correctional Institution.....	95
Table 43. London Correctional Institution.....	96
Table 44. Hocking Correctional Facility.....	97
Table 45. Corrections Medical Center.....	98
Table 46. Southeastern Correctional Institution.....	99
Table 47. North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility.....	100
Table 48. Oakwood Correctional Facility.....	101
Table 49. Lorain Correctional Institution.....	102
Table 50. Northeast Pre-Release Center.....	103
Table 51. Montgomery Education Pre-Release Center.....	104
Table 52. Dayton Correctional Institution.....	105
Table 53. Franklin Pre-Release Center.....	106

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY CONTACTS AND CONCERNS.....</b>	<b>107</b>
Table 54. Number of <b>Contacts</b> from Juvenile Correctional Facilities from January 1, 2005 to December 28, 2006 by Institution	
Table 55. Number of <b>Concerns</b> from Juvenile Correctional Facility from January 1, 2005 to December 28, 2006 by Institution	
<b>Number of Reported Concerns by Category.....</b>	<b>108</b>
Table 56. Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	
Table 57. Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	109
Table 58. Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	110
Table 59. Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	111
Table 60. Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	112
Table 61. Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	113
Table 62. Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	114
Table 63. Lighthouse Youth Center at Paint Creek.....	115
Table 64. Other Juvenile Correctional Facilities.....	116
<b>LETTERS OF INQUIRY 2005-2006.....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Adult Correctional Institutions</b>	
Table 65. Number of Letters of Inquiry to Adult Correctional Institutions from CIIC in 2005 and 2006 by Facility.....	119
Letters of Inquiry by Institution.....	120
Table 66. Summary of Letters of Inquiry by Subject:	
Allen Correctional Institution	
Belmont Correctional Institution	
Chillicothe Correctional Institution.....	121
Corrections Medical Center.....	122
Correctional Reception Center	
Grafton Correctional Institution	
Hocking Correctional Facility.....	123
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	
Lebanon Correctional Institution.....	124
London Correctional Institution.....	125
Lorain Correctional Institution	
Marion Correctional Institution	
Madison Correctional Institution.....	126
Mansfield Correctional Institution	
Montgomery Education Pre-Release Center.....	127
Noble Correctional Institution	
North Central Correctional Institution	
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility.....	128
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center	
Northeast Pre-Release Center	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
Oakwood Correctional Facility.....	128
Ohio Reformatory for Women.....	129
Ohio State Penitentiary	
Pickaway Correctional Institution.....	130
Ross Correctional Institution.....	131
Richland Correctional Institution	
Southeastern Correctional Institution	
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility.....	132
Toledo Correctional Institution.....	135
Trumbull Correctional Institution	
Warren Correctional Institution.....	136
<b>Juvenile Correctional Facilities.....</b>	<b>137</b>
Table 67. Number of Letters of Inquiry from CIIC in 2005 and 2006 by Juvenile Correctional Facility:	
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	
Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	138
Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility	
Marion Juvenile Correctional Institution	
Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility.....	139
Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility	
Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility	
<b>The Work of the CIIC: Reflections and Analysis.....</b>	<b>140</b>
Introduction	
Components.....	141
Composition.....	142
Access.....	143
Grievance Procedure	
Contacts and Concerns	
System Advocacy.....	144
Inspections	
Meal Attendance	
Program Attendance	
Itinerary.....	145
Frequency	
Proposed Change.....	146
Jails	
Juvenile Correctional Facilities	
Transparency.....	147
Proposed Change	

## **BIENNIAL REPORT: CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES**

### **INTRODUCTION**

This Biennial Report to the 127<sup>th</sup> Ohio General Assembly is the last in a series of four reports on inspections, evaluations and activities in 2005 and 2006, listed below in order of completion:

- **Biennial Reports to the 127<sup>th</sup> Ohio General Assembly:**
  - **Adult Correctional Institution Inspection Findings**, January 10, 2007
  - **Juvenile Correctional Facility Inspection Findings**, January 12, 2007
  - **Grievance Procedure and Other Areas of Evaluation**, January 24, 2007
  - **Correctional Institution Inspection Committee Activities**, February 27, 2007

The three biennial reports on inspection and evaluation findings are condensed, abbreviated versions of separate comprehensive reports completed in 2005 and 2006. The full reports are posted on the CIIC website, are available in the State Library and in the Legislative Service Commission Library. This Biennial Report focuses on the work of the CIIC in the past two years, including but not limited to: inspections, on-site visits and evaluations conducted, reports completed, CIIC meetings held, meetings attended by CIIC staff, statistics and reports reviewed, contacts received, the subject of reported concerns from those contacts, and written inquiries made in response to the reported concerns.

Although these Biennial Reports were compiled to fulfill the statutory requirement, they also serve as a reminder of the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee's accomplishments to date and challenges ahead. Each biennium is a new beginning, with a long list of inspections and evaluations to conduct, reports to prepare, and correspondence to address regarding the adult and juvenile correctional institutions. CIIC staff strive to assist the Committee in making each biennium more productive, responsive and purposeful than the last, and to adapt to the changing needs and priorities that emerge over time in any institutional corrections system.

### **CIIC COMPOSITION**

Section 103.71 of the Ohio Revised Code created the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, a Subcommittee of the Legislative Service Commission as follows:

The Committee shall consist of eight persons, four of whom shall be members of the Senate appointed by the President of the Senate, not more than two of whom shall be members of the same political party, and four of whom shall be members of the House of Representatives appointed by

the Speaker of the House of Representatives, not more than two of whom shall be members of the same political party.

The above section requires that appointments to the Committee be made within 15 days after the commencement of the first regular session of the Ohio General Assembly. According to Section 103.72, the CIIC by a vote of at least five members, must select from its membership a Chairman, Vice-Chairman, and a Secretary.

In 2005 Senator Mark Mallory continued to serve as Chairman. Representative John Willamowski continued to serve as Vice Chairman, and Senator James Jordan continued to serve as Secretary. Although each held these positions in the preceding biennium, since the CIIC was without staff from July 2001 to October 2003, the legislators did not have the benefit of serving for a full biennium of CIIC operation. The Committee therefore decided to continue with the same elected officers through 2005. Senator Eric Kearney replaced Senator Mark Mallory who left the Senate in late 2005. Members and elected officers of the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee in 2006 are listed below:

**Representatives**

John Willamowski, Chairman  
 Todd Book  
 Michael DeBose  
 Robert Latta

**Senators**

Eric Kearney, Vice Chair  
 James Jordan, Secretary  
 Stephen Austria  
 Robert Hagan

**STAFF**

According to section 103.74, the Committee may employ a Director and “any other non-legal staff, who shall be in the unclassified service of the state, that are necessary for the committee to carry out its duties.” Per Section 103.71, the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, subject to the oversight and direction of the Legislative Service Commission, directs the work of the Director and staff of the Committee.

As of January 2007, the CIIC staff consists of the Director and five full time Inspectors as follows:

Shirley Pope, CIIC Director  
 Gregory Geisler, Inspector  
 Adam Jackson, Inspector  
 Brianna Michalak, Inspector  
 Carol Robison, Inspector  
 Richard Spence, Inspector

During the last biennium, most recently in late December 2006, Inspector Geisler has been on military leave for extended periods. Prior to his absence, Joanna Saul was assigned to the CIIC as a Legislative Service Commission intern. When Inspector Geisler left on military leave, Joanna Saul joined the CIIC staff as a part time CIIC Inspector until his return in mid-2006. In October 2006, Brianna Michalak replaced



Elizabeth Curtis, who joined the CIIC as a full time Inspector in 2004, but later converted to part time status while in pursuit of a teaching career.

## **DUTIES AND FUNCTIONS**

The Correctional Institution Inspection Committee (CIIC) was established through the enactment of Sections 103.71 through 103.74 of the Ohio Revised Code, which became effective on November 9, 1977. Enactment of Sections 103.75 to 103.79 of the Statute provided new authority to inspect and evaluate juvenile correctional institutions effective April 29, 2005. Section 103.73 outlines the Committee's authority and duties as provided below:

(A) The Correctional Institution Inspection Committee shall do all of the following:

(1) Subject to division (C) of this section, **establish and maintain a continuing program of inspection** of each state correctional institution used for the custody, control, training, and rehabilitation of persons convicted of crime and of each private correctional facility. Subject to division (C) of this section, the Committee **may inspect any local correctional institution** used for the same purposes. Subject to division (C) of this section, the Committee, and each member of the Committee, for the purpose of making an inspection, shall have access to any state or local correctional institution, to any private correctional facility, or to any part of the institution or facility and shall not be required to give advance notice of, or to make prior arrangements before conducting an inspection.

(2) **Evaluate and assist in the development of programs to improve the condition or operation of correctional institutions.**

(3) Prepare a report for submission to the succeeding General Assembly of the **findings the Committee makes in its inspections and of any programs that have been proposed or developed to improve the condition or operation of the correctional institutions in the state.** The report shall contain a **separate evaluation of the inmate grievance procedure at each state correctional institution.** The Committee shall submit the report to the succeeding General Assembly within fifteen days after commencement of the General Assembly's first regular session.

(B) Subject to division (C) of this section, the Committee **shall make an inspection of each state correctional institution each biennium and of each private facility each biennium. The inspection shall include attendance at one general meal period and one rehabilitative or educational program.**

Sec. 103.75. As used in sections 103.76 to 103.79 of the Revised Code, **"youth services facility" means a facility operated, or contracted for,**

**by the Department of Youth Services that is used for the care, protection, treatment, or secure confinement of any child committed to the department's custody.**

Sec. 103.76. Subject to division (C) of section 103.73 of the Revised Code, the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee **may make an inspection of any youth services facility at such times as it determines.**

Sec. 103.77. Subject to division (C) of section 103.73 of the Revised Code, the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, and each member of the Committee, for the purposes of making inspections of youth services facilities shall have access to any youth services facility, or to any part of that facility and shall not be required to give advance notice of, or to make prior arrangements before conducting an inspection.

Sec. 103.78. The Correctional Institution Inspection Committee may do the following:

(A) Subject to division (C) of section 103.73 of the Revised Code, **establish and maintain a continuing program of inspection of youth services facilities.**

(B) **Evaluate and assist in the development of programs to improve the condition or operation of youth services facilities.**

Sec. 103.79. If the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee conducts inspections of youth services facilities during the biennium, the committee **shall prepare a report for submission to the succeeding General Assembly of the findings the Committee makes in its inspections and of any programs that have been proposed or developed to improve the condition or operation of youth services facilities.** The Committee shall submit the report to the succeeding General Assembly within fifteen days after commencement of that General Assembly's first regular session.

**CIIC: WHO, WHAT, WHEN, HOW AND WHY**

The following chart on the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee was prepared in the last biennium for distribution during staff presentations about the CIIC. It provides a simple, yet accurate description of CIIC duties and functions.

**CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION COMMITTEE CHART:  
THE WHO, WHAT, WHEN, HOW AND WHY**  
Riffe Center, 77 South High Street, Eighth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Phone: (614) 466-6649 Fax (614) 466-6929  
Website: <http://www.ciic.state.oh.us>  
E-mail: [cwebmaster@lsc.state.oh.us](mailto:cwebmaster@lsc.state.oh.us)

<i>WHO:</i>		
LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS	STAFF	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Ohio House of Representatives:</u></b> Representative John Willamowski, <b>Chair</b> Representative Todd Book Representative Michael DeBose Representative Robert Latta</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Ohio Senate:</u></b> Senator Eric Kearney, <b>Vice Chair</b> Senator James Jordan, <b>Secretary</b> Senator Stephen Austria Senator Robert Hagan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Committee is limited to eight members, four from the House and four from the Senate.</li> <li>• The Chair and Vice Chair are always of different political parties and always include one from the Senate and one from the House of Representatives.</li> <li>• The Chair rotates on a biennial basis.</li> <li>• If the prior Chair was a Member of the Senate, the new Chair is selected from the CIIC Members of the House of Representatives.</li> <li>• If the prior Chair was a Democrat, the new Chair is selected from among the Republicans on the CIIC.</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;">Shirley Pope, CIIC <b>Director</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>CIIC Inspectors:</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Gregory Geisler Adam Jackson Brianna Michalak Carol Robison Richard Spence</p>	
<i>WHAT: THE CIIC MANDATE (“Shall”)</i>		
Inspect	Evaluate	Report
<p><b>PRISONS</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Must inspect Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction’s institutions</b> including those privately owned and/or privately operated  (Currently 33)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Evaluate prison operations, conditions and grievance procedure</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Report on findings made in inspections and evaluations, and on any programs proposed or developed to assist in the development of improvements</p>
<i>WHAT: ENABLING AUTHORITY (“May”)</i>		
Inspect	Evaluate	Report
<p><b>JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>May inspect Ohio Department of Youth Services Correctional Facilities</b> including those privately owned and/or operated (Currently nine)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Evaluate facilities operations, conditions, and grievance procedure</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Report on findings made in inspections and evaluations, and on any programs proposed or developed to assist in the development of improvements</p>
<p><b>LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">May inspect <b>local correctional facilities</b> (88 county jails plus additional city jails)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Evaluate facilities operations, conditions and grievance procedure</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Report on findings made in inspections and evaluations, and on any programs proposed or developed to assist in the development of improvements</p>

<b>WHEN: HISTORY</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CIIC created by Ohio Statute effective November 9, 1977</li> <li>• CIIC staff hired and office opened 1978</li> <li>• CIIC lack of funding resulting in office closure July 2001</li> <li>• CIIC funding restored July 2003</li> <li>• CIIC staff hired and office re -opened October 2003</li> <li>• CIIC Statute amended to grant authority to inspect Juvenile Correctional Facilities April 2005</li> </ul>		
<b>WHEN: INSPECTIONS/EVALUATIONS/REPORTS</b>		
<p><b>Ohio Prisons:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biennial Inspections</li> <li>• Biennial Reports</li> </ul>	<p><b>Youth Facilities:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No minimum frequency</li> <li>• Biennial Reports on Inspections</li> </ul>	<p><b>Local Jails:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No minimum frequency</li> <li>• Biennial Reports on Inspections</li> </ul>
<b>HOW: MONITORING/EVALUATION METHODS</b>		
<p>Inspections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Unannounced/Announced</b></li> <li>• Conducted by one or more CIIC Member with or without CIIC Staff</li> </ul>	<p><b>CIIC Database: Contacts and Concerns</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written Communication Received</li> <li>• Phone Calls Received</li> </ul>	<p><b>Inquiries:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Letters of Inquiry to Wardens and Central Office</li> <li>• Phone Inquiries to Institutions</li> </ul>
<p><b>On Site Visits:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Announced</li> <li>• Conducted by CIIC Staff without Member</li> </ul>	<p><b>Special Incident Reports:</b></p> <p>Deaths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Natural Cause</li> <li>• Suicide</li> <li>• Murder</li> </ul>	<p><b>Monthly Reports: Institution</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inmate Grievance Procedure</li> <li>• Medical</li> <li>• Use of Force/Disciplinary</li> <li>• Educational/Vocational Program Enrollment</li> </ul>
<p><b>Periodic Reports: Central Office</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inmate/Inmate Assaults</li> <li>• Inmate/Staff Assaults</li> </ul>	<p><b>Annual Reports:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chief Inspector</li> <li>• Substance Abuse</li> </ul>	<p><b>Monthly Reports: Central Office</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mental Health Caseload/Segregation</li> <li>• Attempted Suicides</li> </ul>

<b>WHY:</b>	
THE LEGISLATURE IS THE <b>FUNDING SOURCE</b> FOR STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS	
DRC FACILITIES (ADULT PRISONS)	DYS FACILITIES (YOUTH FACILITIES)
<b>Ensure Proper Operations and Conditions of Adult Correctional Facilities</b>	<b>Ensure Proper Operations and Conditions of Juvenile Correctional Facilities</b>
<p><b>Prevention of Problems/Concerns through Oversight</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Access:</b> Per Statute, CIIC has <b>access</b> to any institution and to <b>any part</b> thereof and is <b>not required to provide prior notice</b>.</li> <li>• <b>Credibility:</b> CIIC is a bi-partisan Legislative Committee with each party equally represented; CIIC is staffed with non-partisan corrections/criminal justice professionals to assist the Committee in carrying out its duties of inspections, evaluations and reports)</li> <li>• <b>Identification</b> of Problems, Issues, Concerns</li> <li>• <b>Determining Validity</b> of Reported Needs/Problems</li> <li>• Assist In Determining <b>Priorities</b></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Communication to Legislators</b></p> <p>The CIIC receives communication regarding correctional institutions from a wide spectrum of government agencies, the general public, and from those who live or work in correctional institutions. Contacts and referrals include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> U.S. Department of Justice  Governor's Office  Attorney General's Office  Ohio Public Defender Commission  Ohio Inspector General's Office  Ohio Department of Health  Ohio Medical Board  Ohio Auditor  Ohio Board of Psychology  U.S. Office of Safety, Sanitation and Health Administration  Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Staff  Department of Youth Services Staff  Inmates  Inmate Relatives  Inmate Groups  Victims  Victim Groups  Juvenile Justice Coalition  Juvenile Offender Relatives  Juvenile Offenders </p>	
<p><b><u>Respond to Reported Problems/Concerns</u></b></p> <p>DRC/DYS News Media Reports on:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Litigation  Prosecution  Use of Force  Mental Health Treatment  Suicide  Medical Treatment  Violence/Assaults  Sex Offenders  Conditions </p>	

## INSPECTIONS AND ON-SITE VISITS

Eleven inspections and 23 on-site visits were conducted in the biennium, a total of 34.

**TABLE 1. INSPECTIONS AND ON-SITE VISITS IN 2005 AND 2006 BY DATE, FACILITY, AND CIIC MEMBER IN ATTENDANCE**

<b>CORRECTIONAL FACILITY</b>	<b>TYPE</b>	<b>CIIC MEMBER PRESENT</b>	<b>DATE</b>
			<b>2005</b>
Marion Correctional Institution	Staff on-site		January 27, 2005
Corrections Medical Center	Staff on-site		February 17, 2005
Pickaway Correctional Camp	Staff on-site		July 14, 2005
Pickaway Correctional Institution	Staff on-site		July 25, 2005
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		August 9, 2005
Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		August 10, 2005
Freedom Center	Staff on-site		August 16, 2005
DYS Training Academy	Staff on-site		August 16, 2005
Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		August 18, 2005
Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		August 23, 2005
Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		August 25, 2005
Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility	Inspection	Representative Michael DeBose	August 30, 2005
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	Inspection	Representative Robert Latta	September 1, 2005
Lighthouse Youth Center at Paint Creek	Staff on-site		September 8, 2005
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Inspection	Representative Michael DeBose	December 21, 2005
<i>Annual Subtotal</i>	<i>12 Staff on sites 3 Inspection</i>	<i>Representative DeBose Representative Latta</i>	<i>2005</i>
			<b>2006</b>
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		January 4, 2006
Scioto Juvenile Correctional	Staff on-site		January 18, 2006
Corrections Medical Center	Staff on-site		January 20, 2006
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		February 1, 2006
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		February 15, 2006
Correctional Reception Center	Inspection	Senator Eric Kearney	February 24, 2006
Marion Correctional Institution	Staff on-site		March 1, 2006
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		March 1, 2006
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		March 15, 2006
Northeast Pre Release Center	Inspection	Representative Michael DeBose	March 31, 2006
Lorain Correctional Facility	Inspection	Representative Michael DeBose	April 4, 2006
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center	Staff on-site		April 11, 2006
Ohio Reformatory for Women	Staff on-site		April 15, 2006
Madison Correctional Institution	Inspection	Senator Stephen Austria	April 24, 2006
London Correctional Institution	Inspection	Senator Stephen Austria	April 24, 2006
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Staff on-site		May 1, 2006
Warren Correctional Institution	Inspection	Senator Eric Kearney	May 19, 2006
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Inspection	Representative Michael DeBose	May 25, 2006
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	Inspection	Representative Todd Book	June 1, 2006
<i>Annual Subtotal</i>	<i>11 Staff on sites 8 Inspections.</i>		<i>2006</i>
<b>BIENNIAL TOTAL</b>	<b>23 Staff on-sites 11 Inspections</b>	<b>Representative Book Representative DeBose Representative Latta Senator Austria Senator Kearney</b>	2005 through 2006

**TABLE 2. 2005 and 2006 INSPECTIONS OR ON-SITE VISITS OF  
JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES**

<b>JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES</b>	<b>ON- SITE VISIT BY CIIC STAFF</b>	<b>INSPECTION BY CIIC MEMBER WITH STAFF</b>	<b>REP. ROBERT LATTA</b>	<b>REP. MICHAEL DEBOSE</b>	<b>REPORT</b>
<b>SCIOTO JCF *</b>	<b>8-9-05</b>	<b>12-21-05 5-25-06</b>		<b>ATTENDED ATTENDED</b>	<b>On Website</b>
<b>MOHICAN JCF</b>	<b>8-10-05</b>				<b>On Website</b>
<b>FREEDOM CENTER RT CENTER and</b>	<b>8-16-05</b>				<b>On Website</b>
<b>DYS TRAINING CENTER</b>	<b>8-16-05 8-2-06</b>				<b>On Website</b>
<b>INDIAN RIVER JCF</b>	<b>8-18-05</b>				<b>On Website</b>
<b>OHIO RIVER VALLEY JCF</b>	<b>8-23-05</b>				<b>On Website</b>
<b>CIRCLEVILLE JCF</b>	<b>8-25-05</b>				<b>On Website</b>
<b>CUYAHOGA HILLS JCF</b>		<b>8-30-05</b>		<b>ATTENDED</b>	<b>On Website</b>
<b>MARION JCF</b>		<b>9-1-05</b>	<b>ATTENDED</b>		<b>On Website</b>
<b>LIGHT HOUSE YOUTH CENTER AT PAINT CREEK</b>	<b>9-8-05</b>				<b>On Website</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>			

\*Additional on-site visits were made to attend meetings of the Opening Hearts Program

**TABLE 3. INSPECTIONS IN 2005 AND 2006 WITH FACILITY, MEMBER PRESENT AND DATE OF INSPECTION**

<b>FACILITY</b>	<b>CIIC MEMBER</b>	<b>DATE</b>
<b>Cuyahoga Hills</b> Juvenile Correctional Facility	Representative Michael DeBose	August 30, 2005
<b>Marion</b> Juvenile Correctional Facility	Representative Robert Latta	September 1, 2005
<b>Scioto</b> Juvenile Correctional Facility	Representative Michael DeBose	December 21, 2005
Correctional <b>Reception</b> Center	Senator Eric Kearney	February 24, 2006
<b>Northeast Pre-Release</b> Center	Representative Michael DeBose	March 31, 2006
<b>Lorain</b> Correctional Institution	Representative Michael DeBose	April 4, 2006
<b>Madison</b> Correctional Institution	Senator Stephen Austria	April 24, 2006
<b>London</b> Correctional Institution	Senator Stephen Austria	April 24, 2006
<b>Warren</b> Correctional Institution	Senator Eric Kearney	May 19, 2006
<b>Scioto</b> Juvenile Correctional Facility	Representative Michael DeBose	May 25, 2006
<b>Chillicothe</b> Correctional Institution	Representative Todd Book	June 1, 2006
<b>Total:</b>  <b>11 Inspections</b>	Number of Inspections Conducted:  Representative Book <b>1</b> Representative DeBose <b>5</b> Representative Latta <b>1</b>  Senator Austria <b>2</b> Senator Kearney <b>2</b>	<b>2005-2006</b>



**TABLE 4. INSPECTIONS IN 2005 AND 2006 BY FACILITY,  
DATE, AND CIIC MEMBER PRESENT**

<b>CORRECTIONAL FACILITY</b>	<b>SENATOR KEARNEY</b>	<b>SENATOR AUSTRIA</b>	<b>REP. BOOK</b>	<b>REP. DEBOSE</b>	<b>REP. LATTA</b>
<b>Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>				<b>8-30-05</b>	
<b>Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>					<b>9/1/05</b>
<b>Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>				<b>12-21-05 5-25-06</b>	
<b>Correctional Reception Center</b>	<b>2-24-06</b>				
<b>Northeast Pre-Release Center</b>				<b>3-31-06</b>	
<b>Lorain Correctional Institution</b>				<b>4-4-06</b>	
<b>London Correctional Institution</b>		<b>4-24-06</b>			
<b>Madison Correctional Institution</b>		<b>4-24-06</b>			
<b>Warren Correctional Institution</b>	<b>5-19-06</b>				
<b>Chillicothe Correctional Institution</b>			<b>6-1-06</b>		

## INSPECTION REPORTS

In the biennium, 23 reports on CIIC inspections or staff on-site visits were completed, distributed to members and posted on the CIIC website. The reports pertained to the following prisons or juvenile correctional facilities.

**TABLE 5. REPORTS ON CIIC INSPECTIONS OR STAFF ON-SITE VISITS COMPLETED IN 2005 AND 2006 BY FACILITY**

<b>PRISON</b>	<b>JUVENILE FACILITY</b>
Chillicothe Correctional Institution 10-16-06	Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility 3-29-06
Correctional Reception Center 6-20-06	Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility 2-6-06
Lebanon Correctional Institution 11-10-05	Freedom Center 6-28-06
London Correctional Institution 8-28-06	Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility 5-3-06
Lorain Correctional Institution 6-12-06	Lighthouse Youth Center 11-16-06
Madison Correctional Institution 7-7-06	Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility 4-14-06
Marion Correctional Institution 12-29-05	Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility 2-21-06
Northeast Pre-Release Center 6-28-06	Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility 4-12-06
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center 7-21-05	Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility (1-11-07)
Ohio Reformatory for Women 8-12-05	
Pickaway Correctional Institution 1-13-06	
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility* 3-17-05	
Toledo Correctional Institution 12-12-05	
Warren Correctional Institution 8-22-06	
<b>SUBTOTAL: 14 Prison Reports</b>	<b>Nine Juvenile Facility Reports</b>
<b>TOTAL: 23 Inspection or Staff On-Site Visit Reports</b>	

\*In addition, a report titled, "Recommendations and Related Excerpts from the CIIC Report on the Inspection and Evaluation of the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility" was completed in 2005.

**TABLE 6. GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE AND OTHER EVALUATION REPORTS COMPLETED IN 2005 AND 2006**

<b>REPORTS RELEVANT TO THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION</b>	<b>REPORTS RELEVANT TO THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES</b>
CIIC <b>Biennial</b> Report to the 126 <sup>th</sup> Ohio General Assembly 1-18-05	CIIC On-Site Visit Report: Department of Youth Services <b>Training Academy*</b> 6-19-06
Executive Summary of the <b>Biennial</b> Report to the 126 <sup>th</sup> Ohio General Assembly 1-18-05	Evaluation of the Department of Youth Services Youth <b>Grievance Procedure**</b> 8-16-06
<b>Recommendations</b> of the Biennial Report to the 126 <sup>th</sup> Ohio General Assembly 1-18-05	Data on <b>Incidents</b> from January to March 2006 in Department of Youth Services Institutions 7-13-06
The <b>Work of the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee:</b> Reflections and Analysis 4-20-06	The <b>Work of the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee:</b> Reflections and Analysis 4-20-06
National Overview of <b>Mental Health</b> Issues in Corrections 9-6-05	
Summary of Reports on <b>Mental Health</b> Services within the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction 7-25-06	
<b>Sex Offender</b> Classification and Treatment in Ohio Prisons 1-5-06	
Review of <b>Assault</b> Data from the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Regarding Ohio Prisons 2-23-06	
<b>Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Investigator</b> Data Review 6-6-06	
Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Inmate <b>Grievance Procedure</b> Review 5-23-06	

\*The On-Site Visit Report on the DYS Training Academy is included in this listing rather than in the list of on-site visit and inspection reports, because the Training Academy is not a correctional facility. The Academy is important because of the key importance of the quality and quantity of DYS staff training.

\*\*The report on the Evaluation of the DYS Grievance Procedure includes a comparison between the DRC Administrative Rules regarding their grievance procedure and those in DYS. Recommendations are included regarding both departments. However, since it primarily pertains to DYS, it is only listed in the DYS column.

## **CIIC MEETINGS**

During the biennium, 11 meetings of the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee were held, with four in 2005 and seven in 2006. The meetings continue to serve an extremely important function as a means of communication between the CIIC members and staff, Department of Rehabilitation and Correction staff, Department of Youth Services staff, union and other state agency representatives, and the public. Since the fall of 2003, CIIC meetings have been held monthly on days when the House of Representatives and the Senate are both in session. CIIC meetings occurred on the following dates:

### **2005**

March 16, 2005  
 April 27, 2005  
 June 15, 2005  
 December 14, 2005

### **2006**

January 25, 2006  
 March 1, 2006  
 April 5, 2006  
 May 10, 2006  
 July 19, 2006  
 November 29, 2006  
 December 19, 2006

## **Presenters**

The CIIC Director always provides a “Progress Report and Staff Briefing” to CIIC members at every CIIC meeting. Such briefings always include information on inspections or on site visits conducted since the last meeting, inspection or evaluation reports completed, and corrections related meetings attended by CIIC staff. Periodic reports on contacts received and reported concerns are provided in statistical format system-wide and by institution. Additional information on CIIC and/or correctional issues is provided as time permits. Follow-up questions by the CIIC members after the briefing provide areas for follow-up research and information gathering by CIIC staff for distribution to members on completion or at the next meeting.

In addition to the CIIC Director, representatives of the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction and Department of Youth Services have been requested to provide information on various subjects or issues. Further, the agenda always includes the opportunity for public testimony. In the biennium, correctional officers, union officials, family of incarcerated or paroled juveniles, and many others, made presentations during the public testimony segment.

Twenty-one presenters are listed below in order of their presentation during one or more CIIC meetings in 2005 and 2006

- Department of Youth Services Director
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health Services
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Medical Director
- Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Warden
- University of Toledo Law School Clinical Professor: HIV Prison Project
- Mother of juvenile at Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility
- Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Correctional Officer
- Correctional Assembly President, Ohio Civil Service Employee Association
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Security Threat Group Investigations Coordinator
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction South Regional Director
- Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Warden
- Parents of juvenile at Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Director
- Sister of juvenile at Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility
- Former Juvenile Correctional Officer
- Physician, University Hospitals of Cleveland
- Executive Director of Children's Law Center
- Representative of Children's Defense Fund of Ohio
- Representative of Alliance of Child Caring Service Providers
- Department of Youth Services Director
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Director

### **Legislative Requests**

When CIIC members request further information from the CIIC Director regarding a particular topic discussed in a CIIC meeting, such information is included in the "Progress Report and Staff Briefing," or otherwise provided in the form of an attachment at the next CIIC meeting. During the biennium, such requested follow-up information included the following:

#### **Crowding**

- Capacity and Crowding Rate by Institution
- Inmate Population at Each Prison
- Crowding Rates and Increase
- Population and Average Daily Cost: Department of Youth Services and Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
- Prison Crowding Rate

### **Staffing**

- Inmate/Youth Per Officer and Per Total Staff Ratio
- Juvenile Correctional Officer Video Assessment Test

### **Mental Health**

- Highway Patrol Policies and Procedures Regarding Suicides
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Mental Health Caseload
- Department of Youth Services Population and Mental Health Caseload at each Juvenile Correctional Institution

### **Sex Offenders and Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)**

- DRC Response to CIIC Staff Report on Sex Offender Classification and Treatment in Ohio Prisons
- Prison Rape Elimination Act Ten Point Plan Update
- Prison Rape Elimination Act Update, Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

### **Medical**

- Medical Services Summary: *Fussell v. Wilkinson*
- Infectious Diseases in Ohio Prisons
- Assessment of Medical Monitors' Report in Austin Regarding Ohio State Penitentiary

### **Prison Industries**

- Ohio Penal Industries Information

### **Grievance Procedure**

- Inmate Grievance Procedure
- Southern Ohio Correctional Facility and Grievance Procedure
- Department of Youth Services Director's Response to CIIC report

### **Southern Ohio Correctional Facility**

- Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Action Plan in Response to CIIC Report
- Screening Criteria for Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Inmate Job Assignments

### **Northeast Ohio Correctional Center (Private Prison)**

- Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Inquiry, Facility Description, Procedures

### **Confidentiality**

- Department of Youth Services Consent Forms and Confidentiality of Information

### **Programs**

- Department of Youth Services Program Information

**Use of Force**

- Assessment of Allegations of Excessive Force at Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility

**Missouri Model**

- Department of Youth Services Assessment of the Missouri Model
- Department of Youth Services Final Report on the Missouri Model

**DYS Director**

- Department of Youth Services Director Quarterly Updates
- Department of Youth Services Director Questions and Answers

**Specific Juveniles**

- Memo to CIIC on Juvenile
- Memo to CIIC Member on Juvenile

**CIIC**

- Correctional Institution Inspection Committee Members 1978 to Present
- International Conference on “What Constitutes Effective Prison Oversight”
- CIIC as Model for Prison Oversight
- CIIC Director’s Testimony to Senate Health, Human Services and Aging Committee: Proposed Amendment to CIIC Statute

## SYNOPSIS OF CIIC MEETINGS

### March 16, 2005

- Agenda:** Election of CIIC Chairman, Vice Chairman and Secretary  
CIIC 125<sup>th</sup> General Assembly Biennial Report  
CIIC Member Concerns  
Public Testimony
- Presenters:** CIIC Director
- Staff Briefing:** CIIC Biennial Report

### April 27, 2005

- Agenda:** CIIC Staff Briefing  
CIIC Member Concerns  
Public Testimony
- Presenters:** CIIC Director  
DYS Director  
DRC Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health Services
- Staff Briefing:**

#### **Reports**

- Report on Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, March 17, 2005
- SOCF Recommendations and Related Excerpts

#### **Meetings**

- Quarterly with DRC Bureau of Mental Health Staff, February 7, 2005
- International Association of Reentry: Inaugural Summit of the newly formed International Association of Reentry, Columbus 3/15/05 (Inspector Geisler)
- DYS Central Office Staff, March 17, 2005
- DYS Training and Information Session on DYS Statutory Sections Including Confidentiality, April 19, 2005
- Biennial Report and SOCF report follow-up at DRC Central Office on SOCF and Inmate Grievance Procedure, April 26, 2005
- Ohio Public Defenders Commission, Juvenile Section, May 3, 2005
- Juvenile Justice Coalition on CIIC's Role in Monitoring DYS facilities, May 4, 2005

#### **On-Site Visits**

- Marion Correctional Institution Dedication of First Ohio Vietnam Memorial Wall, January 27, 2005 (Inspector Geisler)
- Correctional Medical Center, February 17, 2005 (Inspector Geisler)
- Marion Correctional Institution Annual Recognition Banquet for Outstanding Law Enforcement Correction Officers, February 25, 2005 (Inspector Robison)

#### **Requested Information**

- Follow-Up Information on Southern Ohio Correctional Facility
- Follow-up Information on Inmate Grievance Procedure
- Capacity and Crowding Rate by Institution
- CIIC Staff Assessment of Medical Monitors' Report in Austin Regarding Ohio State Penitentiary
- Information on State Highway Patrol Policies and Procedures Regarding Suicides



**June 15, 2005**

**Agenda:** CIIC Staff Briefing  
 DRC Report  
 Corrections Corporation of America: Status of Northeast Ohio Correctional Center  
 CIIC Member Concerns  
 Public Testimony

**Presenters:** CIIC Director  
 DRC Medical Director  
 Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Warden  
 University of Toledo Law School Clinical Professor-HIV/Prison Project

**Staff Briefing:****Meetings**

- Ohio Public Defenders Commission Meeting, Juvenile Section, May 3, 2005
- Juvenile Justice Coalition Meeting, May 4, 2005
- DYS Legal Staff Meeting on Confidentiality Requirements, May 6, 2005
- Corrections Corporation of America/Northeast Ohio Correctional Center (New Warden and new Regional Director, June 3, 2005
- DRC Bureau of Mental Health Quarterly Meeting, June 6, 2005

**CIIC Contacts and Concerns**

- **DRC** Contacts and Concerns
- Concerns by Institution
- Contacts by Institution
- Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Concerns
- Marion Correctional Institution Concerns
- Warren Correctional Institution Concerns
- Mansfield Correctional Institutions Concerns
- Chillicothe Correctional Institution Concerns
- North East Ohio Correctional Center Concerns
- **DYS** Contacts and Concerns
- Number of DYS Contacts and Concerns, January 1, 2005 – June 10, 2005
- Number and Subject of Reported Concerns from DYS from January 1, 2005 – June 1, 2005

**Attachments**

- Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Action Plan in response to CIIC Report
- Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Letter of Inquiry, May 25, 2005, Facility Description, Procedures
- DYS Consent Forms and Confidentiality of Information

**December 14, 2005**

**Agenda:** Organizational Election  
 Minutes from Previous Meeting  
 CIIC Staff Briefing

**Presenters:** CIIC Director  
 Mother of Juvenile at Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility

**Staff Briefing:****DYS Inspections and On-Site Visits**

- **Scioto** Juvenile Correctional Facility On-Site Visit, August 9, 2005
- **Mohican** Juvenile Correctional Facility On-Site Visit, August 10, 2005
- **Freedom Center** On-Site Visit August 16, 2005
- **DYS Training Academy** On-Site Visit, August 16, 2005
- **Indian River** Juvenile Correctional Facility On-Site Visit, August 18, 2005
- **Ohio River Valley** Juvenile Correctional Facility On-Site Visit, August 23, 2005
- **Circleville** Juvenile Correctional Facility On-Site Visit, August 25, 2005
- **Cuyahoga Hills** Juvenile Correctional Facility Inspection, August 30, 2005
- **Marion** Juvenile Correctional Facility Inspection, September 1, 2005

- **Lighthouse** Youth Center at Paint Creek On-Site Visit, September 8, 2005
- **Scioto** Juvenile Correctional Facility: Presentation by Jerry Lucas on Educational Programs to Build Memory, Learning Skills, November 9, 2005 (Inspectors Robison and Spence)
- **Scioto** Juvenile Correctional Facility: Volunteer Training for Mentoring Program, "Open Hearts," December 6, 2005 (Inspector Robison)

#### **Reports**

- **Ohio Reformatory for Women**, completed August 30, 2005
- National Overview of **Mental Health** Issues in Corrections, completed September 6, 2005
- **Lebanon** Correctional Institution completed November 14, 2005
- **Trumbull** Correctional Institution submitted December 12, 2005

**CIIC Database for DRC Monthly Reports** Operational July 7, 2005

#### **ODRC On-Site Visits**

- **Southeastern** Correctional Institution Founders Day Program of the NAACP, June 18, 2005 (Inspector Jackson)
- **Pickaway** Correctional Camp On-Site Visit, July 14, 2005
- **Southeastern** Correctional Institution "Hip Hop Summit": Industry applied to African American Youth and Its Effect on Prison Population, August 26, 2005 (Inspector Jackson)
- **Southeastern** Correctional Institution Freedom Fund Dinner and Phenomenal Womanhood Awards, October 7, 2005 (Inspector Jackson)
- **Mansfield** Correctional Institution On-Site Visit with Legislative Service Commission Interns, November 4, 2005 (Inspector Saul)
- **Marion** Correctional Institution: Prison Labor Advisory Council, December 14, 2005 (Inspector Robison)

#### **DYS Meetings**

- DYS Central Office Staff and **Mohican** Juvenile Correctional Facility Superintendent, July 27, 2005
- DYS Legislative Liaison, August 2, 2005
- Children's Law Center, August 17, 2005
- DYS Central Office staff, October 26, 2005

#### **DRC Meetings**

- Chief Inspector Quarterly on Inmate Grievance Procedure, June 22, 2005
- Bureau of Mental Health Quarterly, September 6, 2005
- Prison Labor Advisory Council, September 21, 2005
- Mental Health Leadership presentation, September 30, 2005 (CIIC Director)
- New Chief Inspector, October 27, 2005
- Chief Inspector Quarterly, November 16, 2005
- Prison Labor Advisory Committee, November 16, 2005
- Bureau of Mental Health Quarterly, December 13, 2005
- Prison Labor Advisory Committee, December 14, 2005

#### **CIIC Database of Contacts and Concerns**

- DRC Number of Contacts, Subject of Concern, Concerns by institution, January 1, 2005 – December 13, 2005
- DYS Number of Contacts, Subject of Concern, Concerns by Institution, January 1, 2005 – December 13, 2005

#### **Issues**

- CIIC as "Model for Prison Oversight"
- Pending request to move to 15th floor
- Need for CIIC Statutory Language Changes
- Memo to Representative DeBose regarding a Juvenile

**January 25, 2006**

**Agenda:** Minutes of previous meeting  
 CIIC Staff Briefing and Progress Report  
 SOCF conditions discussion  
 Public testimony

**Presenters :** CIIC Director  
 CIIC Inspector  
 SOCF Correctional Officer  
 Correctional Assembly President OCSEA

**Staff Briefing:                   Inspections and On-Site Visits**

- Marion Correctional Institution Prison Labor Advisory Committee 12/14/05
- Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility inspection 12/21/05
- Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility Open Hearts Program 1/4/06 and 1/18/06 (CR)
- Correctional Medical Center on-site 1/20/06 (Inspector Spence)

**CIIC Reports**

- The Evaluation and Inspection Report on TCI 12/20/05
- Sex Offender Classification and Treatment in Ohio Prisons 1/10/06
- The Evaluation and Inspection Report on MCI 1/13/06
- On-Site Visit at PCI 1/23/06

**DYS Meetings**

- DYS Central Office Staff 12/21/05
- DYS Central Office Staff feedback on inspection of Scioto JCF 12/22/05

**DRC Meetings**

- Chief Inspector quarterly meeting 1/11/06
- Invitation PREA special training 3/9/06 and 3/10/06
- Bureau of Mental Health quarterly meeting 12/16/05
- Public meeting of Ohio Criminal Sentencing Commission 1/18/06

**CIIC Database of Contacts and Concerns**

- Contacts by Institution
- Concerns by Subject
- Concerns by Institution
- SOCF Concerns
- WCI Concerns
- LeCI Concerns
- NCCI Concerns
- MaCI Concerns
  
- DYS Contacts, Subject of Concern, Concerns by Institution

**Attachments**

- Terms and Definitions
- Memo to CIIC Re: Juvenile

March 1, 2006

- Agenda:** Minutes of Previous Meeting  
CIIC Progress Report and Staff Briefing  
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Conditions Discussion  
DRC Report on Status of Gang Membership and Activity  
DRC Report on Status of Flow of Drugs into Prison  
Public Testimony
- Presenters:** CIIC Director  
ODRC Security Threat Group Investigations Coordinator  
ODRC South Regional Director  
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Warden  
President of OCSEA's Corrections Assembly
- Staff Briefing:** **Inspections**  
Correctional Reception Center inspection 2/24/06
- CIIC Reports**
- Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility completed 2/14/06
  - Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility completed 2/21/06
  - Review of Assault Data from ODRC Regarding Ohio Prisons submitted 2/23/06
- On-Site Visits**
- Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility Open Hearts Program 2/1/06 and 2/15/06 (CR)
  - MCI program 3/1/06 (Inspector Saul)
- Meeting**
- Prison Labor Advisory Committee 2/15/06 (Inspector Robison)
- CIIC Database of Contacts and Concerns**
- DRC Number of Contacts, Subject of Concern, Concerns by Institution
  - DYS Number of Contacts, Subject of Concern, Concerns by Institution
  - Southern Ohio Correctional Facility Concerns
  - Madison Correctional Institution Concerns

April 5, 2006

- Agenda:** Minutes of Previous Meeting  
CIIC Staff Briefing  
Public Testimony
- Presenters:** CIIC Director  
Parents of Juvenile at Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility
- Staff Briefing:** **Inspections**
- Northeast Pre-Release Center Inspection 3/1/06
  - Lorain Correctional Institution Inspection 4/4/06
- Population and Cost**
- Attachment on Population of DYS and DRC
  - Attachment on Average Daily Cost of DYS and DRC
- Contacts and Concerns**
- **DRC** Number of Contacts, Subject of Concern, Concerns by Institution 1/1/05-3/31/06
  - **DYS** Number of Contacts, Subject of Concern, Concerns by Institution 1/1/05-3/31/06

**Reports**

- Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility completed 2/6/06
- Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility completed 2/21/06
- Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility completed 3/29/06
- Sex Offender Classification and Treatment in Ohio Prisons 3/30/06
- Summary: CIIC Staff Report on Sex Offender Classification and Treatment in Ohio Prisons 3/30/06

**Meetings**

- DRC Mental Health Services Quarterly Meeting
- Juvenile Justice Community Planning Summit 3/13/06 (Inspector Robison)
- DRC Sexual Assault Training: Sexual Assault Investigation Techniques 3/9/06 and 3/10/06 (Inspectors Spence and Jackson)

**DYS On-Site Visits**

- Scioto JCF Open Hearts Program 3/1/06 and 3/15/06 (Inspector Robison)

**DRC PREA Update****May 10, 2006****Agenda:**

Minutes of Previous Meeting  
DRC Director  
CIIC Staff Briefing  
Public Testimony

**Presenters:**

CIIC Director  
DRC Director  
Sister of Juvenile at Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility  
Former DYS Juvenile Correctional Officer  
Physician from University Hospitals of Cleveland  
Executive Director of Children's Law Center  
Representative from Children's Defense Fund of Ohio  
Alliance of Child Caring Service Providers Representative

**Staff Briefing:****Inspections**

- London Correctional Institution Inspection 4/24/06
- Madison Correctional Institution Inspection 4/24/06

**On-Site Visits**

- Northeast Ohio Correctional Center 4/11/06
- Ohio Reformatory for Women: Legislative Service Commission Interns Tour 4/15/06 (Inspector Saul)
- Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility Prison Ministry Program 5/1/06 (Inspector Saul)

**Reports**

- Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility 4/13/06
- Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility 4/17/06
- "The Work of the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee: Reflections and Analysis" 4/14/06
- Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility 5/3/06

**DRC Response to CIIC Staff Report on Sex Offender Classification and Treatment in Ohio Prisons****Meetings**

- Prison Labor Advisory Council 4/19/06 (Inspector Robison)
- International Conference on "What Constitutes Effective Prison Oversight" 4/23/06-4/27/06 (CIIC Director)
- DYS Central Office Staff meeting 5/4/06 discussed recommendations of DYS Task Force established in October 2005

**Attachments :** DYS Program Information  
 DYS Population and Mental Health Caseload at Each Juvenile Correctional Institution  
 Inmate Population at Each prison  
 Screening Criteria for SOCF Inmate Job Assignments  
 Ohio Penal Industries Information

**July 19, 2006**

**Agenda:** Minutes of Previous Meeting  
 CIIC Staff Briefing  
 Presentation on DYS  
 Report from DRC on Ohio Prisoner Capacity Issues  
 Public Testimony

**Presenters:** CIIC Director  
 DYS Director  
 DRC Director

**Staff Briefing:** **Inspections**

- Warren Correctional Institution Inspection 5/19/06
- Chillicothe Correctional Institution Inspection 6/1/06
- Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility Inspection 5/25/06

**Inspection Reports**

- Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility 5/12/06
- Lorain Correctional Institution 6/26/06
- Correctional Reception Center 6/29/06
- Northeast Pre-Release Center 7/13/06
- Madison Correctional Institution 7/18/06

**On-Site Visit reports**

- DYS Training Academy 6/23/06
- Freedom Center 6/28/06

**Evaluation Reports**

- DRC Inmate Grievance Procedure 6/2/06
- DRC Investigator Data 6/6/06
- Data on Incidents in DYS 7/14/06

**DRC Meetings**

- DRC Staff: Food and Sundry Packages 5/16/06
- Prison Labor Advisory Council 5/17/06 (Inspector Robison)
- Chief Inspector Quarterly 5/18/06
- Prison Labor Advisory Council 6/21/06 (Inspector Robison)
- Prison Labor Advisory Council 7/19/06 (Inspector Robison)

**DYS Meetings**

- DYS Central Office Staff Reentry Roadmap 6/5/06
- DYS Staff Training Academy on Classification 6/27/06 (Director Pope, Inspector Spence)
- DYS Central Office Staff: Results of Grievance Data Review 6/30/06

**Attachments:**

- Crowding Rates and Increases
- PREA Ten Point Plan
- Medical Services Summary: Fussell v. Wilkinson
- Summary: Allegations of Excessive Force at Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility on 4/12/06

**November 29, 2006**

**Agenda:** Minutes of Previous Meeting  
 CIIC Staff Briefing  
 Public Testimony

**Presenters:** CIIC Director

**Staff Briefing:** Inspections Overview

**On-Site Visit**

- DYS Training Academy: Correctional Officer Video Assessment Test, August 2, 2006

**Inspection Reports**

- Northeast Ohio Correctional Center, July 21, 2006
- Warren Correctional Institution, September 6, 2006
- London Correctional Institution, September 6, 2006
- Chillicothe Correctional Institution, November 15, 2006
- Lighthouse Youth Center at Paint Creek, November 16, 2006

**Evaluation Reports**

- Data on Incidents January-March '06 in DYS Institutions, July 23, 2006
- Summary of Reports on Mental Health Services within DRC, July 25, 2006
- Evaluation of the DYS Grievance Procedure, August 16, 2006

**Follow-up Info:** DRC Mental Health Services  
 Prison Crowding  
 DRC Inmate to Officer Ratio  
 Ratio of DYS Youth to "Direct Care" Staff  
 Contacts and Concerns

**Meetings**

- DRC Prison Labor Advisory Council, July 19, August 13, September 20, October 18, 2006 (Inspector Robison)
- DRC Medical, Mental Health and Sex Offender Services Quarterly, July 25 and October 24, 2006
- DRC Chief Inspector, Deputy Chief Inspector, October 18, 2006
- DRC Legal Staff Retreat, July 27, 2006 (Director Pope, Inspector Geisler)
- DRC Security Threat Group, October 12 and 13, 2006, October 26 and 27, 2006 (Inspector Geisler)
- DRC Security Threat Group Investigator, November 14, 2006
- DYS and the Missouri Model, August 4, 2006 (Director Pope)
- DYS Volunteer Mentors, October 3, 2006 (Inspector Robison)
- KONNECT: Volunteer Mentors, July 20, 2006
- KONNECT Mentor Appreciation Event, July 28, 2006 (Inspector Jackson)
- Khalil Osiris on the Psychology of Incarceration, November 21, 2006

**Attachments:** Inspection/On-Site Visits Tables  
 Letter from DYS Director  
 DRC Prison Crowding Rate  
 DRC Inmate, DYS Youth Per Officer & Per Institution Staff Ratio  
 Contacts and Concerns  
 DYS and the Missouri Model

**December 19, 2006**

**Agenda:** Minutes of Previous Meeting  
 CIIC Staff Briefing  
 Public Testimony

**Presenters:** CIIC Director

**Staff Briefing:****Meetings**

- DYS Re-Entry Services Conference, December 5, 2006, (Inspector Robison)
- Southern Ohio Anti-Gang Summit, December 6, 2006 (Inspector Geisler)
- Ohio Correctional and Court Services Association, December 11, 2006, DYS/CIIC Panel "From the Outside Lookin In," (Inspector Michalak)
- DRC Security Threat Group Program Meeting, December 12, 2006, (Inspector Geisler) regarding Ross and Grafton Correctional Institution programs
- DRC Prison Advisory Council Meeting, December 13, 2006. (Inspector Robison)
- Senate Health, Human Services and Aging Committee, December 13, 2006, Testimony on CIIC amendment to House Bill 87, (Director Pope)
- DRC Regional Directors re. Staffing Level Determinations, December 13, 2006
  - Staffing at Private Prisons: Lake Erie Correctional Institution, North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility
- Year End Meeting with DYS Director, December 14, 2006 (Director Pope)

**Attachments:**

DYS Director Quarterly Updates, July and October 2006  
 DYS Director Questions and Answers 2006  
 DYS Final Report on the Missouri Model, November 30, 2006  
 Testimony to Senate Health, Human Services & Aging Committee, December 13, 2006

**Requested Follow-up Information:**

DYS Correctional Officer Video Assessment Test  
 DRC Mental Health Caseload  
 Infectious Diseases in Ohio Prisons  
 CIIC Members January 1978 to Present



## MEETINGS ATTENDED BY CIIC STAFF

The following information was taken from the CIIC Director's Staff Briefing and Progress Reports presented at the CIIC meetings in 2005 and 2006. Depending on time constraints and the length of the Progress Report and Staff Briefing, meetings attended by CIIC staff are summarized for the CIIC members.

**TABLE 7. MEETINGS ATTENDED BY CIIC STAFF IN 2005  
WITH DATE, LOCATION AND PURPOSE**

<b>DATE</b>	<b>LOCATION</b>	<b>PURPOSE</b>
2-02-2005	Statehouse	Presentation of CIIC Staff to Senate Judiciary Criminal Justice Committee
2-07-2005	CIIC Office	Mental Health Issues
2-25-2005	Marion Correctional Institution	Annual Recognition for Outstanding Law Enforcement and Corrections Officers in Marion County
3-14-2005	CIIC Office	Code of Ethics and Statutory Law
3-15-2005	ODRC Best Practices	Inaugural Summit of International Association of Reentry
3-17-2005	DYS Central Office	DYS Initial Meeting
3-18-2005	CIIC Office	NCSL Meeting
4-19-2005	ODRC Central Office	DYS Training and Information Session
4-26-2005	ODRC Central Office	Discuss Grievance Section of CIIC Biennial Report
5-03-2005	CIIC Office	Ohio Public Defenders Commission
5-04-2005	Columbus, Ohio	Juvenile Justice Coalition- CIIC Role in Monitoring DYS
5-06-2005	CIIC Office	Juvenile Confidentiality
6-03-2005	CIIC Office	Introduction of new Warden/Regional Director at NEOCC
6-06-2005	DRC Central Office	Quarterly Mental Health Meeting
6-22-2005	DRC Central Office	Feedback to CIIC Biennial Report meeting on 04-26-2005
6-23-2005 6-24-2005	Columbus	Reclaim Ohio Conference and Training
7-18-2005	CIIC Office	LSC New Staff Training
7-27-2005	CIIC Office	DYS Central Office and Mohican Superintendent
8-02-2005	CIIC Office	DYS Legislative Liaison
8-03-2005	ODRC Best Practices	SORRC Steering Committee- Sex Offender Conference
8-17-2005	CIIC Office	Children's Law Center regarding DYS
8-17-2005	CIIC Office	LSC New Staff Training
9-06-2005	CIIC Office	Mental Health Quarterly
9-21-2005	ODRC Office	Prison Labor Advisory Committee
10-03-2005	CIIC Office	LSC New Staff Training
10-26-2005	DYS Central Office	DYS Meeting with Director
10-27-2005	ODRC Central Office	DRC Chief Inspector Quarterly
11-16-2005	DRC Best Practices Institute	Institutional Inspector's Meeting
11-16-2005	ODRC Office	Prison Labor Advisory Committee
11-28-2005	CIIC Office	LSC New Staff Training
12-13-2005	CIIC Office	Mental Health Quarterly
12-14-2005	Marion Correctional Institution	Prison Labor Advisory Committee
12-21-2005	CIIC Office	Discuss concerns of Parent of DYS Juvenile
12-22-2005	CIIC Office	Discuss feedback on inspection of SJCF

**TABLE 8. MEETINGS ATTENDED BY CIIC STAFF IN 2006  
WITH DATE, LOCATION AND PURPOSE**

<b>DATE</b>	<b>LOCATION</b>	<b>PURPOSE</b>
1-11-2006	CIIC Offices	DRC Chief Inspector Quarterly meeting
1-18-2006	Ohio Supreme Court Building	Ohio Criminal Sentencing Commission Meeting
1-30-2006	CIIC Office	LSC New Staff Training
2-15-2006	ODRC Office	Prison labor Advisory Meeting
3-09-2006	Best Practices Institute	Sexual Assault Investigative Technique training session
3-10-2006		
3-13-2006	Midwest Hotel and Conference Center	Juvenile Justice Community Planning Summit - Rethinking Juvenile Justice Conference
3-13-2006	CIIC Office	New Staff Training
3-15-2006	ODRC Office	Prison Labor Advisory Committee
3-30-2006	CIIC Office	DRC Chief Mental Health Services/Director of Sex Offender Services
4-19-2006	ODRC Central Office	Prison Labor Advisory Committee
4-23 to 4-27-2006	University of Texas at Austin	What Constitutes Effective Prison Oversight Conference
5-04-2006	CIIC Office	Discussion of DYS task force
5-16-2006	CIIC Office	DRC Staff, proposed changes in AR
5-17-2006	ODRC Office	Prison Labor Advisory Committee
5-18-2006	Worthington, Ohio	DRC Chief Inspector Quarterly meeting
6-08-2006	DYS Central Office	DYS Re-entry Roadmap
6-19-2006	CIIC Office	LSC New Staff Training
6-21-2006	ODRC Office	Prison Labor Advisory Committee
6-27-2006	DYS Staff Training Academy	DYS youth classification system informational session
6-30-2006	CIIC Office	DYS discussion of grievance data review
7-19-06	DRC Central Office	Prison Labor Advisory Council
7-20-2006	CIIC Office	Meeting with Konnect Program- Mentorship for Children of Incarcerated Parents
7-25-2006	DRC Office	DRC Mental Health and Medical Quarterly
7-27-2006	Columbus area Metro Park	DRC Legal Services Retreat
7-28-06	Cincinnati	KONNECT Mentor Appreciation Event
8-2-2006	DYS Training Academy	Correctional Officer Video Assessment Test
8-4-2006	DYS Central Office	DYS Report on Missouri Model
8-14-2006	CIIC Office	LSC New Staff Training
8-23-2006	Ohio Penal Industries Showroom, Columbus	Prison Labor Advisory Council
9-20-2006	DRC Central Office	Prison Labor Advisory Council
10-3-2006	Columbus	DYS Volunteer Mentors
10-12-2006	Columbus	DRC Security Threat Group Investigator Training
10-13-2006		
10-18-2006	CIIC Office	DRC Chief Inspector Quarterly re. CIIC Reports, Inmate Grievance Procedure 2007 Survey
10-18-2006	DRC Central Office	Prison Labor Advisory Council
10-24-2006	CIIC Office	DRC Mental Health and Medical Quarterly
10-26-2006	Columbus	Attorney General's Security Threat Group Training
10-27-2006		
11-14-2006	CIIC Office	DRC Security Threat Group Investigator
11-21-2006	CIIC Office	Khalil Osiris re. DRC/DYS Re-entry Programs
12-5-2006	DRC Best Practices Institute	DYS Reentry Services Conference
12-6-2006	Columbus Police Academy	Southern Ohio Anti-Gang Summit
12-11-2006	Cincinnati	DYS/CIIC Panel, "From the Outside Looking In," Conference of the Ohio Correctional and Court Services Association
12-12-2006	DRC Central Office	Security Threat Group Program: Ross and Grafton Correctional Institutions
12-13-2006	DRC Central Office	Prison Labor Advisory Council
12-13-2006	Statehouse	Senate Health, Human Services and Aging Committee Testimony
12-13-2006	CIIC Office	DRC Regional Directors Re. Staffing Level Determinations
12-14-2006	DYS Central Office	DYS Director for Year End Meeting

- **DRC MENTAL HEALTH, SEX OFFENDER PROGRAM, MEDICAL SERVICES MEETINGS**

**DRC Mental Health Bureau Chief, February 7, 2005**

On February 7, 2005, the DRC Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health Services met with CIIC staff. Meetings will be on a quarterly basis to maintain close communication on mental health issues, progress and concerns.

**DRC Mental Health Quarterly, June 6, 2005**

On June 6, 2005, CIIC staff met with the DRC Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health Services. Mental Health staff are allocated 613 positions, but are only budgeted for 535 positions. The DRC mental health budget has declined from \$74 million to currently \$65 million, comprising five percent of the DRC budget. In addition to closing the Residential Treatment Unit at the Mansfield Correctional Institution several years ago, clerical, support staff and social workers have reportedly been cut or eliminated to cut costs. However, the mental health staff ratio per the Dunn class action settlement has reportedly remained the same, except that Psychiatrists have a caseload of 250, compared to their previous caseload of 200.

Data was requested on the number of forced medication hearings per institution for monitoring purposes. Data was also requested on the number of suicide attempts at each institution. Discussion included the suicide at SOCF on June 4, 2005. SOCF had a second suicide on June 7, 2005, with a system-wide total of five suicides in 2005. Including the suicide on May 1, 2005 at the Northeast Ohio Correctional Center, there have been six suicides thus far in 2005.

**DRC Sex Offenders Risk Reduction Reentry Steering Committee, August 3, 2005**

On August 3, 2005, per invitation from DRC, CIIC staff attended a DRC planning meeting of the Sex Offender Risk Reduction Reentry Steering Committee. An overview of their Task Force Report was provided, followed by Work Groups on Sex Offender Programming and Reentry Management, Community Placement and Housing, Continuing Education and Training, Information Transfer and Sharing.

**DRC Mental Health Quarterly, September 6, 2005**

On September 6, 2005, CIIC staff met with the DRC Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health for the quarterly meeting.

**DRC Mental Health Leadership Meeting, September 30, 2005**

On September 30, 2005, per invitation from the DRC Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health Services, an opportunity was provided to the CIIC Director to attend and speak at a Mental Health Leadership Meeting of Mental Health Administrators and Clinical Directors from throughout the prison system

**DRC Mental Health Quarterly, December 13, 2005**

On December 13, 2005, CIIC staff met with the DRC Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health for the quarterly meeting. DRC is in the process of adapting the Recovery Model to prison mental

health service delivery. The model is used by the Department of Mental Health, and is expected to have a positive impact on staff, the mentally ill, and on re-entry goals.

### **Ohio Criminal Sentencing Commission: Sex Offender Legislation, January 18, 2006**

On January 18, 2006 Committee staff attended a public meeting of the Ohio Criminal Sentencing Commission. The Sentencing Commission studied Ohio's sex offender registration and notice (SORN) statutes, and recapped SORN laws and related issues. The DRC Director discussed his proposal to revise certain aspects of the sentencing and offender supervision laws. He relayed that the DRC omnibus reentry package will:

1. Strengthen offender reentry, and challenge present sanctions for offender reentry;
2. Provide an expanded, accountable, criminal justice treatment and sentencing continuum for specific offenders; and
3. Address efficiency in agency operations

### **DRC Mental Health Quarterly: Sex Offender Report, March 30, 2006**

On March 30, 2006, Committee staff met with the DRC Chief of Mental Health Services and DRC Director of Sex Offender Services. DRC Sex Offender Services staff previously met on February 3, 2006 to review the CIIC report on Sex Offender Classification and Treatment in Ohio Prisons. The purpose of their meeting was to develop a departmental response to the CIIC report, and to make recommendations to be considered by the Department.

### **DRC Medical Quarterly, Mental Health Quarterly and Sex Offender Program, July 25, 2006 and October 24, 2006**

On July 25, 2006 and October 24, 2006 Committee staff met with the DRC Office of Correctional Health Care, specifically the Chief of Medical Services, and the Chief of Mental Health Services. The July meeting marked the very first quarterly meeting with the Chief of Medical Services, and the Director of the Sex Offender Program was also present. The October meeting was held at the Committee staff office, and the July meeting took place at the DRC central office.

- **DRC GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE, SEXUAL ASSAULT, SECURITY THREAT GROUP MEETINGS**

### **DRC Biennial Report and SOCF Report Follow-Up Meeting April 26, 2005**

At the DRC Assistant Director's request, a meeting with CIIC staff was held at the DRC Central Office on April 26, 2005 to discuss the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility and Inmate Grievance Procedure. The DRC Regional Directors, Chief Inspector, Legislative Liaisons, and the new SOCF Warden, also attended the meeting.

It was apparent that they have carefully studied our reports, and certainly in the case of SOCF, are giving full consideration to the merits of our recommendations for improvements. In regard to the grievance procedure, they are open to the possibility of extending the deadline for filing an informal complaint, grievance and appeal. However, the Assistant Director clearly is opposed to reinstating the Outside Review Committee that was formerly at the Marion Correctional Institution. The Chief Inspector requested increased communication with CIIC staff, including regular quarterly meetings to share information on identified problems or issues at particular institutions or system wide. In brief, the open, professional and cooperative discussion of our

mutual concerns was extremely productive, and left CIIC staff assured that our reports have been taken very seriously.

**DRC Chief Inspector: Inmate Grievance Procedure, June 22, 2005**

Per communication of May 24, 2005, the DRC Chief Inspector met with her staff to discuss the recommendations contained in the CIIC Biennial Report. On June 22, 2005, CIIC staff met with the DRC Chief Inspector, Assistant Chief Inspector and Administrative Assistant regarding the grievance procedure.

**DRC Chief Inspector: Inmate Grievance Procedure, October 27, 2005**

On October 27, 2005 CIIC staff met with the new DRC Chief Inspector and former DRC Chief Inspector, as well as with the DRC Assistant Chief Inspectors regarding the grievance procedure.

**DRC Chief Inspector: Training with Inspectors and CIIC Presentation, November 16, 2005**

On November 16, 2005, per invitation of the Chief Inspector, CIIC staff attended the Quarterly Meeting of the Inspectors. In addition to speaking to the Inspectors about the work and purpose of the CIIC, CIIC staff observed their role-playing session in which a mock grievance was investigated through interviews and gathering documentation.

**DRC Chief Inspector Quarterly, January 11, 2006**

On January 11, 2006, Committee staff met with the DRC Chief Inspector and Assistant Chief Inspectors for the quarterly meeting. The Chief Inspector's Office shared information regarding a January 3, 2006 plan for the development of an automated inmate grievance process. The project will provide a paperless grievance management and filing system to link the Chief Inspector's Office and the Institution Inspectors on various forms, scanning the documents at the Inspector's level. The project is reported to compliment the current electronic monthly Inspectors' reporting system in use. The goal of the project is to provide a solution for efficient management of the grievance process.

Further, a Registered Nurse has been hired by DRC to fill a previously vacant Assistant Chief Inspector position. The staff person is located within the Bureau of Medical Services. He reviews and responds to all medical grievance appeals. It was also reported that the backlog of grievance appeals and original grievances pertaining to Wardens or Inspectors have also been eliminated.

**DRC Chief Inspector: Investigator Sexual Assault Training, March 9 and 10, 2006**

Per invitation from the DRC Chief Inspector, two Committee staff attended a two-day training session on Sexual Assault Investigative Techniques provided to institution Investigators on March 9, 2006 and March 10, 2006 at the Best Practices Institute. CIIC Inspectors Spence and Jackson attended the training, which included:

- Recognizing and responding to sexual assault
- Investigative and victim interviewing techniques
- Effective report writing
- A multi-disciplinary collaborative approach to investigating sexual assault
- Overcoming challenges to collaboration in investigations

- Impact of DNA on sexual assault investigations, and
- Defining and describing sex offenders

Committee staff were provided with an extensive manual on Sexual Assault Training and Investigations for study and future reference.

#### **DRC Chief Inspector Quarterly, May 18, 2006**

On May 18, 2006 Committee staff met with the DRC Chief Inspector and his staff for the quarterly meeting. The 2001 inmate grievance procedure recommendations by outside consultant, Attorney Vince Nathan were discussed. The meetings with the DRC Chief Inspector and his staff are regarded as valuable, and aid CIIC staff's effort to fulfill the evaluation mandate.

#### **DYS Chief Inspector: Grievance Data, June 30, 2006**

On June 30, 2006 Committee staff met with the DYS Chief Inspector, Legislative Liaison and Deputy Director of Corrections to discuss the results of the CIIC grievance data review from January through April 2006.

#### **DRC Chief Inspector Quarterly, October 18, 2006**

On October 18, 2006 Committee staff met with the DRC Chief Inspector and Deputy Chief Inspector at the Committee staff office for the quarterly meeting. Discussion focused on CIIC's two previous reports: Inmate Grievance Procedure Review, and Investigator Data Review.

A grievance procedure survey is one method to fulfill our mandate to evaluate the grievance procedure. Survey questionnaires were developed by DRC for such an evaluation conducted with the help of consulting services in the year 2000 by Attorney Vince Nathan. A copy was provided to the DRC staff at our quarterly meeting. The set includes separate surveys for wardens, inspectors, supervisors, and inmates. DRC assistance was requested with the necessary distribution of surveys for CIIC staff's later analysis in 2007. We are awaiting their decision regarding the request.

As in the 2000 survey, a random sample of inmates would be selected for their voluntary participation. A standard statistical formula would be used for the selection of an appropriate sample size from each institution's population. In the previous survey, from eight to 13 percent of each institution's population participated in the survey, with a system-wide total of nine percent of the total inmate population of only seven prisons surveyed. However, this project would involve all Ohio prisons in order to more accurately measure results system-wide.

#### **DRC Security Threat Group Training, October 12-13, 26-27, 2006**

On October 12 and 13, 2006, Inspector Geisler attended DRC training held by the Central Office Security Threat Group Investigators for the benefit of their staff counterparts at the institutions.

In addition, on October 26 and 27, 2006, Inspector Geisler attended Security Threat Group training sponsored by the Attorney General's office.

### **DRC Security Threat Group Investigator, November 14, 2006**

On November 14, 2006 the DRC Central Office Security Threat Group Investigator, Tony Delgado, met with Committee staff for the very first time, to discuss his work. CIIC staff were very pleased to see a positive evolution in perspective and approach from the Security Threat Group central office staff, and pleased to learn more about the proposed Security Threat Group programs to be implemented at Ross Correctional Institution and Grafton Correctional Institution.

### **Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Security Threat Group Summit, December 6, 2006**

Per referral from the DRC Security Threat Group Investigator, on December 6, 2006, Inspector Geisler attended the Southern Ohio Anti-Gang Summit held at the Columbus Police Academy. The Summit was sponsored by federal, state and local government agencies. A panel of community leaders in Southern Ohio discussed local gang problems. During breakout sessions, a variety of perspectives and disciplines were examined and discussed. The Deputy Director of the U.S. Attorney General's National Gang Task Force provided a national perspective on gangs.

### **DRC Security Threat Group Program , December 12, 2006**

On December 12, 2006 Greg Geisler attended a meeting at the DRC central office to discuss the Security Threat Group program being planned for Ross Correctional Institution and Grafton Correctional Institution. The project at Ross Correctional Institution is titled, "Creating Opportunities for Positive Endeavors." The Grafton Correctional Institution's proposed program is linked to the Project Safe Neighborhood grant, which identifies STG members to be released to Cuyahoga County, and targets their re-entry needs, such as jobs and substance abuse programming.

- **RE-ENTRY MEETINGS**

### **International Association of Re-entry, March 15, 2005**

On March 15, 2005, one of the CIIC Inspectors attended the Inaugural Summit of the newly formed International Association of Re-entry held in Columbus. The invitation to attend was provided by the DRC Prison Labor Advisory Council.

### **DYS Re-entry, June 8, 2006**

Committee staff were invited to a briefing by DYS, which occurred on June 8, 2006 at their Central Office. It included information on "job coach" mentoring, to aid youth in obtaining employment on return to the community. A volunteer recruitment video was also viewed and discussed. It is a motivational tool to recruit citizens to volunteer to work with youth in the institutions.

### **KONNECT: Volunteer Mentors, July 20 and 28, 2006**

Per the request of KONNECT staff, a meeting was held at the Committee staff office on July 20, 2006. Their program trains and equips mentors who volunteer one hour per week for one year to help children who have an incarcerated parent. To qualify, children must be four to 15 years old, and live in the greater Cincinnati area. Studies have shown that children whose parents are imprisoned are seven times more likely to end up in prison. Through positive adult mentors,

KONNECT tries to improve that outcome. They also provide monthly group activities, and link families with support services.

Per invitation at the meeting, Inspector Jackson attended their mentor appreciation event on July 28, 2006 as a representative of Committee staff. The gathering reaffirmed the positive impression of the program from the preceding meeting.

Committee staff guided KONNECT staff to appropriate persons within DRC and DYS to assist with their request to speak to institution staff, and to make their materials accessible to incarcerated youth and inmates. Contact was also initiated with DRC and DYS staff to make them aware of the KONNECT program and referral.

### **DYS Volunteer Mentors, October 3, 2006**

On October 3, 2006 Inspector Robison attended a DYS event in Columbus. Selected youth from each of the juvenile facilities made presentations, which showed their talents and accomplishments through expressions of faith, art, dance and music. The event highlighted the positive impact volunteers and mentors have had in the past year on DYS youth. DYS staff cited the need to actively recruit more adults to participate.

### **Khalil Osiris on the Psychology of Incarceration, November 21, 2006**

Per his request, Committee staff met with Khalil Osiris at the CIIC office on November 21, 2006. Mr. Osiris spent more than 15 years in prison prior to August 2000. He has since co-authored a book on the Psychology of Incarceration, which he teaches at Wright State University. His program is a "DRC approved re-entry program" that he teaches in seven prisons. He received a bachelor's and master's degree from Boston University while in prison in Massachusetts.

Mr. Osiris implemented a new "gang specific program" called "Better Choice," which consisted of three seminars on "The Psychology of Incarceration" at the Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility. Their staff recruited him after hearing him speak at a National Association for Blacks in Criminal Justice conference. He has also spoken at the Ohio Wardens and Superintendents Association conference. Staff who attended his classes in December described his presentation as excellent, and considered his message to youth of respect and ownership of their offenses, to be appropriate and engaging. Since his December visit to the Marion facility, they have sent one of their social workers to a three-part "Train the Trainer" course for Psychology of Incarceration. Marion's goal is to begin to incorporate his message into their daily activities through their own staff.

DRC staff have similarly relayed that Mr. Osiris sends a positive message, that he is sincerely interested in reentry, and has been an advocate in working with inmates to change their ways. CIIC staff welcomed the opportunity to hear of his accomplishments while in prison and since his release, as well as to hear of his experience while at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility in the 70s and 90s.

### **Department of Youth Services Re-Entry Services Conference, December 5, 2006**

On December 5, 2006 Inspector Robison attended the DYS Reentry Services Conference held at the DRC Best Practices Institute on the grounds of the London Correctional Institution. The conference included a presentation on "Best Practices" relevant to engaging volunteers'



participation in DYS programs, a session on Volunteer Training and Recognition, a Reentry Impact Panel, and Reentry Teams Workshop on 2007 Reentry Plans.

- **DRC PRISON LABOR ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETINGS**

CIIC Inspector, Carol Robison, was designated by the CIIC Director to attend the monthly meetings of the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction's Prison Labor Advisory Council in 2005 and 2006. While most of the meetings were held at the DRC Central Office, on occasion meetings have been held at a prison or at the Ohio Penal Industries showroom in Columbus.

- **NON-DYS JUVENILE MEETINGS**

**Ohio Public Defender Commission Meeting, May 3, 2005**

On May 3, 2005, CIIC staff met with an Assistant State Public Defender and Public Information Officer of the Juvenile Section of the Ohio Public Defenders Commission, in response to their request. In the course of their communication with juveniles, they have become aware of problems within DYS which are relevant to CIIC's area of jurisdiction in inspections and evaluations, such as conditions of confinement. CIIC staff welcomed the opportunity to meet with them and appreciated their initiative in contacting the CIIC. They were helpful in providing relevant information pertaining to the CIIC inspections and evaluations of the juvenile correctional facilities, and have been helpful in providing follow-up communication as well.

**Juvenile Justice Coalition, May 4, 2005**

The Juvenile Justice Coalition, in partnership with the League of Women Voters of Ohio, requested CIIC staff's attendance at their May 4, 2005 meeting to provide an update on CIIC's role in monitoring DYS facilities. The Coalition expressed an appreciation of our willingness to work with community groups to improve conditions in DYS institutions. The meeting was attended by a group of organizations interested in Juvenile Corrections.

**Children's Law Center, August 17, 2005**

On **August 17, 2005**, the CIIC staff met with Kim Brooks Tandy of the Children's Law Center who briefed CIIC staff on their concerns regarding the DYS facilities.

**Juvenile Justice Forum, March 13, 2006**

Voices for Children of Greater Cleveland invited Committee staff to attend a Juvenile Justice Community Planning Summit held in Columbus on March 13, 2006. Carol Robison of the Committee staff attended the Summit. The CIIC Chairman, Representative Willamowski, served on one of the two panel discussions on Ohio's Juvenile Justice System and Issues. The Summit included a presentation on "National Trends, Best Practices and Reforms," and a session on "What We Can Do Now."

Excellent materials were obtained, which we will carefully review for possible incorporation of recommendations in our upcoming report on an overview of the juvenile correctional facilities.

- **OTHER - DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES MEETINGS**

**Department of Youth Services Initial Meeting, March 17, 2005**

On March 17, 2005, CIIC staff attended a meeting on invitation from the DYS Director. The meeting included the DYS Director, Deputy Directors of Corrections and Treatment, Chief Inspector, and Legislative Liaison. CIIC staff were assured that our introductory memo to staff and juveniles has been posted in all DYS facilities.

During the meeting, a request was submitted for monthly or quarterly reports providing data on medical and mental health services, substance abuse programs, sex offender treatment, inmate grievance procedure, use of force, assaults, education, and vocational programs. In addition to the request for notification regarding any deaths, information was requested on their classification system, method of assignment of juveniles to specific facilities, the disciplinary process, and table of organization to clarify staff positions and areas of responsibility. Lastly, access was requested to DYS policies and current administrative rules, as well as access to a portion of the DYS Offender Tracking System.

**DYS Training and Information Session, April 19, 2005**

CIIC staff attended a Department of Youth Services Training and Information Session on April 19, 2005. The session provided a valuable presentation by DYS Legal staff regarding the statutory sections pertaining to DYS, including the strict provisions regarding confidentiality. DYS staff have questions about how state and federal privacy laws mesh with the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee's authority and need for information. While no date has been set, it has been suggested by the DYS Director that a follow-up meeting be held, to include DYS legal staff and LSC legal staff to resolve the questions.

**DYS and Confidentiality, May 6, 2005**

On May 6, 2005, CIIC staff, attorneys from the House of Representatives and Senate, and DYS legal staff met to discuss DYS questions regarding the extent to which the CIIC's need for information conflicts with DYS requirements pertaining to confidentiality. "General Records" may be released with the consent of DYS. All other information may only be released with the written consent of the youth, and if a minor, with written consent of the parent or guardian.

**DYS and Juvenile and Parent/Guardian Consent Forms, June 10, 2005**

As a follow-up to the May 6, 2005 DYS meeting, on June 10, 2005, DYS provided Committee staff with two types of draft consent forms for review, consisting of one **General Consent Form** to be used for medical, mental health, and other confidential records, and one **Education Consent Form**. To obtain "any information, oral, visual, written, electronic, or physical that describes **past, present or future physical or mental health status or condition or receipt of treatment or care,**" CIIC staff will be required to submit to DYS a written consent form signed by the juvenile, and if the juvenile is under the age of 18, signed also by the parent or guardian. Reportedly, DYS staff will assist in providing us with the name and presumably the address and phone number of the parent or guardian. A follow-up meeting with DYS will be scheduled to determine the specific method of obtaining the necessary information before any consent forms can be mailed to them, signed, returned to the CIIC office, and then forwarded to DYS. As noted on the form, the consent is only effective for 90 days.

Thus far, we continue to make phone and written inquiries to DYS facilities when warranted, in the same manner as inquiries to the adult prisons. If it is true that juveniles have difficulty writing a letter, they certainly will have extreme difficulty filling out consent forms.

#### **DYS RECLAIM Ohio Conference, June 23-24, 2005**

CIIC staff attended the RECLAIM Ohio conference per invitation of DYS. The seminar was composed of 15 different workshops, each geared to address issues that affect youth and each contained recommendations for change. The material is relevant to the CIIC statutory provision to report on “any programs that have been proposed or developed to improve the condition or operation...” of youth services facilities.

#### **DYS Central Office and Mohican Superintendent, July 27, 2005**

On July 27, 2005, per request of DYS staff, a meeting was held with Martha Spohn, new Superintendent of the Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility, Andrea Morbitzer, new Deputy Director of Corrections, and Nathan Miner, Legislative Liaison.

#### **Meeting with DYS Legislative Liaison, August 2, 2005**

A follow-up meeting was held with the DYS Legislative Liaison on August 2, 2005.

#### **DYS Director Meeting, October 26, 2005**

On October 26, 2005, per the request of the DYS Director, CIIC staff met with the DYS Director, DYS Legislative Liaison, and DYS Executive Assistant to the Director.

#### **DYS Legislative Liaison, Director’s Executive Assistant, December 21 and 22, 2005**

The DYS Legislative Liaison and Executive Assistant to the DYS Director met with Committee staff on December 21, 2005 in follow-up to the DYS related concerns expressed by the mother who addressed the Committee at the prior CIIC meeting.

The DYS Legislative Liaison also met with Committee staff on December 22, 2005 to receive feedback on the inspection of the Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility and to provide additional system-wide data on grievances filed.

#### **DYS Task Force Recommendations, May 4, 2006**

On May 4, 2006, CIIC staff met with the DYS Legislative Liaison and DYS Deputy Director of Corrections who discussed the work and recent recommendations of a DYS Task Force established in October 2005. The proposed changes are expected to improve clarity of youth rules of conduct, provide progressive disciplinary sanctions as alternatives to seclusion, provide detailed guidance on the use of seclusion, and ensure that positive and negative behavior impact classification. DYS is also strengthening the Unit Management structure to increase staff accountability and ownership.

#### **DYS Classification, June 27, 2006**

Two Committee staff were invited to attend an informational session on the development of a risk and needs assessment for local use by the courts, as well as an institutional classification system

for youth in DYS facilities. Inspector Spence and Director Pope attended the session at the DYS Staff Training Academy on June 27, 2006. The main presenter was from the University of Cincinnati, which has contracted with DYS to complete the project.

#### **DYS and the Missouri Model, August 4, 2006**

The Missouri Model was first mentioned to Committee staff in 2005 by a representative of the Children's Law Center, as one that should be considered for Ohio. In April 2006, the DYS Director requested his staff to conduct an "unbiased, comprehensive analysis" of the Missouri model, to include a comparison of the Missouri and Ohio systems, and to determine the extent to which replication of some or all of the Missouri model in Ohio would be appropriate and feasible. With the completion of the DYS preliminary report, a group was invited to attend a special presentation on the Missouri Model.

The DYS meeting was held at their central office on August 4, 2006. A copy of the DYS Interim Report was provided as well as a presentation comparing Missouri and Ohio.

#### **Conference of the Ohio Correctional and Court Services Association, December 11, 2006**

Per the request of DYS, on December 11, 2006 Inspector Michalak represented the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee staff as a panel member at a workshop titled "From the Outside Looking In". The panel included the DYS Legislative Liaison and DYS Public Information Officer. The workshop explored perspectives of the media and various policy makers on issues of corrections. The conference was held in Cincinnati and attended by DRC and DYS employees who work in the prisons and youth facilities.

#### **Year End Meeting with the Director of the Department of Youth Services, December 14, 2006**

On December 14, 2006, the CIIC Director met with the DYS Director, his Executive Assistant, and Legislative Liaison at their central office, per invitation. Discussion centered on the many system-wide projects underway within DYS, including projects that are or will be impacting the institutions. In the fall of 2007, a new youth classification system is scheduled for implementation, which will definitely make a major positive impact on the institutions, the youth and the institution staff. It will address what was cited early on as a deficiency. Further, efforts at reducing high staff turnover rates at certain institutions were noted as successful in bringing about improvements. Also of significance, training on conflict resolution will be provided to DYS facility staff, and eventually to the incarcerated youth. Other proposals to positively impact the safety and security of the institutions, and the overall environment, were discussed. It was noted that a literacy project room has been established at every DYS facility.

- **OTHER - DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION MEETINGS**

#### **DRC Food and Sundry Packages, May 16, 2006**

On May 16, 2006 Committee staff met with the DRC Assistant Director, South Regional Director, Chief Inspector and Legislative Liaison regarding proposed changes in the administrative rule on inmate packages to be provided through outside vendors rather than directly mailed by family and friends. The planning effort on the part of the Department was

impressive, and it appears that all parties will benefit from the change, including the institutions, the inmates and their families.

#### **DRC Legal Staff Retreat, July 27, 2006**

On July 27, 2006, Inspector Geisler and CIIC Director Pope attended a DRC Legal Staff Retreat held by the Chief of Legal Services at a Columbus area metro park. Inspector Geisler was invited to speak about his observations and experience in Iraq, which included the trial of Saddam Hussain. The DRC Director of Clinical and Psychiatric Services spoke about health and wellness, and the DRC Infectious Disease Coordinator spoke about pandemic preparation for the bird flu.

#### **Meeting with Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Regional Directors Regarding Staffing Level Determinations, December 13, 2006**

In response to the questions relayed in the prior CIIC meeting regarding how staff needs are determined at each institution, contact was made with the DRC Director. He noted that staffing levels at the prisons are based on a variety of factors, such as security level, programs, physical design and layout. He suggested that Committee staff meet with the Regional Directors for further clarification on the subject. The meeting with the North and South Regional Directors took place at the Committee staff office on December 13, 2006.

The budget is reported to be the primary determinant of staffing levels. It was noted that 92 percent of their budget is fixed, and that there are increasing basic costs with the increase in prison population, such as food, clothing and medical care. The DRC inmate population is at 48,500. With the increased population and crowding, inmates are spending longer periods in the reception centers, reportedly three to four months before transfer to their parent institution. The Correctional Reception Center reportedly received 400 inmates in the prior week.

In the year 2000, DRC started to look even closer at their staff positions due to the budget cuts. They sought ways to be more efficient without jeopardizing security. For example, they used to store two months worth of food, but now store just enough for two weeks.

It was noted that central office staff advise the wardens of their authorized number of total staff. Reportedly for years the wardens have been required to maintain a three percent vacancy due to budgetary constraints. However, the smaller facilities are excluded from the vacancy rate factor, and may maintain 100 percent of their authorized staff. It was noted that loss of one officer at a small facility is like losing five at a larger facility. It was also noted that they may have to consider a four percent vacancy rate.

Both the institutions and central office determine staffing levels. That is, the institutions make the decision, with input from central office. The security level of an institution is a key factor considered in assessing staff needs. Since approximately 1995, per agreement with the union, there must always be two officers per block in the high security institutions.

When they re-opened previously closed cellblocks to relieve overcrowding, they did not have additional staff for those blocks. Staffing of all level two (medium security) facilities was reviewed to identify potential officer positions to address shortfalls elsewhere. For example, one medium security prison reportedly had seven yard officers. As of six months ago, the officer staffing at level two facilities is reportedly limited to what is essential. There is no excess.

To meet budget constraints, cuts have been required in education and substance abuse programs, with at least 10 more education staff positions scheduled not to be replaced when vacancies occur. It was noted that they reduced the phone profit money to help lower the phone costs to the families of inmates, and those profits used to go to education and substance abuse programs. Staffing cuts are also affecting vocational programs, such as horticulture, and career tech programs. Clearly the number of education, substance abuse and other programming staff was cited as a need. Programs alleviate inmate idleness, which affects security. Without adequate program staff, waiting lists grow even longer, and inmates return to the community without the benefit of programs, which may impact recidivism.

- **PRIVATE PRISON MEETINGS**

**Corrections Corporation of America/Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Meeting, June 3, 2005**

On June 3rd, 2005, Melody Turner, Managing Director of CCA visited the CIIC staff office to introduce the new Warden of the Northeast Ohio Correctional Center, Robert Tapia, and the new Regional Director, John Gluch. A detailed inquiry was made on May 26th, 2005 in response to correspondence from two federal prisoners regarding conditions and operations, as well as regarding a suicide on May 1st, 2005. During the meeting, CIIC staff were assured that a detailed written reply will be provided. NEOCC and CCA officials agreed to provide prompt notification to the CIIC office regarding any inmate death at NEOCC, as is provided by other Ohio prisons.

- **CIIC/DRC PRESENTERS AT INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE**

**Conference on Effective Prison Oversight, April 23-26, 2006**

The CIIC Director and DRC Director served on a panel and gave presentations on the Ohio experience with legislative prison oversight by the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee.

The Texas conference on “What Constitutes Effective Prison Oversight” took place from April 23, 2006 to April 27, 2006. The CIIC Director’s participation in the conference was at no cost to the Committee. Attorney Fred Cohen served as moderator in the special presentation on Ohio’s Correctional Institution Inspection Committee. DRC Director Terry Collins and the CIIC Director made separate presentations, and responded to questions from the moderator and conference participants. Ohio’s presence at the conference included the DRC Chief Legal Counsel and the Assistant Attorney General assigned to prison litigation.

The interest and initiative to create outside prison oversight mechanisms such as the CIIC is rooted in a growing consensus from a diverse pool of criminal justice officials, governmental agencies and non-profit human rights groups. They see the need to reduce the enormous cost of litigation, by providing outside oversight as a means to prevent the problems which otherwise end up in court. Just as an effective grievance procedure can prevent litigation by correcting problems, outside prison oversight mechanisms are viewed as similarly capable by helping to maintain good practices, and by identifying areas in need of improvement.

The CIIC contains what most agree are the essential ingredients of effective prison oversight:

- Because we are located in the Legislature, totally separate from the executive branch of government, the CIIC serves as an “outside” oversight entity, providing an essential ingredient of unbiased independence from the institutions being inspected and evaluated.

- The fact that the CIIC is totally outside of the Department of Youth Services and Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, and the fact that the CIIC is a bi-partisan Committee with non-partisan functions and duties, staffed with non-partisan corrections professionals, the Committee provides the essential ingredient of credibility.
- Because the CIIC has access to the institutions and to any part thereof, with or without notice, the Committee has the essential ingredient of “unfettered access”.
- Because the CIIC is required to report findings made in inspections and evaluations to the Ohio General Assembly, the Committee contains the essential ingredient of issuing public reports.
- Because the CIIC is required to inspect and evaluate prison operations, conditions and the grievance procedure, the Committee contains the essential ingredient of authority to monitor and provide oversight regarding systemic issues, often identified through communication and inquiries regarding individual cases.
- Because of all of the above, the Committee also contains the essential ingredient of having the ability to affect change.
- Because the CIIC is accessible and responsive to the public, the Committee meets yet another essential ingredient for effective prison oversight. The Committee provides an important means by which the public, institutional staff, and an unlimited array of relevant interest groups may express issues or concerns regarding correctional facilities via contact with Committee staff and/or by attending or providing testimony at Committee meetings.
- Lastly, because the CIIC constantly monitors important aspects of operations and conditions through data collection and review, the Committee contains yet another key ingredient to effective oversight. An entire presentation at the conference was devoted to the importance of such data monitoring as an assessment tool in inspections and evaluations.

In short, the CIIC contains all essential ingredients for effective prison oversight. The CIIC is already doing all of the right things, based on experts’ opinions. Although it is impossible to prove what the CIIC has prevented, in the thousands of inquiries that the CIIC has made, and in the long list of inspection and evaluation reports submitted, corrective action and improvements clearly have resulted, through the cooperation and responsiveness of the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, and now the Department of Youth Services.

Clearly, the CIIC has good reason to be very proud that Ohio is far advanced in the area of prison oversight compared to other states. While others are thinking about creating such a committee, the CIIC has nearly 30 years of experience in legislative prison oversight. An executive session work group recommended that our Committee serve as a model for replication by other states. The CIIC Director received inquiries from California, Indiana and Massachusetts.

The conference was motivational and inspirational, reaffirming the good purposes served by Correctional Institution Inspection Committee. In addition, direction on how the CIIC might be able to improve was learned from European experts on prison oversight, who use an inspection “Expectations” survey, and urge use of global principles and standards pertaining to prison operations and conditions.

- **CIIC AMENDMENT**

**Testimony to the Senate Health, Human Services and Aging Committee, December 13, 2006**

At the request of the CIIC Chair, on December 13, 2006, the CIIC Director provided proponent testimony to the Senate Health, Human Services and Aging. The proposed amendment to House Bill 87, sponsored by CIIC Chairman, Representative John Willamowski, was accepted by the Senate Committee, but only partially accepted by the Senate. The proposal sought to expand the number of CIIC members from eight to 12 to enable more legislators to attend inspections of the 42 adult and juvenile institutions. The proposed amendment also sought to allow CIIC staff to conduct an inspection, even though a member is unable to attend. This provision would have ensured that all facilities receive the required biennial inspection, while also reducing the burden of time and travel currently placed totally on our legislative members.

The proposal also sought to address the reported conflicts between the confidentiality and privacy laws affecting the Department of Youth Services and the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, and the Departments' desire to be responsive to the CIIC's need to know certain information that is not public record. It also would have resolved the potentially serious safety concerns regarding those who contact the CIIC through personal letters. Such personal letters are currently not protected, but rather are available to anyone on request.



- **LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION NEW STAFF TRAINING: CIIC ORIENTATION**

The Legislative Service Commission conducts two, four-hour training sessions for new legislative staff, which includes legislative aides and administrative assistants, Legislative Service Commission staff, and other staff of legislators. The CIIC was requested in June 2005 to become one of the presenters during this training to familiarize new legislative staff with the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee.

It is a welcomed opportunity to introduce new staff to the CIIC. CIIC staff presents an overview of the CIIC, which includes information on the CIIC statutory duties, functions, operations, reports, publications, and member and staff composition. CIIC staff also advises new staff of the assistance CIIC can provide. The CIIC orientation to new staff has resulted in many contacts from legislative staff for assistance, direction and/or information regarding inquiries pertaining to incarcerated youth and adults.

The CIIC participated in the following new staff training sessions during the 126<sup>th</sup> General Assembly:

**2005**

July 18, 2005  
 August 17, 2005  
 October 3, 2005  
 November 28, 2005

**2006**

January 30, 2006  
 March 13, 2006  
 April 24, 2006  
 June 19, 2006  
 August 14, 2006  
 October 18, 2006.

## DATA ANALYSIS

Consistent with the CIIC mandate to evaluate operations and conditions, the CIIC reviews and analyzes data received from the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (ODRC) and Ohio Department of Youth Services (ODYS), and includes the results in inspection reports, on-site visit reports, biennial reports, special reports, correspondence, and presentations.

### Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (ODRC) Reports/Data

The CIIC receives the following reports from each ODRC institution on a monthly basis:

- **Grievance Procedure:** The Inspector of Institutional Services Monthly Report, which provides the types and disposition of inmate grievances;
- **Medical Services:** The Medical Monthly Institutional Statistical Summary, which provides institution medical information that includes medical staff, treatment, medication, infectious diseases, hospital admissions, etc.;
- **Use of Force:** The Report of Racial Breakdown and Use of Force, which provides use of force incidents, status of use of force investigations, and resolution of use of force reports; and
- **Education:** The Ohio Central School System Monthly Enrollment Report, which details education and vocational programs, apprenticeships, use of tutors, and the Ohio Reads Program.

In addition to the above reports, the CIIC receives the following reports from the ODRC Bureau of Mental Health Services from their central office on a monthly basis:

- **Mental Health:** The ODRC Monthly Mental Health Caseload Snapshot Report, details the composition of the mental health caseload by institution, and provides the number of mental health inmates in segregation by institution. A separate statistical monthly report is provided by the Bureau of Mental Health on suicide attempts by institution.

**Deaths:** The CIIC promptly receives special incident reports from the ODRC Office of Prisons regarding all inmate deaths following the incidents, which includes death by natural causes, murder, and suicide.

## **On Request**

The CIIC, upon request, receives reports regarding various topics, which include:

- Infectious diseases
- Substance abuse programming
- Security threat groups
- Inmate on inmate assaults
- Inmate on staff assaults
- Staff on inmate assaults
- Inmate population
- Staffing, and
- Staff to inmate ratios.

## **Annual Reports**

The CIIC receives annual reports from the Chief Inspector's Office, which detail the inmate grievance procedure, Institution Inspector's work product, and Institutional Investigator's work product. In addition, the Bureau of Recovery Services has provided annual reports, which detail substance abuse programming in the institutions. The Bureau of Mental Health has also provided annual data to the CIIC.

## **Ohio Department of Youth Services (ODYS) Reports/Data**

The CIIC receives the following reports on a monthly basis from the ODYS Central Office:

- **Population:** The ODYS Average Daily Population By Calendar Year, which provides the average daily population for each facility by month;
- **Mental Health:** The Institutional Youth on the Mental Health Caseload, which provides the juveniles on the mental health caseload by institution; and
- **Grievance Procedure:** The Grievance Summary, which provides a narrative for each grievance filed at each facility and its status.

Upon request, the CIIC receives reports on various topics, which include facility capacities, assault data, drug testing, and security threat groups.

## **INMATE DEATHS**

In 1984, following inquiries regarding several deaths at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (ODRC) Director initiated the practice of providing notification to the CIIC Director of all inmate deaths via provision of a copy of the Special Incident Report completed whenever an inmate death occurs. The ODRC Director recommended that the CIIC Director routinely review each Special Incident Report, and initiate any inquiry deemed warranted.

This practice continued with the two subsequent ODRC Directors, and resumed again in October 2003 with the re-opening of the CIIC staff office. Special Incident Reports regarding the deaths of inmates are promptly provided by ODRC Central Office staff, are immediately reviewed upon receipt, and inquiries are made in a timely manner whenever there is a question, concern or need for additional information beyond what is cited in the Special Incident Report.

The following information is based on a careful review of Special Incident Reports regarding inmate deaths received from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006.

### **Inmate Natural Cause Deaths**

#### **2005**

Inmate natural deaths are defined as inmate deaths that did not result from murder or suicide. During calendar year 2005, there were 107 reported inmate natural cause deaths, which is a decrease of six deaths from calendar year 2004. This represents a 5.3 percent decrease in deaths from calendar year 2004.

- The Corrections Medical Center (CMC) ranked first in inmate natural cause deaths with a total of 28 deaths, which is a 47.4 percent increase from their calendar year 2004 total of 19 deaths.
- The Pickaway Correctional Institution (PCI) was ranked second, with 23 deaths, which is a 14.8 percent decrease from their calendar year 2004 total of 27 deaths.
- The Chillicothe Correctional Institution (CCI) was ranked third, with seven deaths, which is equal to their calendar year 2004 total of seven deaths.
- The North Central Correctional Institution (NCCI) was tied for third, with seven deaths, which is equal to their calendar year 2004 total of seven deaths.
- The Hocking Correctional Facility (HCF) was ranked fourth, with six deaths, which is equal to their calendar year 2004 total of six deaths.

## 2006

During calendar year 2006, there were 105 reported inmate natural deaths, a decrease of two deaths from calendar year 2005 (a 1.9 percent decrease in deaths) and a decrease of eight deaths from calendar year 2004 (a 7.1 percent decrease in deaths).

- The Corrections Medical Center (CMC) ranked first in inmate natural cause deaths with a total of 26 deaths, which is a 7.1 percent decrease from the calendar year 2005 total of 28 deaths.
- The Pickaway Correctional Institution (PCI) was ranked second, with 18 deaths, which is a 21.7 percent decrease from their calendar year 2005 total of 23 deaths.
- The Hocking Correctional Facility (HCF) was ranked third with eight deaths, which is a 33.3 percent increase from their calendar year 2005 total of six deaths.
- The London Correctional Institution (LOCI) was tied for third with eight deaths, which is a 700 percent increase from their calendar year 2005 total of one death.
- The Chillicothe Correctional Institution (CCI) was ranked fourth, with seven deaths, which is equal to their calendar year 2005 total.

## Biennium

During the 2005-2006 biennium, there were 212 reported inmate natural cause deaths.

- The Corrections Medical Center (CMC) ranked first with 54 inmate natural cause deaths, which comprises 25.5 percent of the total deaths.
- The Pickaway Correctional Institution (PCI) ranked second with 41 deaths, which is 19.3 percent of the total deaths.
- The Chillicothe Correctional Institution (CCI) and Hocking Correctional Facility (HCF) were tied for the third ranking with 14 deaths each, which is 6.6 percent of the total deaths.
- The London Correctional Institution (LOCI) was ranked fourth with nine deaths, which is 4.2 percent of the total deaths.
- The Corrections Medical Center ranks first in inmate natural cause deaths, because it is the institution that houses medical classification level 4 inmates, which are the most seriously ill inmates.
- The Pickaway Correctional Institution ranks second in inmate natural cause deaths, because it is an institution that houses medical classification level 3 inmates in their E Unit, which includes the Frazier Health Center.
- The Hocking Correctional Facility ranks third in inmate natural cause deaths, because it is an institution that houses older inmates that have medical classification levels of 3 or 2.

The decrease in inmate natural cause deaths is more impressive, considering the fact that the inmate population is aging and that there has been a marked increase in the inmate population. The inmate population on December 27, 2004 was 44,040 inmates, the inmate population on December 27, 2004 was 45,292 inmates, and the inmate population

on December 26, 2006 was 48,534. The data represents a 10.2 percent increase in inmate population from December 2004 to December 2006.

<b>Table 9. Number of Deaths by Natural Causes by Institution January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006</b>			
<b>Institution</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Total</b>
Corrections Medical Center	28	26	54
Pickaway Correctional Institution	23	18	41
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	7	7	14
Hocking Correctional Facility	6	8	14
London Correctional Institution	1	8	9
North Central Correctional Institution	7	1	8
Marion Correctional Institution	3	4	7
Allen Correctional Institution	3	3	6
Mansfield Correctional Institution	4	2	6
Warren Correctional Institution	3	3	6
Belmont Correctional Institution	3	2	5
Grafton Correctional Institution	1	4	5
Lebanon Correctional Institution	1	4	5
Madison Correctional Institution	3	2	5
Ross Correctional Institution	3	2	5
Lorain Correctional Institution	3	1	4
Ohio Reformatory for Women	2	2	4
Richland Correctional Institution	3	1	4
Correctional Reception Center	1	1	2
Noble Correctional Institution	0	2	2
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	0	1	1
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	1	0	1
Northeast Pre-Release Center	0	1	1
Oakwood Correctional Facility	1	0	1
Southeastern Correctional Institution	0	1	1
Toledo Correctional Institution	0	1	1
Dayton Correctional Institution	0	0	0
Franklin Pre-Release Center	0	0	0
Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center	0	0	0
Ohio State Penitentiary	0	0	0
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	0	0	0
Trumbull Correctional Facility	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>212</b>

## Natural Cause Inquiries

During the 2005-2006 biennium, the CIIC conducted inquiries on 62 of the 212 reported inmate natural cause deaths, which represent 29.3 percent of the deaths. The vast majority of the inquiries were required because the special incident reports lacked specific information or the information needed clarification.

<b>Table 10. Number of CIIC Inquiries Relating to Deaths by Natural Causes by Institution January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006</b>	
<b>Institution</b>	<b>Total</b>
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	9
London Correctional Institution	5
Pickaway Correctional Institution	5
Allen Correctional Institution	4
Belmont Correctional Institution	4
Lebanon Correctional Institution	4
Corrections Medical Center	3
Madison Correctional Institution	3
Ross Correctional Institution	3
Warren Correctional Institution	3
Grafton Correctional Institution	2
Mansfield Correctional Institution	2
Marion Correctional Institution	2
Noble Correctional Institution	2
Ohio Reformatory for Women	2
Richland Correctional Institution	2
Correctional Reception Center	1
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	1
North Central Correctional Institution	1
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	1
Northeast Pre-Release Center	1
Oakwood Correctional Facility	1
Toledo Correctional Institution	1
Dayton Correctional Institution	0
Franklin Pre-Release Center	0
Hocking Correctional Facility	0
Lorain Correctional Institution	0
Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center	0
Ohio State Penitentiary	0
Southeastern Correctional Institution	0
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	0
Trumbull Correctional Facility	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>62</b>

**Table 11. Number of Natural Cause Deaths by Institution from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006 by Month**

PRISON	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	TOT
CORR MEDICAL CENTER	4	5	3	3	6	8	7	3	3	5	2	5	54
PICKAWAY CI	2	1	4	6	2	6	4	5	1	3	3	4	41
CHILlicothe CI	4	1	1	1	1	4		1		1			14
HOCKING CF			1	5	2	1		1	1		2	1	14
LONDON CI			1		1	1	3			2		1	9
NORTH CENTRAL CI	2				2		1	1	2				8
MARION CI	1		2				1	2		1			7
ALLEN CI		1	1		1		1	1				1	6
MANSFIELD CI	2					1			1		1	1	6
WARREN CI	2								2	1	1		6
BELMONT CI	1	1	1				1			1			5
GRAFTON CI		1	1						1	2			5
LEBANON CI			1		1		1		1			1	5
MADISON CI							3		1		1		5
ROSS CI		1		1			2				1		5
LORAIN CI	1							1	1		1		4
OHIO REF FOR WOMEN								1		1	2		4
RICHLAND CI		1		1	1	1							4
CORR RECEPTION C	1											1	2
NOBLE CI					1						1		2
LAKE ERIE CI	1												1
NORTH COAST CORR TREATM F									1				1
NORTHEAST PRE-RELEASE CENTER								1					1
OAKWOOD CF												1	1
SOUTHEASTERN CI										1			1
TOLEDO CI							1						1
<b>TOTAL*</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>212</b>

No inmate natural cause deaths were reported from: Dayton Correctional Institution, Franklin Pre-Release Center, Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center, Ohio State Penitentiary, Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, and Trumbull Correctional Institution.

The above table provides the number of natural cause deaths that occurred in each month in the two-year period. Of the 212 natural cause deaths, the largest number occurred in July 2005 and 2006 with 25, followed by June 2005 and 2006 with 22 and January 2005 and 2006 with 21. The remaining months ranged from a low of 12 natural cause deaths in February 2005 and 2006 to a high of 18 such deaths in both May and October 2005 and 2006.



**Table 12. Dates of Inmate Natural Cause Deaths by Institution  
(January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006)**

<b><u>Corrections Medical Center</u></b>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January	14	9,17, 20
February	25	2, 6, 13, 17
March	6, 16	25
April	8, 14, 20	
May	2, 7, 27	14, 20, 30
June	3, 12, 12, 29, 29	7, 11, 28
July	1, 25, 29	4, 4, 13, 28
August	14, 20	19
September	4, 8, 26	
October	6, 22, 30	10, 29
November		1, 23
December	10, 30	1, 4, 21
<b><u>Pickaway Correctional Institution</u></b>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January	13, 29	
February	26	
March	5, 9, 11, 24	
April	7,11	10, 19, 21, 24
May	13	30
June	14, 15, 19	9, 16, 27
July	12, 20	22, 26
August	4, 26	15, 18, 29
September		6
October	18, 25	13
November	13, 21, 29	
December	29	9, 24, 30
<b><u>Chillicothe Correctional Institution</u></b>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January	11, 18, 19, 28	
February		11
March		1
April	15	
May	16	
June	30	5, 24, 26
August		14
October		11
<b><u>Hocking Correctional Facility</u></b>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
March		11
April	7, 11	8, 10, 12
May	14	15
June		6
August		29
September	17	
November	4, 5	
December		23
<b><u>London Correctional Institution</u></b>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
March		26
May		27
June		22
July	26	19, 31
October		6, 14
December		2

**Dates of Inmate Natural Cause Deaths by Institution  
(January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006)**

**North Central Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January	24, 26	
May	7, 10	
July	27	
August	11	
September	26	12

**Marion Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January	8	
March	3	24
July		21
August	11	3
October		6

**Allen Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
February	7	
March		8
May	27	
July		23
August	18	
December		31

**Mansfield Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January	9	20
July	10	
September	23	
November	2	
December		19

**Warren Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January		2, 3
September	8, 29	
October	23	
November		9

**Belmont Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January		5
February	5	
March		31
July	28	
October	11	

**Grafton Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
February		14
March		22
September		5
October	10	28

**Dates of Inmate Natural Cause Deaths by Institution  
(January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006)**

**Lebanon Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
March	31	
May		11
July		26
September		7
December		12

**Madison Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
July	29	18, 31
September	28	
November	18	

**Ross Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
February	11	
April		19
July	23	30
November	25	

**Lorain Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January	13	
August	8	
September		17
November	2	

**Ohio Reformatory for Women**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
August	17	
October		2
November	14	1

**Richland Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
February	6	
March		
April	6	
May	9	
June		6

**Correctional Reception Center**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January		17
December	3	

**Noble Correctional Institution**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
May		4
November		6

**Dates of Inmate Natural Cause Deaths by Institution  
(January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006)**

<u>Lake Erie Correctional Institution</u>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
January		23
<u>North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility</u>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
September	16	
<u>Northeast Pre-Release Center</u>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
August		23
<u>Oakwood Correctional Facility</u>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
December	15	
<u>Southeastern Ohio Correctional Facility</u>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
October		16
<u>Toledo Correctional Institution</u>		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
July		20

There were no reports of inmate natural cause deaths in 2005 or 2006 at the following prisons: Dayton Correctional Institution, Franklin Pre-Release Center, Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center, Ohio State Penitentiary, Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, and Trumbull Correctional Institution.

## **INMATE MURDERS**

During calendar year 2005, there were three reported murders of inmates, which is an increase of two murders from calendar year 2004. This represents a 200% increase in inmate murders from calendar year 2004. The London Correctional Institution (LOCI), Southern Ohio Correctional Facility (SOCF), and Trumbull Correctional Institution (TCI) each reported one inmate murder.

### **London Correctional Institution**

The murder at the London Correctional Institution occurred on August 24, 2005. The murder reportedly involved an inmate "sucker punching" another inmate due to prior animosity, which caused the inmate to fall and strike his head on the floor. The inmate subsequently died due to head injuries sustained from the fall. The offending inmate was convicted of Involuntary Manslaughter and sentenced to serve five years for the crime.

### Southern Ohio Correctional Facility

The murder at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility occurred on September 26, 2005. The murder reportedly involved an inmate using a shank, fabricated from a pane of glass, to stab an inmate in the throat, right shoulder, and back of the head. The inmate reportedly died from the wounds to his throat. It was reported that the inmate who committed the murder wanted to be transferred out of SOCF, but there was also speculation that the murder was gang related. The offending inmate was convicted of Murder and sentenced to serve 15 years to life, and convicted of Possession of Deadly Weapon While Under Detention and sentenced to five years.

### Trumbull Correctional Institution

The murder at the Trumbull Correctional Institution occurred on July 30, 2005. The murder reportedly involved an altercation between cellmates, with one inmate putting a hold on the other inmate until he expired. This incident did not result in a conviction in outside court. The case was presented to the Trumbull County Grand Jury by the County Prosecutor and was not billed. The inmate's security level was increased to a level four and he was transferred to Southern Ohio Correctional Facility.

During calendar year 2006, there were no reported murders, which is a 300% decrease from calendar year 2005 and a 100% decrease from calendar year 2004. This is an impressive fact, when one considers the significant increase in inmate population, and the budgetary constraints faced by the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction.

There were three reported murders during the 2005-2006 biennium.

<b>Table 13. Number of Inmate Murders by Year and Institution from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006</b>			
<b>Institution</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Total</b>
London Correctional Institution	1	0	1
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	1	0	1
Trumbull Correctional Facility	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>

\*Institutions not mentioned in this table reported no murders during this time period

<b>Table 14. Number of CIIC Inquiries Relating to Inmate Murders by Institution January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006</b>	
<b>Institution</b>	<b>Total</b>
London Correctional Institution	1
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	1
Trumbull Correctional Institution	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>

\*Institutions not mentioned in this table reported no murders during this time period

## INMATE SUICIDES

### 2005

During calendar year 2005, there were five inmate suicides, which is a decrease of six suicides from calendar year 2004. This represents a 55.6 percent decrease in the number of suicides from the calendar year 2004 total of 11 suicides. The 11 suicides in calendar year 2004 was a record for the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. The Southern Ohio Correctional Facility ranked first with two suicides, which was a 200 percent increase from their calendar year 2004 total of zero. The Correctional Reception Center, Mansfield Correctional Institution, and Warren Correctional Institution were tied for second, with one suicide at each facility.

**Southern Ohio Correctional Facility:** The suicides at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility occurred on June 4, 2005 and June 7, 2005. The June 4, 2005 suicide occurred in the segregation cellblock and involved the inmate hanging himself with a sheet, by tying it off to his inner cell door. The June 7, 2005 suicide involved a 4B privilege level inmate hanging himself by tying a sheet around his neck and hanging from the vent in his cell.

**Warren Correctional Institution:** The suicide at the Warren Correctional Institution occurred on February 11, 2005, and involved an inmate in the Residential Treatment Unit (RTU) hanging himself by tying a sheet to the bars on the window in his cell.

**Mansfield Correctional Institution:** The suicide at the Mansfield Correctional Institution occurred on May 7, 2005, and involved an inmate on Death Row cutting both of his arms and tying a rope of bed sheet around his neck.

**Correctional Reception Center:** The suicide at the Correctional Reception Center occurred on May 19, 2005, and involved an inmate, who had just been admitted on May 10, 2005, hanging himself by tying a prison-issued nylon belt around his neck and tying the other end to the security bars in his cell window.

### 2006

During calendar year 2006, there were four inmate suicides, which is a decrease of one suicide from calendar year 2005. This represents a 20 percent decrease in the number of suicides from the calendar year 2005 total. The Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, Grafton Correctional Institution, Lorain Correctional Institution, and Ross Correctional Institution were tied for first, with one suicide at each facility.

**Southern Ohio Correctional Facility:** The suicide at the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility occurred on May 18, 2006, and involved a 4B privilege level inmate, who was in disciplinary control, hanging himself with a sheet around his neck.

**Grafton Correctional Institution:** The suicide at the Grafton Correctional Institution occurred on October 9, 2006, and involved an inmate in the Residential Treatment Unit (RTU) asphyxiating himself by placing toilet paper down his throat.

**Lorain Correctional Institution:** The suicide at the Lorain Correctional Institution occurred on January 25, 2006, with the inmate being declared legally dead on January 27, 2006. The inmate was in security control and hung himself by tying a sheet to his neck and his bed. The inmate was reported to be brain dead, maintained on life support until removed from such support per his family's request.

**Ross Correctional Institution:** The suicide at the Ross Correctional Institution occurred on July 14, 2006, and involved an inmate in security control hanging himself with a bed sheet tied to a vent in his cell.

### The Biennium

There were nine suicides during the 2005-2006 biennium. The Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (ODRC) attributes the reduction in suicides to changes in suicide prevention procedure and policy. These changes are reflected in ODRC Mental Health Policy 67-MNH-09 (Suicide Prevention). The Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction's Bureau of Mental Health Services has stated that the new procedures have elevated suicide prevention from the duties of mental health workers to everyone from officers to wardens. They stated that inmates are more carefully screened at reception, inmates placed in segregation are screened, inmate suicides are reviewed through inmate mortality reviews, suicide attempts are monitored, trends are identified, and suicide prevention training is provided to staff, in an effort to reduce inmate suicides.

**Northeast Ohio Correctional Center:** The Northeast Ohio Correctional Center (NEOCC), which is a privately owned and operated institution that houses federal prisoners, had one suicide in 2005 and zero suicides in 2006. The suicide occurred on May 1, 2005, and involved a federal prisoner who was awaiting sentencing, cutting himself with a dismantled disposable razor.

<b>Institution</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Total</b>
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	2	1	3
Correctional Reception Center	1	0	1
Grafton Correctional Institution	0	1	1
Lorain Correctional Institution	0	1	1
Mansfield Correctional Institution	1	0	1
Ross Correctional Institution	0	1	1
Warren Correctional Institution	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>

\*Institutions not mentioned in this table reported no suicides during this time period

<b>Table 16. Number of CIIC Inquiries Relating to Inmate Suicides by Institution January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006</b>	
<b>Institution</b>	<b>Total</b>
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	3
Correctional Reception Center	1
Grafton Correctional Institution	1
Lorain Correctional Institution	1
Mansfield Correctional Institution	1
Ross Correctional Institution	1
Warren Correctional Institution	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>

\*Institutions not mentioned in this table reported no suicides during this time period

### **SUICIDE ATTEMPTS BY INMATES**

The CIIC began to receive attempted suicide data from the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction's Bureau of Mental Health Services in 2005. This was initiated after a quarterly meeting with the Bureau of Mental Health Services and CIIC, in which the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction's efforts to reduce the number of inmate suicides were discussed.

The Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction reported the number of attempted suicides in calendar year 2004 was 121. They stated that the 2004 total cannot be compared to 2005 and 2006 totals, because attempted suicide-reporting procedures changed. They stated that in 2005, they started to combine all inmate attempts to hurt themselves into one category of attempted suicide. They stated that prior to 2005, an inmate who cut himself slightly was seen as someone looking for attention, but not necessarily an attempted suicide.

On September 30, 2005, per invitation from the DRC Chief of the Bureau of Mental Health Services, an opportunity was provided to the CIIC Director to attend and speak at a Mental Health Leadership Meeting of Mental Health Administrators and Clinical Directors from throughout the prison system. In a presentation by the Assistant Chief of the DRC Bureau of Research, a new research project was reported to be underway regarding attempted suicides. Staff were in the process of establishing a standardized definition of attempted suicide. In addition, the project would establish standardized reporting practices regarding attempted suicide, to ensure the accuracy of statistics on attempted suicide from all institutions. Such data would be used for monitoring purposes.

During calendar year 2005, there were 140 reported inmate attempted suicides.

- The Correctional Reception Center was ranked first with 26 attempted suicides.
- The Ohio Reformatory for Women was ranked second with 16 attempted suicides.
- The Chillicothe Correctional Institution was ranked third with 14 attempted suicides.



- The Mansfield Correctional Institution and Southeastern Correctional Institution were tied for fourth with nine attempted suicides each.
- The Southern Ohio Correctional Facility was ranked fifth with eight attempted suicides.

During calendar year 2006, there were 127 reported attempted suicides by inmates.

- The Ohio Reformatory for Women was ranked first with 19 attempted suicides.
- The Trumbull Correctional Institution was ranked second with 14 attempted suicides.
- The Chillicothe Correctional Institution and North Central Correctional Institution were tied for third with 12 attempted suicides each.
- The Lebanon Correctional Institution was ranked fourth with eight attempted suicides.
- The Correctional Reception Center, Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, and Noble Correctional Facility were tied for fifth with six attempted suicides apiece.

During the 2005-2006 biennium, there were 267 reported inmate attempted suicides.

- The Ohio Reformatory for Women was ranked first with 35 attempted suicides, which is 13.1 percent of the total reported attempted suicides.
- The Correctional Reception Center was ranked second with 32 attempted suicides, which is 12 percent of the total reported attempted suicides.
- The Chillicothe Correctional Institution was ranked third with 26 attempted suicides, which is 9.7 percent of the total attempted suicides.
- The Trumbull Correctional Institution was ranked fourth with 17 attempted suicides, which is 6.4 percent of the total attempted suicides.
- The North Central Correctional Institution was ranked fifth with 15 attempted suicides, which is 5.6 percent of the total attempted suicides.
- The Mansfield Correctional Institution and Southern Ohio Correctional Facility were tied for sixth with 14 attempted suicides at each facility, which is 5.2 percent of the total attempted suicides.
- The Hocking Correctional Facility, Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center, and North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility had no reported incidents of attempted suicide by inmates.

The Ohio Reformatory for Women is ranked first in suicide attempts, reportedly because it serves as the reception center for female inmates, and has a high number of inmates with mental health issues.

The Correctional Reception Center reportedly has a high number of inmate-attempted suicides, because it serves as the reception center for male inmates from the south central region of Ohio. However, the reception center for the northern region, Lorain Correctional Institution, has a low number of suicide attempts, only two in 2005 and two in 2006, compared to 32 in the biennium for the Correctional Reception Center. In

speaking with Lorain CI staff regarding their low number of suicide attempts, it was relayed that there are a number of factors that may contribute:

- They have an excellent relationship between custody and treatment staff.
- They have a 24-hour hotline for officers or any staff person to use to report anyone with signs of being suicidal. The hotline is answered by a nurse who fields all calls. The hotline has been in place for at least six years.
- The nurses who screen new arrivals are highly accurate in assessing those who are suicidal. The first response to those identified, is to have an officer immediately walk the inmate to the Psychologist for further assessment.
- Mental health staff are located by the Reception and Discharge (R&D) department or area.

The Chillicothe Correctional Institution reportedly has a high number of inmate-attempted suicides, reportedly because of the number of inmates with mental health issues, and their Residential Treatment Unit (RTU). Similarly, the Correctional Reception Center also has a Residential Treatment Unit for the mentally ill who need to be removed temporarily from the general population due to adjustment difficulties stemming from their mental illness. The reception center for the northern half of Ohio, the Lorain Correctional Institution, does not have a Residential Treatment Unit.

<b>Institution</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Total</b>
Ohio Reformatory for Women	16	19	35
Correctional Reception Center	26	6	32
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	14	12	26
Trumbull Correctional Institution	3	14	17
North Central Correctional Institution	3	12	15
Mansfield Correctional Institution	9	5	14
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	8	6	14
Lebanon Correctional Institution	5	8	13
Southeastern Correctional Institution	9	2	11
Toledo Correctional Institution	6	4	10
Madison Correctional Institution	5	3	8
Noble Correctional Institution	1	6	7
Marion Correctional Institution	1	5	6
Oakwood Correctional Facility	6	0	6
Pickaway Correctional Institution	3	3	6
Ross Correctional Institution	4	1	5
Richland Correctional Institution	4	1	5
Allen Correctional Institution	2	2	4
Belmont Correctional Institution	1	3	4
Grafton Correctional Institution	2	2	4
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	1	3	4
London Correctional Institution	2	2	4
Lorain Correctional Institution	2	2	4
Ohio State Penitentiary	4	0	4
Warren Correctional Institution	0	3	3
Franklin Pre-Release Center	1	1	2
Northeast Pre-Release Center	1	1	2
Corrections Medical Center	0	1	1
Dayton Correctional Institution	1	0	1
Hocking Correctional Facility	0	0	0
Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center	0	0	0
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>267</b>

## **JUVENILE DEATHS**

The CIIC was granted legislative authority to inspect and evaluate Ohio Department of Youth Services (ODYS) Facilities effective April 29, 2005. The CIIC has monitored juvenile deaths through notification received from the ODYS Legislative Liaison.

### **Juvenile Natural Cause Deaths**

There was one reported juvenile natural cause death during the time period from April 29, 2005 through December 31, 2006.

**Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility:** The death occurred on July 5, 2005 at the Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility. Information from ODYS revealed that the juvenile and another juvenile were “messaging around and wrestling” a couple of days prior to the incident. ODYS reported that the two juveniles fell to the ground and the juvenile hit his head on a wall. The juvenile received injuries that were not reported to staff, and the injuries were a causal factor in the juvenile collapsing, falling down a stairway, and hitting his head on July 5, 2005. The juvenile died due to his head injuries. The Pickaway County Grand Jury did not indict the other juvenile, because it was determined that his act was horseplay and did not involve any criminal intent.

### **Juvenile Murders**

There were no reported juvenile murders during the period from April 29, 2005 through December 31, 2006.

### **Juvenile Suicides**

There were no reported juvenile suicides during the period from April 29, 2005 through December 31, 2006.

## **JUVENILE SELF-INJURIES**

The CIIC received data regarding juvenile self-injuries for the period of January through June 2006. There were 65 self-injuries reported. The Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility was ranked first with 33 self-injuries, which is 50.8 percent of the total reported self-injuries. The Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility reported seven self-injuries. The Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility reported seven self-injuries. The Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility reported six self-injuries. The Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility reported six self-injuries. The Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility reported four self-injuries. The Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility reported two self-injuries. The Freedom Center Residential Treatment Center reported zero self-injuries.

The CIIC is presently working to receive juvenile self-injuries data on a more regular basis.

## PRISON CONTACTS AND CONCERNS

**TABLE 18. NUMBER OF CONTACTS RECEIVED BY CIIC BY INSTITUTION  
FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

INSTITUTION	# of CONTACTS
<b>Southern Ohio Correctional Facility</b>	<b>569</b>
<b>Madison Correctional Institution</b>	<b>232</b>
<b>Chillicothe Correctional Institution</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>Warren Correctional Institution</b>	<b>204</b>
<b>Lebanon Correctional Institution</b>	<b>201</b>
Mansfield Correctional Institution	180
Marion Correctional Institution	169
North Central Correctional Institution	157
Pickaway Correctional Institution	140
Toledo Correctional Institution	135
Grafton Correctional Institution	127
Trumbull Correctional Institution	113
Allen Correctional Institution	107
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	98
Ohio State Reformatory	95
Ross Correctional Institution	95
Other	93
Richland Correctional Institution	88
Noble Correctional Institution	87
Correctional Reception Center	83
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center	72
London Correctional Institution	66
Ohio Reformatory for Women	61
Belmont Correctional Institution	56
Hocking Correctional Facility	38
Southeastern Correctional Institution	28
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	26
Corrections Medical Center	23
Oakwood Correctional Facility	17
Lorain Correctional Institution	16
North East Pre Release Center	8
Dayton Correctional Institution	2
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	2
Franklin Pre Release Center	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,616</b>

As noted above, from January 1, 2005 to December 28, 2006, the largest volume of contacts came from Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, with 569 contacts or 15.7 percent of the total 3,616 contacts from the prison system. Madison Correctional Institution and Chillicothe Correctional Institution ranked second and third respectively, in volume of contacts. The top three prisons accounted for 1,029 contacts or 28.4 percent of all contacts.

**TABLE 19. NUMBER OF LOGGED CONCERNS BY INSTITUTION  
FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

INSTITUTION	# of CONCERNS
<b>Southern Ohio Correctional Facility</b>	<b>2264</b>
<b>Lebanon Correctional Institution</b>	<b>838</b>
<b>Chillicothe Correctional Institution</b>	<b>779</b>
Warren Correctional Institution	708
Madison Correctional Institution	647
Mansfield Correctional Institution	626
Marion Correctional Institution	603
North Central Correctional Institution	555
Pickaway Correctional Institution	504
Toledo Correctional Institution	502
Allen Correctional Institution	428
Grafton Correctional Institution	428
Trumbull Correctional Institution	387
Ross Correctional Institution	369
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center	367
Ohio State Penitentiary	367
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	314
Other	306
Richland Correctional Institution	299
Noble Correctional Institution	288
Ohio Reformatory for Women	235
Correctional Reception Center	231
Belmont Correctional Institution	228
London Correctional Institution	188
Hocking Correctional Facility	133
Corrections Medical Center	108
Southeastern Correctional Institution	83
North Coast Correctional Treatment Facility	79
Oakwood Correctional Facility	73
Lorain Correctional Institution	54
Northeast Pre Release Center	28
Montgomery Education and Pre Release Center	7
Dayton Correctional Institution	2
Franklin Pre Release Center	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>13,028</b>

As noted above, from January 1, 2005 to December 28, 2006, the largest volume of concerns came from Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, with 2,264 concerns or 17.3 percent of the total 13,028 concerns. Lebanon Correctional Institution and Chillicothe

Correctional Institution ranked second and third respectively, in volume of concerns. The top three prisons accounted for 3,881 or 29.7 percent of all concerns

## CIIC DATABASE: CONCERN CODE LIST

With each contact from or regarding a particular institution, the problem, issue or concern is logged into the CIIC Database, using subject categories similar to those used by the DRC in categorizing their inmate grievances. The “Complaint Code List” from the DRC Inspectors’ monthly reports was used as the basis for the CIIC database to log and categorize the reported concerns relayed by those who contact the CIIC. According to the DRC list, and as standardized in the CIIC database, the categories consist of the following:

### I. INSTITUTIONAL OPERATIONS

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>Health Care</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Access/Delay in receiving medical care</li> <li>- Improper/inadequate medical care</li> <li>- Delay/denial of medication</li> <li>- Medical records</li> <li>- Eye glasses</li> <li>- Forced medical testing</li> <li>- Medical transfer</li> <li>- Prosthetic device</li> <li>- Medical co-pay</li> <li>- Medical restriction</li> <li>- Medical aide/device</li> <li>- Other</li> </ul> | <p><b>Facilities Maintenance</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Toilets</li> <li>- Sewers</li> <li>- Showers or sinks</li> <li>- Water temperature</li> <li>- Painting/repair</li> <li>- Windows</li> <li>- Privacy screens</li> <li>- Other</li> <li>- Building temperature</li> <li>- Lighting</li> <li>- Ventilation</li> <li>- Ceilings</li> </ul>  |
| <p><b>Dental Care</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Access/delay in receiving dental care</li> <li>- Improper/inadequate dental care</li> <li>- Delay/denial of dentures</li> <li>- Dental co-pay</li> <li>- Other</li> </ul>   | <p><b>Food Services</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Food temperature</li> <li>- Food not properly prepared</li> <li>- Poor quality</li> <li>- Deviation from menu</li> <li>- Inadequate substitute</li> <li>- Foreign object in food</li> <li>- Food portions</li> <li>- Medical diet</li> <li>- Religious diet</li> <li>- Unsanitary cooking conditions</li> <li>- Denial</li> <li>- Other</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Psychological/Psychiatric</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Denial/inadequate treatment</li> <li>- Forced treatment</li> <li>- RTU assignment</li> <li>- Psychiatric medication</li> <li>- Psych co-pay</li> <li>- Mental health files</li> <li>- Other</li> </ul>  | <p><b>Laundry/Quartermaster (state clothing/bedding)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clothing lost/ not returned</li> <li>- Clothing damaged</li> <li>- Improperly charged for damaged clothing</li> <li>- Received soiled/damaged linen</li> <li>- Denied exchange</li> <li>- Does not fit</li> <li>- Refusal to alter/repair clothing</li> <li>- Denied item</li> <li>- Other</li> </ul>             |
| <p><b>Safety and Sanitation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fire safety measures</li> <li>- Dirty living quarters/work areas</li> <li>- Cleaning supplies</li> <li>- Vermin</li> <li>- Unsafe living area</li> <li>- Smoking/Non-smoking</li> <li>- Unsafe work areas</li> <li>- Unsafe work practices</li> <li>- Handicapped facilities</li> <li>- Air-water quality</li> <li>- Other</li> </ul>   |   |

**Commissary**

- Charged for item not received
- Denied commissary privileges
- Inadequate selection
- Insufficient quantities
- Pricing
- Poor quality
- Exchange/refund
- Warranty
- Other

**Inmate Account**

- Funds lost/not posted
- Funds improperly refused
- Account balance
- State pay
- Court ordered collection (AR 5120-5-03)
- Other

**Personal Property**

- Lost, damaged, confiscated by staff
- Stolen or damaged by inmate
- Denied permission to receive/possess
- Other

**II. INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMS****Education/Vocational Training**

- Educational programs
- Vocational programs
- Other

**Inmate Groups**

- Denial of permission to start
- Staff interference
- Other

**Job Assignments**

- Job assignment
- Job removal
- Preferential treatment
- Racial balance
- Evaluations
- Other

**Library**

- Library materials
- Library hours
- Other

**Recovery Services**

- Recovery services programs
- Earned credit
- Other

**Recreation**

- Recreation facilities/equipment
- Selection of activities
- Recreation hours
- Other

**Religious Services**

- Prevented from worship
- Religious materials
- Services for particular faith
- Other

**III. COMMUNICATIONS****Mail/Package**

- Delay/failure in delivery
- Delay/failure in sending
- Publication screening
- Handling of legal mail
- Handling of packages
- Damaged or missing
- Denial
- Postage charges
- Other

**Visitation**

- Visitor not approved/removed from list
- Visitor denied access
- Visit cut short
- Hours
- Rules
- Special visit
- Other

**Telephone**

- Inadequate access
- Denial of phone privileges
- Other

**IV. ADMINISTRATION****Records**

- Good time credit
- Jail time credit
- Inaccurate calculation
- Release of information
- Earned credit
- Other

**Legal Services**

- Law library hours
- Legal reference materials
- Legal assistance
- Typewriters
- Legal Kit
- Copy services
- Notary services
- Legal service in special management
- Access to legal property
- Other

**V. STAFF/INMATE RELATIONS**

- **Force/Supervision**
- Use of force with no report
- Abusive language
- Racial or ethnic slurs
- Conduct report for no reason
- Intimidation/threats
- Retaliation for filing grievance
- Retaliation for filing lawsuit
- Retaliation for voicing complaints
- Privacy violations
- Other

- **Discrimination**

- Programs
- Jobs
- Benefits
- Disciplinary action
- Transfer
- Other

- **Staff Accountability**

- Access to staff
- Failure to perform job duties
- Failure to respond to communication
- Failure to follow policies
- Other

**VI. CUSTODY AND HOUSING STATUS**

- **Security Classification**

- Instrument overdue
- Instrument incorrect
- Instrument overridden
- Procedural issues
- Other

- **Institution Assignment**

- Transfer or denial
- Other

- **Housing Assignment**

- Unit assignment
- Cell/bed assignment
- Racial bunching
- Other

- **Special Management Housing**

- Placement
- Release
- Privileges
- Other

- **Protective Control**

- Placement
- Release
- Privileges
- Other

**VII. INMATE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE**

- Informal Complaint
- Inspector
- Chief Inspector

**VIII. NON-GRIEVABLE MATTERS**

- RIB/Hearing Officer
- APA
- Court
- Legislative action
- Separate appeal process
- Other



## NUMBER AND TYPE OF REPORTED CONCERNS AT EACH INSTITUTION

In the following pages, a table is provided on each correctional institution, detailing the number of reported concerns by category. Institutions are presented according to the number of reported concerns, beginning with the institution with the largest volume of concerns.

**TABLE. 20**  
**SOUTHERN OHIO CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>370</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>357</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>182</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	164
Health Care	152
Security Classification	132
Institution Assignment	128
Protective Control	120
Special Management Housing	80
Other	76
Personal Property	72
Mail/Packages	57
Psychological/Psychiatric	51
Discrimination	47
Food Services	42
Housing Assignment	30
Safety and Sanitation	28
Visiting	24
Inmate Account	23
Legal Services	21
Facilities Maintenance	18
Job Assignment	15
Commissary	13
Laundry/Quartermaster	11
Recreation	10
Telephone	10
Education/Vocational Training	8
Records	7
Library	6
Religious Services	5
Recovery Services	3
Dental Care	1
Inmate Groups	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,264</b>

**TABLE 21.**  
**LEBANON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>110</b>
Health Care	57
Non-Grievable Matters	56
Safety and Sanitation	47
Institution Assignment	37
Personal Property	34
Protective Control	32
Other	25
Mail/Packages	23
Facilities Maintenance	23
Job Assignment	20
Discrimination	19
Special Management Housing	19
Housing Assignment	15
Security Classification	14
Inmate Account	11
Visiting	9
Commissary	7
Education/Vocational Training	7
Psychological/Psychiatric	6
Legal Services	5
Laundry/Quartermaster	5
Records	5
Food Services	4
Recovery Services	3
Religious Services	3
Telephone	3
Dental Care	2
Library	1
Recreation	1
Inmate Groups	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>838</b>

**TABLE 22.**  
**CHILLICOTHE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>85</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	74
Institution Assignment	51
Safety and Sanitation	46
Inmate Grievance Procedure	45
Facilities Maintenance	29
Special Management Housing	28
Other	23
Food Services	23
Protective Control	23
Personal Property	20
Discrimination	18
Job Assignment	18
Mail/Packages	17
Education/Vocational Training	14
Housing Assignment	13
Visiting	11
Psychological/Psychiatric	10
Records	9
Recreation	8
Inmate Account	7
Security Classification	6
Commissary	6
Recovery Services	5
Library	3
Dental Care	2
Telephone	2
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Legal Services	1
Religious Services	1
Inmate Groups	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>779</b>

**TABLE 23.**  
**WARREN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>69</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	64
Health Care	52
Institution Assignment	48
Protective Control	46
Other	39
Special Management Housing	30
Security Classification	26
Mail/Packages	25
Personal Property	19
Psychological/Psychiatric	17
Food Services	16
Housing Assignment	11
Safety and Sanitation	10
Inmate Account	9
Legal Services	8
Discrimination	7
Commissary	5
Job Assignment	5
Visiting	4
Records	4
Facilities Maintenance	4
Recovery Services	3
Educational/Vocational Training	3
Religious Services	2
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Telephone	1
Recreation	0
Dental Care	0
Library	0
Inmate Groups	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>708</b>

**TABLE 24.**  
**MADISON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>79</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	72
Non-Grievable Matters	59
Institution Assignment	25
Special Management Housing	20
Health Care	20
Housing Assignment	18
Mail/Packages	17
Inmate Account	15
Education/Vocational Training	14
Personal Property	13
Discrimination	13
Protective Control	12
Job Assignment	12
Safety and Sanitation	11
Food Services	8
Psychological/Psychiatric	7
Security Classification	5
Religious Services	4
Dental Care	4
Commissary	4
Recovery Services	4
Visiting	3
Recreation	3
Legal Services	3
Facilities Maintenance	3
Laundry/Quartermaster	3
Library	3
Telephone	0
Inmate Groups	0
Records	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>647</b>

**TABLE 25.**  
**MANSFIELD CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>75</b>
Institution Assignment	51
Special Management Housing	41
Protective Control	39
Inmate Grievance Procedure	33
Health Care	30
Personal Property	23
Other	21
Housing Assignment	15
Visiting	14
Security Classification	14
Discrimination	13
Facilities Maintenance	13
Mail/Packages	12
Safety and Sanitation	12
Recreation	10
Religious Services	6
Psychological/Psychiatric	5
Education/Vocational Training	4
Food Services	3
Recovery Services	2
Legal Services	2
Inmate Account	2
Laundry/Quartermaster	2
Records	1
Commissary	1
Telephone	1
Job Assignment	0
Dental Care	0
Inmate Groups	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>626</b>

**TABLE 26.**  
**MARION CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>78</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	47
Force/Supervision	41
Protective Control	24
Other	21
Institution Assignment	20
Mail/Packages	19
Special Management Housing	19
Discrimination	16
Records	13
Recreation	13
Dental Care	12
Food Services	11
Personal Property	10
Housing Assignment	10
Visiting	9
Legal Services	9
Safety and Sanitation	7
Religious Services	7
Security Classification	6
Job Assignment	5
Inmate Account	5
Laundry/Quartermaster	4
Facilities Maintenance	4
Commissary	4
Telephone	4
Education/Vocational Training	3
Recovery Services	3
Library	1
Inmate Groups	1
Psychological/Psychiatric	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>603</b>

**TABLE 27.**  
**NORTH CENTRAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>62</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	49
Special Management Housing	27
Health Care	24
Institution Assignment	22
Mail/Packages	22
Personal Property	18
Other	18
Discrimination	18
Safety and Sanitation	13
Job Assignments	12
Visiting	9
Legal Services	7
Protective Control	7
Recreation	7
Records	6
Psychological/Psychiatric	5
Facilities Maintenance	5
Recovery Services	4
Religious Services	3
Housing Assignment	3
Education/Vocational Training	3
Food Services	3
Inmate Account	3
Laundry/Quartermaster	3
Commissary	3
Inmate Groups	3
Security Classification	2
Library	0
Dental Care	0
Telephone	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>555</b>



**TABLE 28.**  
**PICKAWAY CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Facilities Maintenance</b>	<b>45</b>
Safety and Sanitation	40
Force/Supervision	36
Inmate Grievance Procedure	27
Non-Grievable Matters	26
Food Services	25
Personal Property	18
Legal Services	16
Institution Assignment	14
Laundry/Quartermaster	13
Mail/Packages	12
Visiting	12
Special Management Housing	11
Other	10
Recreation	8
Job Assignment	6
Discrimination	6
Education/Vocational Training	6
Inmate Account	6
Housing Assignment	5
Library	4
Records	3
Religious Services	3
Dental Care	2
Commissary	2
Protective Control	2
Recovery Services	2
Security Classification	1
Telephone	1
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Inmate Groups	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>504</b>

**TABLE 29.**  
**TOLEDO CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>71</b>
Health Care	44
Protective Control	42
Non-Grievable Matters	27
Mail/Packages	19
Institution Assignment	16
Personal Property	13
Other	12
Special Management Housing	12
Food Services	10
Discrimination	9
Housing Assignment	9
Psychological/Psychiatric	7
Security Classification	7
Job Assignments	6
Legal Services	5
Religious Services	5
Library	4
Commissary	3
Laundry/Quartermaster	3
Education/Vocational Training	2
Inmate Account	2
Dental Care	2
Visiting	1
Facilities Maintenance	1
Recreation	1
Safety and Sanitation	1
Records	1
Telephone	1
Recovery Services	0
Inmate Groups	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>502</b>

**TABLE 30.**  
**ALLEN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>52</b>
Force/Supervision	40
Personal Property	26
Non-Grievable Matters	23
Special Management Housing	14
Institution Assignment	13
Mail/Packages	10
Discrimination	9
Other	9
Job Assignments	9
Psychological/Psychiatric	8
Visiting	7
Facilities Maintenance	7
Food Services	7
Inmate Account	7
Safety and Sanitation	6
Commissary	6
Housing Assignment	5
Security Classification	5
Religious Services	4
Protective Control	3
Library	3
Legal Services	2
Telephone	2
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Inmate Groups	1
Dental Care	1
Education/Vocational Training	1
Recreation	1
Recovery Services	0
Records	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>

**TABLE 31.**  
**GRAFTON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>42</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	39
Safety and Sanitation	30
Inmate Grievance Procedure	28
Visiting	24
Special Management Housing	24
Other	22
Personal Property	12
Facilities Maintenance	11
Mail/Packages	11
Institution Assignment	8
Discrimination	7
Job Assignments	7
Legal Services	7
Recovery Services	6
Housing Assignment	5
Food Services	5
Records	3
Security Classification	3
Psychological/Psychiatric	3
Library	3
Inmate Account	2
Education/Vocational Training	2
Commissary	2
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Dental Care	1
Recreation	1
Protective Control	1
Religious Services	0
Inmate Groups	0
Telephone	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>

**TABLE 32.**  
**TRUMBULL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>44</b>
Health Care	39
Personal Property	22
Other	22
Institution Assignment	15
Protective Control	15
Special Management Housing	14
Non-Grievable Matters	13
Security Classification	8
Job Assignments	7
Mail/Packages	7
Discrimination	7
Education/Vocational Training	6
Food Services	6
Housing Assignment	5
Inmate Account	5
Commissary	5
Legal Services	4
Psychological/Psychiatric	2
Telephone	1
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Library	1
Recovery Services	1
Facilities Maintenance	0
Dental Care	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Recreation	0
Inmate Groups	0
Religious Services	0
Visiting	0
Records	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>387</b>

**TABLE 33.**  
**ROSS CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>37</b>
Special Management Housing	31
Inmate Grievance Procedure	26
Institution Assignment	21
Personal Property	21
Health Care	19
Security Classification	10
Inmate Account	10
Food Services	9
Visiting	8
Protective Control	7
Other	7
Discrimination	6
Housing Assignment	5
Mail/Packages	4
Safety and Sanitation	3
Education/Vocational Training	3
Records	3
Legal Services	3
Facilities Maintenance	2
Job Assignments	2
Recreation	2
Dental Care	1
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Library	1
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Groups	0
Recovery Services	0
Religious Services	0
Telephone	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>369</b>

**TABLE 34.**  
**NORTHEAST OHIO CORRECTIONAL CENTER:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Legal Services</b>	<b>29</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	26
Facilities Maintenance	16
Housing Assignment	15
Force/Supervision	14
Other	14
Food Services	13
Safety and Sanitation	11
Library	11
Visiting	11
Mail/Packages	9
Non-Grievable Matters	8
Telephone	7
Personal Property	6
Recreation	5
Dental Care	4
Psychological/Psychiatric	4
Discrimination	4
Commissary	3
Institution Assignment	3
Records	2
Special Management Housing	2
Education/Vocational Training	1
Job Assignment	1
Inmate Account	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Inmate Groups	0
Recovery Services	0
Religious Services	0
Security Classification	0
Protective Control	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>367</b>

**TABLE 35.**  
**OHIO STATE PENITENTIARY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>51</b>
Force/Supervision	40
Security Classification	24
Health Care	21
Personal Property	14
Institution Assignment	13
Mail/Packages	11
Food Services	10
Other	10
Special Management Housing	9
Facilities Maintenance	8
Discrimination	7
Safety and Sanitation	6
Protective Control	4
Housing Assignment	4
Legal Services	4
Inmate Account	3
Education/Vocational Training	3
Laundry/Quartermaster	3
Recreation	2
Dental Care	2
Psychological/Psychiatric	2
Records	2
Job Assignments	1
Recovery Services	1
Religious Services	0
Visiting	0
Library	0
Inmate Groups	0
Commissary	0
Telephone	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>367</b>



**TABLE 36.**  
**LAKE ERIE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>38</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	22
Inmate Grievance Procedure	22
Laundry/Quartermaster	17
Institution Assignment	14
Mail/Packages	13
Education/Vocational Training	13
Special Management Housing	12
Safety and Sanitation	8
Discrimination	8
Personal Property	7
Job Assignment	7
Food Services	7
Security Classification	6
Other	5
Facilities Maintenance	5
Commissary	5
Recovery Services	4
Housing Assignment	3
Inmate Account	3
Visiting	2
Recreation	2
Protective Control	2
Legal Services	1
Records	1
Psychological/Psychiatric	1
Dental Care	1
Religious Services	1
Telephone	1
Inmate Groups	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>314</b>

**TABLE 37.**  
**“OTHER/JAIL” FACILITIES:”**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>28</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	22
Safety and Sanitation	22
Legal Services	21
Force/Supervision	19
Facilities Maintenance	14
Food Services	13
Inmate Grievance Procedure	12
Psychological/Psychiatric	10
Mail/Packages	8
Visiting	7
Institution Assignment	7
Personal Property	5
Library	5
Discrimination	5
Education/Vocational Training	5
Telephone	5
Special Management Housing	4
Inmate Account	4
Housing Assignment	3
Laundry/Quartermaster	3
Religious Services	3
Recreation	2
Security Classification	2
Dental Care	2
Records	2
Protective Control	2
Recovery Services	1
Commissary	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignment	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>306</b>

**TABLE 38.**  
**RICHLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>35</b>
Force/Supervision	32
Inmate Grievance Procedure	23
Institution Assignment	18
Special Management Housing	17
Mail/Packages	15
Security Classification	11
Other	10
Food Services	9
Discrimination	6
Safety and Sanitation	6
Psychological/Psychiatric	5
Laundry/Quartermaster	5
Housing Assignment	5
Personal Property	4
Job Assignments	3
Records	2
Dental Care	2
Recreation	2
Visiting	2
Legal Services	2
Commissary	1
Facilities Maintenance	1
Religious Services	1
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Account	0
Inmate Groups	0
Library	0
Recovery Services	0
Telephone	0
Protective Control	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>299</b>

**TABLE 39.**  
**NOBLE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>28</b>
Health Care	26
Non-Grievable Matters	20
Institution Assignment	17
Discrimination	14
Housing Assignment	10
Personal Property	9
Special Management Housing	8
Food Services	6
Mail/Packages	6
Education/Vocational Training	6
Recreation	6
Security Classification	6
Inmate Account	6
Religious Services	6
Security Classification	6
Legal Services	5
Visiting	5
Other	5
Library	4
Safety and Sanitation	3
Commissary	3
Job Assignments	3
Recovery Services	3
Records	3
Protective Control	3
Telephone	1
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Inmate Groups	0
Dental Care	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>288</b>

**TABLE 40.**  
**OHIO REFORMATORY FOR WOMEN:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Visiting</b>	<b>24</b>
Force/Supervision	23
Non-Grievable Matters	14
Inmate Grievance Procedure	14
Safety and Sanitation	13
Facilities Maintenance	11
Special Management Housing	10
Other	8
Institution Assignment	7
Psychological/Psychiatric	5
Food Services	5
Laundry/Quartermaster	5
Mail/Packages	4
Records	4
Commissary	3
Inmate Account	3
Legal Services	3
Housing Assignment	3
Personal Property	3
Security Classification	2
Protective Control	2
Discrimination	1
Religious Services	1
Library	0
Recreation	0
Dental Care	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recovery Services	0
Telephone	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>235</b>

**TABLE 41.**  
**CORRECTIONAL RECEPTION CENTER:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>27</b>
Staff Accountability	26
Other	26
Non-Grievable Matters	14
Psychological/Psychiatric	9
Personal Property	8
Institution Assignment	8
Mail/Packages	6
Education/Vocational Training	5
Special Management Housing	5
Food Services	4
Visiting	4
Discrimination	4
Recovery Services	3
Protective Control	3
Inmate Account	3
Security Classification	3
Facilities Maintenance	2
Records	1
Job Assignment	1
Legal Services	1
Safety and Sanitation	1
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Commissary	1
Housing Assignment	1
Dental Care	0
Recreation	0
Inmate Groups	0
Library	0
Religious Services	0
Telephone	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>231</b>

**TABLE 42.**  
**BELMONT CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>15</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	14
Health Care	12
Food Services	12
Special Management Housing	11
Mail/Packages	11
Personal Property	10
Discrimination	10
Safety and Sanitation	8
Institution Assignment	7
Other	7
Psychological/Psychiatric	4
Inmate Account	4
Visiting	4
Facilities Maintenance	4
Laundry/Quartermaster	4
Housing Assignment	3
Job Assignment	3
Records	3
Protective Control	3
Recreation	2
Commissary	1
Religious Services	1
Education/Vocational Training	1
Telephone	1
Library	0
Legal Services	0
Recovery Services	0
Security Classification	0
Dental Care	0
Inmate Groups	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>228</b>

**TABLE 43.**  
**LONDON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>23</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	13
Special Management Housing	12
Force/Supervision	11
Other	10
Institution Assignment	9
Mail/Packages	6
Job Assignment	6
Food Services	6
Records	6
Laundry/Quartermaster	4
Security Classification	3
Visiting	3
Education/Vocational Training	2
Discrimination	2
Inmate Account	2
Safety and Sanitation	1
Personal Property	1
Facilities Maintenance	1
Legal Services	1
Dental Care	1
Commissary	1
Inmate Groups	1
Recovery Services	1
Protective Control	1
Housing Assignment	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Library	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Telephone	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>188</b>



**TABLE 44.**  
**HOCKING CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>17</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	12
Safety and Sanitation	8
Institution Assignment	8
Non-Grievable Matters	7
Personal Property	5
Food Services	4
Other	3
Housing Assignment	2
Inmate Account	2
Mail/Packages	2
Records	2
Job Assignment	1
Discrimination	1
Visiting	1
Special Management Housing	1
Security Classification	1
Facilities Maintenance	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Library	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Commissary	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Telephone	0
Legal Services	0
Protective Control	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>133</b>

**TABLE 45.**  
**CORRECTIONS MEDICAL CENTER:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>17</b>
Visiting	7
Non-Grievable Matters	6
Personal Property	5
Other	4
Special Management Housing	4
Institution Assignment	4
Inmate Grievance Procedure	3
Legal Services	3
Safety and Sanitation	3
Housing Assignment	2
Discrimination	1
Food Services	1
Library	1
Records	1
Security Classification	1
Telephone	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Inmate Account	0
Job Assignment	0
Dental Care	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Protective Control	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>108</b>

**TABLE 46.**  
**SOUTHEASTERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Safety and Sanitation</b>	<b>12</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	10
Special Management Housing	8
Visiting	5
Non-Grievable Matters	4
Institution Assignment	2
Discrimination	2
Inmate Account	2
Personal Property	2
Other	1
Health Care	1
Mail/Packages	1
Security Classification	1
Housing Assignment	1
Recreation	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Job Assignment	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Library	0
Records	0
Dental Care	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Recovery Services	0
Religious Services	0
Telephone	0
Legal Services	0
Protective Control	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>

**TABLE 47.**  
**NORTH COAST CORRECTIONAL TREATMENT FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>11</b>
Inmate Grievance Procedure	8
Force/Supervision	7
Mail/Packages	6
Other	3
Discrimination	2
Education/Vocational Training	2
Recovery Services	2
Visiting	2
Dental Care	1
Safety and Sanitation	1
Facilities Maintenance	1
Inmate Account	1
Personal Property	1
Records	1
Legal Services	1
Special Management Housing	1
Food Services	0
Commissary	0
Job Assignment	0
Recreation	0
Institution Assignment	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Inmate Groups	0
Library	0
Religious Services	0
Telephone	0
Security Classification	0
Housing Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>79</b>

**TABLE 48.**  
**OAKWOOD CORRECTIONAL FACILITY**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Food Services</b>	<b>7</b>
Institution Assignment	6
Psychological/Psychiatric	5
Protective Control	5
Security Classification	4
Inmate Grievance Procedure	3
Facilities Maintenance	2
Discrimination	2
Special Management Housing	2
Inmate Account	1
Personal Property	1
Other	1
Legal Services	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Library	0
Recreation	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Dental Care	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignment	0
Recovery Services	0
Religious Services	0
Housing Assignment	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>73</b>

**TABLE 49.**  
**LORAIN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Inmate Grievance Procedure</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>6</b>
Institution Assignment	5
Non-Grievable Matters	4
Facilities Maintenance	4
Staff Accountability	3
Safety and Sanitation	3
Records	3
Other	2
Job Assignment	2
Housing Assignment	1
Discrimination	1
Education/Vocational Training	1
Library	1
Legal Services	1
Security Classification	1
Personal Property	0
Food Services	0
Inmate Account	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Groups	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Telephone	0
Visiting	0
Special Management Housing	0
Protective Control	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>

**TABLE 50.**  
**NORTHEAST PRE-RELEASE CENTER:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Safety and Sanitation</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Visiting</b>	<b>3</b>
Health Care	2
Food Services	2
Non-Grievable Matters	2
Other	2
Commissary	1
Job Assignment	1
Institution Assignment	1
Protective Control	1
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Library	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Security Classification	0
Housing Assignment	0
Special Management Housing	0
Inmate Grievance Procedure	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>

**TABLE 51.**  
**MONTGOMERY EDUCATION PRE-RELEASE CENTER:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Dental Care</b>	<b>2</b>
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Food Services	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Library	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Force/Supervision	0
Discrimination	0
Staff Accountability	0
Security Classification	0
Housing Assignment	0
Institution Assignment	0
Special Management Housing	0
Protective Control	0
Inmate Grievance Procedure	0
Non-Grievable Matters	0
Other	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>



**TABLE 52.**  
**DAYTON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Other</b>	<b>2</b>
Force/Supervision	0
Personal Property	0
Staff Accountability	0
Institution Assignment	0
Special Management Housing	0
Inmate Grievance Procedure	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Discrimination	0
Health Care	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Commissary	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Inmate Account	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignment	0
Library	0
Mail/Packages	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Security Classification	0
Housing Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
Non-Grievable Matters	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>

**TABLE 53.**  
**FRANKLIN PRE-RELEASE CENTER:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
Force/Supervision	0
Special Management Housing	0
Non-Grievable Matters	0
Health Care	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Staff Accountability	0
Security Classification	0
Institution Assignment	0
Housing Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
Inmate Grievance Procedure	0
Other	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

## JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY CONTACTS AND CONCERNS

**TABLE 54.**  
**NUMBER OF CONTACTS FROM JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL**  
**FACILITIES FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**  
**BY INSTITUTION**

JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY	NUMBER OF CONTACTS
<b>Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>	<b>15</b>
Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility	14
Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility	10
Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility	6
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	5
Other	3
Lighthouse Youth Center at Paint Creek	1
Freedom Center	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>116</b>

Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility accounted for the most contacts, with 46 or 39.7 percent of all contacts received. Ohio River Valley and Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facilities ranked second and third respectively.

**TABLE 55.**  
**NUMBER OF CONCERNS REGARDING JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL**  
**FACILITIES FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**  
**BY INSTITUTION**

JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>	<b>44</b>
Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility	41
Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility	35
Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility	24
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	18
Lighthouse Youth Center at Paint Creek	9
Other	7
Freedom Center	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>406</b>

Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility accounted for the most reported concerns, with 173 or 42.6 percent. Ohio River Valley and Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facilities ranked second and third respectively. The top three facilities accounted for 272 or 67 percent of all concerns received.

**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**

**TABLE 56.**  
**SCIOTO JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>19</b>
Psychological/Psychiatric	12
Other	10
Institution Assignment	9
Non-Grievable Matters	8
Facilities Maintenance	8
Discrimination	7
Protective Control	7
Telephone	5
Housing Assignment	5
Food Services	4
Recovery Services	4
Education/Vocational Training	3
Religious Services	3
Mail/Packages	3
Recreation	2
Visiting	2
Records	2
Special Management Housing	1
Safety and Sanitation	1
Laundry/Quartermaster	1
Job Assignments	1
Dental Care	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Inmate Groups	0
Legal Services	0
Security Classification	0
Inmate Grievance Procedure	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>173</b>

**TABLE 57.**  
**OHIO RIVER VALLEY JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Non-Grievable Matters</b>	<b>5</b>
Staff Accountability	4
Inmate Grievance Procedure	4
Health Care	3
Safety and Sanitation	3
Mail/Packages	3
Telephone	3
Education/Vocational Training	2
Institution Assignment	2
Psychological/Psychiatric	1
Religious Services	1
Special Management Housing	0
Dental Care	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Visiting	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Security Classification	0
Housing Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>55</b>

**TABLE 58.**  
**CIRCLEVILLE JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUM ER OF CONCERNS
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>8</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	3
Psychological/Psychiatric	2
Housing Assignment	2
Inmate Grievance Procedure	2
Other	2
Safety and Sanitation	1
Food Services	1
Inmate Account	1
Education/Vocational Training	1
Recovery Services	1
Special Management Housing	0
Dental Care	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Personal Property	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Security Classification	0
Institution Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>

**TABLE 59.**  
**INDIAN RIVER JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>7</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	6
Health Care	4
Housing Assignment	2
Protective Control	2
Recovery Services	1
Discrimination	1
Inmate Grievance Procedure	1
Special Management Housing	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Security Classification	0
Institution Assignment	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>

**TABLE 60.**  
**CUYAHOGA HILLS JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>6</b>
Psychological/Psychiatric	2
Food Services	2
Education/Vocational Training	2
Recovery Services	2
Telephone	2
Housing Assignment	2
Other	2
Non-Grievable Matters	1
Personal Property	1
Visiting	1
Records	1
Institution Assignment	1
Protective Control	1
Inmate Grievance Procedure	1
Force/Supervision	0
Special Management Housing	0
Dental Care	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Security Classification	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>



**TABLE 61.**  
**MOHICAN JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Safety and Sanitation</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>4</b>
Force/Supervision	2
Facilities Maintenance	2
Commissary	1
Education/Vocational Training	1
Recovery Services	1
Security Classification	1
Special Management Housing	0
Non-Grievable Matters	0
Health Care	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Food Services	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Institution Assignment	0
Housing Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
Inmate Grievance Procedure	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>

**TABLE 62.**  
**MARION JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Safety and Sanitation</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>3</b>
Laundry/Quartermaster	2
Other	2
Recreation	1
Inmate Grievance Procedure	1
Special Management Housing	0
Non-Grievable Matters	0
Health Care	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recovery Services	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Security Classification	0
Institution Assignment	0
Housing Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>

**TABLE 63.**  
**LIGHTHOUSE YOUTH CENTER AT PAINT CREEK:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Staff Accountability</b>	<b>2</b>
Special Management Housing	1
Health Care	1
Education/Vocational Training	1
Inmate Grievance Procedure	1
Non-Grievable Matters	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Security Classification	0
Institution Assignment	0
Housing Assignment	0
Protective Control	0
Other	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>

**TABLE 64.**  
**“OTHER” JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES:**  
**NUMBER OF REPORTED CONCERNS BY CATEGORY**  
**FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 28, 2006**

CONCERNS	NUMBER OF CONCERNS
<b>Force/Supervision</b>	<b>3</b>
Non-Grievable Matters	1
Institution Assignment	1
Housing Assignment	1
Other	1
Special Management Housing	0
Health Care	0
Dental Care	0
Psychological/Psychiatric	0
Safety and Sanitation	0
Facilities Maintenance	0
Food Services	0
Laundry/Quartermaster	0
Commissary	0
Inmate Account	0
Personal Property	0
Education/Vocational Training	0
Inmate Groups	0
Job Assignments	0
Recovery Services	0
Recreation	0
Religious Services	0
Mail/Packages	0
Visiting	0
Telephone	0
Records	0
Legal Services	0
Discrimination	0
Staff Accountability	0
Security Classification	0
Protective Control	0
Inmate Grievance Procedure	0
Library	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>

## LETTERS OF INQUIRY 2005 - 2006

### Adult Correctional Institutions

The CIIC makes inquiries to institutions when warranted in response to inmate, family, or staff communication regarding concerns. While many such inquiries are made by telephone to the appropriate institution staff person, written inquiries to the Warden are also made to ensure accuracy in communicating background information and/or details of the reported problem, issue or concern, which the Warden may refer to other appropriate staff for investigation and response to the CIIC through the Warden. Since inquiries are only written regarding areas of importance, in most cases, the appropriate Regional Director is provided with a copy of the inquiry for their information. In addition, if the situation cited in the inquiry is directly relevant to other Central Office staff, such as the Chief Inspector, Bureau of Classification, Bureau of Mental Health Services, Bureau of Medical Services, or Security Threat Group Investigator, a copy of the inquiry is shared with those staff.

The following table displays the number of letters of inquiry sent to each adult correctional institution in the two-year period of 2005 through 2006. In all, there were 214 letters of inquiry written in 2005, and 270 letters of inquiry in 2006, with a total of 484 letters of inquiry to Wardens.

### Biennium Rankings

- **Southern Ohio Correctional Facility:** In the biennium, the largest number of written inquiries pertained to the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, a total of 97 in the two-year period. The facility received 34 such inquiries in 2005. Inquiries to SOCF increased to 63 in 2006.
- **Chillicothe Correctional Institution:** In the biennium, the Warden of the Chillicothe Correctional Institution received the second largest number of inquiries, with 40. There were 15 such inquiries in 2005, which increased to 25 in 2006.
- **Lebanon Correctional Institution:** The Lebanon Correctional Institution received the third largest number of written inquiries in the biennium, with 36. There were 18 such inquiries in both 2005 and 2006.
- **Mansfield Correctional Institution:** Mansfield Correctional Institution ranked a close fourth with 33 inquiries to the Warden in the biennium. There were 13 inquiries in 2005 and 20 in 2006.
- **Warren Correctional Institution:** Warren Correctional Institution ranked fifth in the biennium with 24 written inquiries to the Warden. There were nine inquiries in 2005 and 15 in 2006.
- **Pickaway Correctional Institution:** Pickaway Correctional Institution ranked a close sixth in the two-year period, with 23 inquiries. There were 10 inquiries to the Warden in 2005 and 13 in 2006.
- **No Inquiries:** Two Ohio prisons received no letters of inquiry in the biennium, Dayton Correctional Institution and Franklin Pre-Release Center.

## 2005 Rankings

- The **Southern Ohio Correctional Facility** had the largest number of inquiries in 2005, with 34, which increased to 63 in 2006.
- **North Central Correctional Institution** ranked second highest in number of inquiries in 2005 with 20, though decreased to only one such inquiry in 2006.
- **Lebanon Correctional Institution** ranked third highest in number of inquiries in 2005, with 18, and the same number in 2006.
- **Chillicothe Correctional Institution** ranked fourth in number of inquiries in 2005 with 15, and increased to 25 in 2006.
- **Mansfield Correctional Institution** ranked fifth in number of inquiries in 2005, with 13, and increased to 20 in 2006.
- **Pickaway Correctional Institution** ranked sixth in number of inquiries with 10 in 2005, and a slight increase to 13 in 2006. Ross Correctional Institution also ranked sixth in number of inquiries in 2005 with 10, and decreased to only three in 2006.
- **Warren Correctional Institution** ranked seventh in 2005, with nine inquiries, which increased to 15 in 2006.
- **Richland Correctional Institution** ranked eighth in 2005 with seven inquiries in both 2005 and 2006.
- **Toledo and Allen Correctional Institutions** tied for ninth place in inquiries in 2005. Allen Correctional Institution received eight written inquiries in 2005, which decreased to only three in 2006. Toledo Correctional Institution received eight written inquiries in 2005, which decreased to four in 2006.
- **No Inquiries:** Four institutions received no letters of inquiry in 2005: Northeast Pre-Release Center, Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center, Franklin Pre-Release Center and Dayton Correctional Institution.

## 2006 Rankings

- In 2006, the **Southern Ohio Correctional Facility** ranked first in the highest number of inquiries with 63, followed by **Chillicothe Correctional Institution** with 25.
- **Mansfield Correctional Institution** ranked a close third with 20 inquiries in 2006.
- **Lebanon Correctional Institution** ranked fourth with 18 inquiries in 2006.
- **Warren Correctional Institution** ranked fifth in 2006 with 15 inquiries, followed by Pickaway Correctional Institution with 13 inquiries in 2006.
- **London Correctional Institution and Marion Correctional Institution** both ranked seventh in 2006 with 11 inquiries each.
- In 2006, **Ohio Reformatory for Women and the Grafton Correctional Institution** both ranked eighth with eight inquiries each.
- **Richland, Madison and Noble Correctional Institution** ranked ninth with seven inquiries each.

- **Belmont and Lake Erie Correctional Institution**, as well as the **Ohio State Penitentiary** ranked tenth system-wide, with six written inquiries each in 2006.
- **No Inquiries:** Two institutions received no letters of inquiry in 2006: Franklin Pre-Release Center and Dayton Correctional Institution.

<b>Table 65. Number of Letters of Inquiry to Adult Correctional Institutions from CIIC in 2005 and 2006 by Facility</b>			
<b>Institution</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Biennial Total</b>
Southern Ohio Correctional Facility	34	63	97
Chillicothe Correctional Institution	15	25	40
Lebanon Correctional Institution	18	18	36
Mansfield Correctional Institution	13	20	33
Warren Correctional Institution	9	15	24
Pickaway Correctional Institution	10	13	23
North Central Correctional Institution	20	1	21
Marion Correctional Institution	3	11	14
Richland Correctional Institution	7	7	14
Grafton Correctional Institution	5	8	13
London Correctional Institution	2	11	13
Madison Correctional Institution	6	7	13
Noble Correctional Institution	6	7	13
Ross Correctional Institution	10	3	13
Toledo Correctional Institution	8	4	12
Allen Correctional Institution	8	3	11
Lake Erie Correctional Institution	5	6	11
Northeast Ohio Correctional Center	7	4	11
Trumbull Correctional Institution	6	5	11
Ohio Reformatory for Women	2	8	10
Belmont Correctional Institution	3	6	9
Ohio State Penitentiary	3	6	9
Corrections Reception Center	4	3	7
Hocking Correctional Facility	2	3	5
Oakwood Correctional Facility	2	3	5
Southeastern Correctional Institution	2	3	5
Correctional Medical Center	1	2	3
Lorain Correctional Institution	2	1	3
North Coast Correctional and Training Facility	1	1	2
Northeast Pre-Release Center	0	2	2
Montgomery Education and Pre-Release Center	0	1	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>484</b>

## Letters of Inquiry by Institution

The following 31 tables provide a synopsis of each of the letters of inquiry that the CIIC directed to adult correctional institutions in 2005 and 2006. The date shown represents the date of the inquiry. The tables provide an overview of the volume, as well as the types of issues and concerns that were addressed by means of an inquiry from the CIIC office to the institutions. The tables are provided in alphabetical order according to the name of the adult correctional institution.

<b>TABLE 66. SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: ALLEN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
5/17/05	Supervision	Officers allegedly start agitating inmate so as to get inmate harmed.	
5/19/05	Building conditions, Rapes being covered up, Services issues	Building: heat and cooling, water, sanitation, lighting. Services: food portion, medical co-pay, commissary prices. Supervision: rapes are covered up, staff affairs, staff demeanor, bunk/cellie moves are unnecessary.	
5/31/05	Inmate death	Death inquiry: Autopsy and findings? Cause of death? Time of squad arrival?	
6/1/05	Services	Medical services regarding diabetic treatment, food services for diabetic.	
7/26/05	Mental Health Services; IGP	CIIC prompting MH evaluation. Inmate needs assistance with grievance procedure.	
8/22/05	Inmate death	Death inquiry: Autopsy and findings?, Cause of death and findings? Medical condition prior to death? Did segregation have any impact on death?	
9/20/05	Inappropriate Supervision	Verbal discrimination and intimidation.	
10/13/05	Institutional safety, food services, need for psychiatric services	Door needs repair, utensils have dried food on them, fears for himself hurting someone after release from RTU	
2/21/06	Medical, Unit Housing, Job	Medical restrictions for bunk and job.	
7/25/06	Unit housing, Safety	Overcrowded bunking, inmate fighting.	
7/26/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, pre-existing conditions.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: BELMONT CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
2/3/05	Supervision, IGP	Officers intimidate inmates who use grievance procedure; Officers overuse conduct reports and misuse policy on smoking and 2.4 to circumvent RIB process and still punish inmates.	
2/16/05	Death Inquiry; Medical Services	Death cause inquiry; inquiry regarding use of Against Medical Advice (AMA) form.	
8/5/05	Death Inquiry; Medical Services	Death inquiry; what and when were procedures to be given inmate; what pre-existing medical conditions.	
1/17/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, staff and EMR response details, incident details.	
5/3/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy/findings, staff response, preexisting medical.	
6/2/06	Supervision, Operations, Sanitation	Racial and Religious Discrimination, Recreation denied for entire housing unit. Mail – delay or lost, Canine – dogs permitted in cafeteria.	
9/19/06	Mental Health	Concern about suicide risk, delivery of MH services.	
10/17/06	Safety	Inmates make threats.	
11/7/06	Supervision, Segregation, Unit Conditions	Use of Force, Segregation staff, hygiene conditions of filth.	



SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: CHILlicothe Correctional Institution		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
2/16/05	Death Inquiry	Verify cause of death; were reactions to medications a part of death; what was a causal factor in inmate's death?
3/25/05	Medical Services	Questioning allegedly untimely medical services.
5/31/05	Death Inquiry	Verify autopsy findings and cause of death
6/14/05	Medications; Medical Services; Bunk assignment	No institutional compliance with CMC specialist's Rx orders, untreated medical condition, bunk assigned per medical condition.
6/21/05	Medical and Mental Services; Segregation privileges	Non-response to requests to know medical and mental test results and files. Non-response to requests for educational books and legal materials in segregation.
6/30/05	Programs	Removal from treatment/program.
7/13/05	Death Inquiry	What were conditions that led to death? Investigation findings?
7/26/05	Mental Health Services	Recommend evaluation for possible caseload.
8/8/05	Housing Conditions	Poor ventilation and sanitation conditions. Overcrowding; hygiene facilities violate regulations; water leaks.
9/20/05	IGP, Medical	No response to grievance, no medical treatment.
10/12/05	Operational issues; Mental Health status of inmate	Religion, denial of food, mail service, visitation, racial segregation; inmate's mental health evaluation and status?
11/1/05	Conditions	Inadequate and malfunctioning toilets and showers in E2
12/8/05	Assault; False Conduct Report/RIB; Segregation	Assault incident and CR without incident report; inmate in segregation to avoid chaotic and unruly conditions in C2 dorm.
12/15/05	Institutional Transfer; Personal Safety; Failure to follow policies and procedures; CO discrimination.	Not safe amid chaotic dorm environment; Incomplete Patrol investigation; Mysterious appeal with incorrect inmate spelling; suspected incident cover-up; Denied transfer without a reason
12/30/05	Transfer	Clarification regarding alleged ride out, attempt to cover up assault.
1/31/06	Safety	Inmate on inmate threats, alleged sexual assault.
1/31/06	Safety, Inappropriate Supervision	Staff threats, alleged false tickets, use of force, refused showers.
2/6/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, CO threats of retaliation, harassment, treatment in suicide cell/given RTU status.
3/8/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, pre-existing medical conditions.
3/10/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy/findings, death incident, pre-existing details.
4/6/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision related to inmate death.
4/13/06	Unit Housing	Overcrowding.
5/2/06	Operations, Safety, Sanitation, Supervision	Unsafe kitchen equipment, inadequate cleaning supplies, mold, arrogance among staff
5/10/06	Unit Housing, Safety, Supervision	Overcrowding, tensions between inmates, and between staff and inmates, Inadequate staffing level, Ineffective supervision
5/17/06	Medical, Dental, Overcrowding, Sanitation	Inadequate medical care, unsanitary dental practices, water treatment susceptible to contamination.
6/6/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, pre-existing medical conditions, details of incident, current medications.
6/8/06	Suicide Risk, Mental Health	Relaying inmate's comments about his own risk, need for Mental Health to engage in inmate's situation.
6/12/06	Medical	Inadequate medical treatment.
6/14/06	IPP	Clarification of Intensive Program Prison policy, acceptance to program, specific inmate situation.
6/26/06	Death Inquiry, Medical	Autopsy and findings, Incident details and staff response, Inadequate medical staff.
6/28/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, Incident details, pre-existing medical or medication history.
6/28/06	Medical	Inadequate medical treatment, shortage of medical staff.
6/28/06	Death Inquiry – follow-up	Clarification of incident details --- Autopsy and findings, staff response and medical treatment, pre-existing medical conditions.
6/28/06	Sanitation, Supervision, Medical, Overcrowding, Food Services, Recreation, Legal Services, Cell assignments, Educational Services	Absence of building ventilation causes dust and health risk, shortage of bathroom facilities for number of inmates, Medical staff shortage, meal portions are inadequate, gym and library too small and inadequate, use of segregation cells to handle overcrowding, too few educational programs.
6/30/06	Medical Services	Inadequate medical services.
8/4/06	Medical services	Inadequate medical treatment.
8/23/06	Medical Services	Clarification of treatment plan for inmate.
8/29/06	Supervision	Verbal abuse, Retaliation, Intimidation, Discrimination.
10/25/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, clarification of details, staff response, segregation surveillance, pre-existing medical.
12/27/06	Unit Housing, Sanitation	Unsanitary conditions in dorm, Overcrowding, Asbestos in dorm, possible bacterial and water contamination.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL CENTER</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
7/21/05	Death Inquiry	Alleged non-response from medical to a critical health incident.	
11/7/06	Death Inquiry	Cause of death, Chemical use at inmate's job site.	
12/7/06	Medical	Delay in treatment, roundtrips without cause or treatments.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: CORRECTIONS RECEPTION CENTER</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
3/23/05	Inappropriate supervision; IGP; Unit management corruption	Verbal abuse from CO; CO's alleged inappropriate behavior; discriminatory behavior; favoritism; false tickets; staff acting in deceptive manner; ineffective grievance procedure.	
5/26/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings; Cause of death; suicide status and watch; Crisis Stabilization Unit status at death.	
6/24/05	Inappropriate Supervision, IGP, Transfer, Medical	Clarification of disciplinary action, lack of medical services, non-response to grievance, verbal abuse, and no institutional transfer.	
12/7/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings; Cause of injury; Response time of EMS; Prior medical history relevant to death.	
3/21/06	Supervision	Harassment	
5/5/06	Supervision	Second shift supervision, racial discrimination, sexual harassment, CO verbally promoting confrontation with inmate.	
7/25/06	Medical Services	Lack of or delay in access to medical treatment.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: GRAFTON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
2/8/05	Supervision	Reckless and unsafe driving by staff.	
6/28/05	Inappropriate supervision	Clarify verbal abuse and unit management.	
7/22/05	Investigative Procedures	Clarify and verify investigation for drug use.	
12/5/05	Medical services; Supervision	Medical treatment denied for a 3-year condition, Reckless driving by staff.	
12/20/05	Medical services; Medical Co-pay; Supervision;	Med services denied relevant to specific ailment; Insistence on Med Co-Pay to receive services; Staff inaccessible to inmate;	
1/27/06	Medical	Forwarded family petition for inmate release due to medical concerns.	
2/10/06	Supervision	Harassment, Mistreatment to inmates in RTU.	
3/8/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Incident details and staff response, Pre-existing med or mental conditions.	
3/27/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, current and pre-existing medical conditions.	
6/2/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision – physical roughness, cultivation of unit disruption.	
9/7/06	Medical, Sanitation	Inadequate medical treatment, unclean segregation unit, bacterial infection.	
10/11/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, pre-existing conditions, Details of staff response.	
12/11/06	Supervision, Mental Health,	Physical mistreatment in suicide cell, inadequate mental health intervention, Use of Force	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: HOCKING CORRECTIONAL FACILITY</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
8/4/05	Medical Services; Food Service	Inadequate medical treatment for diabetic; Unavailable diabetic meals.
9/7/05	Medical Services	No medical treatment.
2/21/06	Medical Services	Inadequate medical services and treatment, no communication between institutions, failure to transfer medical records.
7/11/06	Supervision, RIB Appeal, Medical Services	Harassment, Non-response to Rules Infraction Board appeal, denial of medical aid.
10/5/06	IGP	Misuse of grievance procedure and noncompliant with procedure in responding to inmate concern.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: LAKE ERIE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
3/7/05	Parole	Assessment of parole issues.
7/13/05	Medical Services	Refusal of medical services.
8/11/05	Separation	Separation from inmate sought.
10/24/05	Medical Services	Medications denied, reduced, compromised.
10/27/05	Food Services	Unopened milk/juice recovered from trash/served.
3/10/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, Incident details, Staff response, pre-existing medical conditions.
3/21/06	Medical Services	Inadequate medical treatment for eye concern.
8/1/06	Educational Programming	Mismatched programs to inmate needs and qualifications.
8/31/06	Medical Services, Job	Medical treatment is inadequate, Forced to work against disabilities.
9/27/06	Food Services	Unopened milk/juice recovered from trash/served.
11/6/06	IGP	Complaint status regarding abuse of authority.

SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: LEBANON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
4/6/05	Death Inquiry	Medical services/Life Flight; investigation findings.
6/20/05	Security Increase, Transfer, Mental Health	Consider Mental Health Caseload for inmate.
6/21/05	Food Service, Conduct Report, Job Discrimination	Food portions and temperature, False Conduct Report and Discriminatory Policy application.
6/28/05	Cell conditions, Inmate Commissary Orders, Food Services, Unit Staff, Retaliation, Diet/Menus, Clothing, Religious Services	Leaking plumbing in cells, Poor customer service on commissary orders, Inadequate milk supplied and food theft, Unit staff non-response and Informal Complaints are not answered, Fear of retaliation for reporting problems, incomplete nutrition in menus, clothing issues are inadequate, Chapel services are not consistent and sometimes eliminated.
7/6/05	Medication	Medications prescribed are inadequate.
7/6/05	Segregation, Transfer	Alleged informant in segregation, possible transfer.
8/5/05	Protective Control	Safety concerns regarding conveyance, Protective Control screen.
7/5/05	OPI safety	Inhalation and exposure to chemical without adequate protective clothing, respirators, and gloves.
9/20/05	Investigation practices	Alleged use of informants by staff.
9/13/05	STG, RIB, Racial discrimination, Inappropriate Supervision	Petty ticket, CO purposefully aggravating a situation, Inmates in Security Threat Group causing racial disturbance.
10/11/05	Inappropriate Supervision	Use of Force.
10/26/05	Personal Safety	Inmate on inmate assault, seeking protective control.
10/26/05	Food Service, Inappropriate Supervision	Food creating hostile work environment, creating breaches in security; Food service operations are perceived as too loose and preferential treatment is reportedly given to certain food workers.
10/27/05	Protective Control	Denial of Protective Control.
11/15/05	Protective Control, Investigation process, Transfer	Denial of Protective Control and no appeal form made available, Investigation reportedly involved inmate and put inmate at personal safety risk.
11/17/05	Medical Services	Denial of treatment for a treatable condition.
11/22/05	Safety	Request investigation of threats.
11/28/05	OPI Safety	Exposure to chemicals without adequate ventilation in shop, protective clothing or equipment, and inadequate training.
1/13/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, staff favoritism alleged, Clarification of inmate "dayroom strike" incident, investigation requested.
1/17/06	Supervision, Medical Services	Use of Force, absence of medical attention, investigation and clarification of details requested
2/6/06	Supervision, Transfer	Harassment, Clarification of Institutional Transfer concern.
2/8/06	Supervision	Inappropriate Supervision, Sexual Harassment, Clarification of concern.
2/16/06	Personal Safety	Fear of another inmate, Inadequate medical treatment, Clarification of inmate claims and investigation status.
3/22/06	Supervision	Clarification of inappropriate supervision during cell shakedown and strip search.
4/21/06	Supervision	Use of Force clarification.
5/22/06	Supervision, Personal Safety	Inmate assault incident, denial of Protective Control.
5/24/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Medical condition, Staff response.
6/14/06	Supervision, Inmate Assault, Personal Safety	Clarification of unit disruption and inmate assault; denial of PC
6/20/06	Personal Safety	Inmate threats to personal safety, gang presence.
7/20/06	Conduct Reports	Clarification of claims of exploitation by staff.
7/27/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Medical condition, Pre-existing condition.
8/22/06	Medical Services	Clarification of medical services to inmate.
11/6/06	Medical Services	Clarification of medical services to inmate.
12/18/06	Supervision	Alleged inappropriate staff and inmate relationship.
12/19/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Medical history, pre-existing conditions.
12/20/06	Protective Control, STG, Transfer	Clarification of Protective Control placement, gang presence, consideration for institutional transfer.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: LONDON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
8/24/05	Death Inquiry; Assault	Incident details, cause of death, autopsy and findings, prior incidents, rule violations.
11/17/05	RIB/Conduct Report, Staff Accountability	Rules Infraction Board conviction, loss of inmate job, staff lack of attention to inmate use of computers.
2/13/06	Medical Services, Supervision	Clarification of inadequate medical services, and perceived staff indifference.
3/27/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Incident details, Medical history, clarification of staff response.
6/5/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Clarification of incident, Staff response, Medical conditions.
6/20/06	Supervision	Clarification and inquiry into allegation of unprofessional staff conduct.
6/23/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Medical history, Staff response to incident.
7/10/06	2 Death Inquiries	Autopsy and Findings, Medical care and history, clarification of staff response.
7/24/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings. Staff response, Medical history.
8/16/06	RIB	Rules Infraction Board did not verify truth of witness, Injustice to inmate.
8/22/06	Local Control, STG	Clarification of Local Control placement, gang presence, inmate extortions, absence of inmate separations,
9/6/06	Supervision, Food Services,	Inadequate supervision, Racial tension, tampering with food.
10/11/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, staff response, Medical history.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: LORAIN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
9/15/05	Medical, IGP, Inappropriate Supervision, Unit/Cell conditions	Medical services denied or delayed, Medical co-pay charged in error, retaliation for using IGP, mold and lighting issues, staff harassment, non-response to requests for appeal forms.
9/29/05	Medical, IGP, Inappropriate Supervision, Unit/Cell conditions	Black mold, cell conditions, RIB hearing, unresolved Conduct Report, reimbursement for medical co-pay.
3/8/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Incident details, Mental Health history and treatment, Security Control status at death.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: MARION CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
4/4/05	Protective Control	Presence of two 'mean spirited' unassigned officers in the Protective Control unit.
4/18/05	Medical	Medications replaced with other inadequate medications, medical staff demeanor is distrustful and not pleasant, medical tests and follow-up appointments are slow in being scheduled with several-month waits.
9/23/05	Medical, Segregation	Inadequate medications; Sparse toiletries and writing supplies in segregation
2/27/06	Dental Services	Clarification of alleged inadequate dental services.
5/2/06	ADA compliance	Clarification of compliance with American Disability Act Standards applicable to inmates in wheelchairs.
5/16/06	Medical, Supervision, Sanitation, Food service, Mental Health, Segregation	Clarification of treatments, alleged use of force, diet for diabetes, hygiene practices, mental health evaluation, segregation/housing status.
6/5/06	IIS	Clarification if investigation was completed.
6/21/06	ADA compliance	Second inquiry regarding inmate in wheelchair and accessibility to services and programs.
6/30/06	Personal Safety, PC	Clarification of inmate's Protective Control concerns to institution.
7/11/06	Medical and Dental services, Cell assignment	Inadequate medical and dental services, cell inmate assault.
8/1/06	Use of Force	Inquiry into incident.
8/7/06	Inmate on Inmate Assault, Mental Health Services	Inquiry into medical services delivered to inmate, and mental health services provided.
8/10/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, Staff response, medical treatment, pre-existing conditions.
8/30/06	Medical Services	Delay in medical treatment, denial of medications.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: MADISON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
<b>DATE</b>	<b>GENERAL CATEGORY</b>	<b>SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS</b>
2/10/05	Mental Health	Clarification of inmate mental health status.
6/9/05	Mental Health	Clarification of inmate mental health status.
6/22/05	Medical Services	Clarification of inmate medical treatments.
7/27/05	Mental Health Services	Request for mental evaluation.
8/5/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, preexisting conditions.
9/6/05	RIB, Gang, Transfer	Clarification of RIB finding, Transfer status relevant to reported Security Threat Group connection.
3/20/06	Personal Safety, Supervision	Clarification of Officer's alleged claim to kill an inmate.
5/22/06	Supervision	Verbal discrimination, harassment.
7/24/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, staff response, medical conditions.
7/26/06	Supervision	Alleged violation of policy on inmate searches.
8/22/06	Mental Health Services	Absence of mental health services, suicide risk.
9/18/06	Medical Services, Job, Health Risk	Absence of medical services, Exposure to toxic chemicals on job site.
10/13/06	Supervision, Transfer	Abusive language, Attitude of not caring, Institutional transfer desired, Staff pushing Protective Control only.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: MANSFIELD CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
<b>DATE</b>	<b>GENERAL CATEGORY</b>	<b>SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS</b>
2/3/05	Supervision, Death Inquiry	Unit staff treatment of inmate; clarification of suicide facts.
5/31/05	Death Inquiry	Clarification of suicide or death facts.
6/16/05	Intimidation, Threats	Clarification of claims of intimidation and threats to inmate.
6/16/05	Conduct Report, Rule Violation	Clarification of rule violation.
6/30/05	Canine Program	Clarification of provisions for canine program.
7/12/05	Supervision, IGP	Staff intimidation, harassment, threats, physical assault or use of force, bogus conduct reports, disposal of Informal Complaint.
7/12/05	Death Row unit conditions, Unit Supervision, Medical and Mental Health	Staff discriminating among inmates, intimidating blacks and favoring whites, staff in security threat group, cell and water temperature, mismanagement of recreation for death row inmates, disregard for medical concern and panic attacks.
7/13/05	Death Inquiry	Clarification of death cause, date, conditions.
7/28/05	Medical	Clarification of medical treatment.
10/6/05	Inmate Conduct/Assault	Notification of possible inmate on inmate assault.
10/24/05	Mental Health	No Mental Health evaluations, paranoia, depression.
11/30/05	Confidential Informants	Clarification of use of informants, alleged conveyance incident.
11/30/05	Use of Force	Clarification of alleged excessive use of force during a cell extraction .
2/6/06	Sanitation, Unit Housing	Security Control cell conditions: no heat, continuous lights, filth.
2/10/06	Transfer, Security Control	Clarification of Security Control/Protective Control placement and speculated Institutional Transfer.
3/2/06	Separation, Institutional Transfer	Clarification of inmate claims he is being forced into transfer; also to request evaluation for separation.
3/22/06	Institutional Transfer, Medical Services, Unit/Cell conditions, Supervision	Verify transfer status, Inappropriate Supervision, Use of Force, denied medical services.
3/22/06	Institutional Transfer	Clarification of transfer request.
3/23/06	Institutional Transfer, Personal Safety	Clarification of institutional transfer request, need for personal safety.
4/6/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, possible inmate/staff relationship, questionable use of force.
4/21/06	Medical and Mental Health	Medical and Mental Health services inadequate following inmate assault.
4/21/06	Supervision	Inappropriate 'dealing' of officer, allegedly negotiating with inmates for items, confidential informant.
7/12/06	Supervision	Alleged use of confidential informants.
7/12/06	Supervision	Alleged use of confidential informants.
7/13/06	Supervision	Alleged use of confidential informants.
8/15/06	Medical Services	Alleged inadequate medical services.
8/22/06	Institutional Transfer	Clarification of request for transfer for safety.
9/14/06	Unit Assignment, Mental Health Services, Supervision	Denial of Protective Control, clarification of mental health intervention, use of confidential informant.
10/23/06	Supervision, Unit housing	Clarification of denial of privileges, absence of legal services on Death Row, Mansfield CI death row unit conditions are deplorable.
11/6/06	Personal Safety	Clarification of separation for inmate.
11/8/06	Supervision, Unit Housing	Clarification of denial of privileges, deplorable conditions on death row.
11/20/06	Medical Services	Medical records discrepancy.
11/22/06	Unit Supervision	Inmate assaults on inmates in segregation, food contamination.

SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: MONTGOMERY EDUCATION AND PRE-RELEASE CENTER		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
4/5/06	Medical and Dental Services	Inadequate medical and dental services.

SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: NOBLE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
7/22/05	Sanitation	Local Control in segregation infested with insects.
7/25/05	Inappropriate Supervision	Officers allegedly showing affection, small acts of retaliation toward inmates.
9/15/05	Medical and Mental Health	Raise awareness of inmate's depression, escalating frustration with lack of medical access.
9/21/05	Supervision, Medical Services	Verbal abuse, delay in receiving medications.
11/3/05	Medical Services	Denial of recommended surgery.
11/3/05	Medical Services, Medications	Denied surgery, denied medications for pain.
2/15/06	Personal Safety, Transfer, Supervision	Discrimination in Honor Dorm, inmate concerned about other inmates, non-response to transfer request.
4/24/06	Mental Health, Job	Clarification of inmate's job conditions having adverse effect on psychotropic medications.
5/11/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Staff response, Medical conditions.
11/1/06	Supervision, Segregation, Personal Property	Clarification of use of force in segregation, inmate property destroyed.
11/1/06	Supervision, IGP	Retaliation for use of grievance procedure, inappropriate supervision.
11/8/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Staff response, Medical conditions.
12/11/06	Supervision, STG	Racial Discrimination, gang influence in dorm

SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: NORTH CENTRAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
2/3/05	LC	Local Control placement
2/16/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings
5/9/05	Gangs, RIB, Transfer	Clarification on inmate's security threat group status, Rules Infraction Board conviction and penalty, institutional transfer.
5/11/05	Warden, Transfer	Question regarding Warden allegedly seeking 'snitch' assistance from inmate, institutional transfer.
5/27/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings
6/1/05	Supervision in Special Management Housing, Suicide attempt	Clarification of sequence of events at intake into special management housing and inmate's suicide attempt.
6/8/05	Programming, Education	Clarification on inmate admittance to a licensed program for which he reportedly does not qualify.
6/27/05	Medical and Mental Health	Clarification regarding distribution of drugs to inmates that allegedly creates an eventual need for Mental Health services.
7/28/05	Investigations, Use of Force by staff	Failure to investigate by the Inspector, Inappropriate and excessive use of force by unit officers to intimidate inmates and/or to stop inmate fights before trained yard officers arrive.
8/5/05	Housing	Clarification and response requested on information regarding operational details associated with 'juvenile pod or dorm.'
8/23/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings.
9/13/05	Inappropriate Supervision, IGP, Segregation	Unreported Use of force and excessive force, no bedding and linens in segregation, 'insufficient evidence' as rationale to deny grievances.
9/14/05	Inappropriate supervision	Clarification of use of force, harassment, intimidation.
9/14/05	Medical Services	Clarification of medical services for ear.
9/15/05	Medical Services	Clarification of denied physical care.
9/15/05	Inappropriate Supervision, medical, records, STG	Clarification of alleged discrimination and non-communication, delay of or lack of medical service, misinformation in records, gang presence/issues.
9/27/05	Personal safety	Segregation for personal protection, gang presence creating fear in institution, seeking clarification of what action to take.
10/20/05	Inappropriate supervision, Mental health.	Officers allegedly fail to call for assistance in inmate/inmate assaults, staff denies Mental health services to inmates.
10/26/05	Inappropriate Supervision, Medical, special management housing	Staff assaults on inmates, refusal of medical services in segregation.
11/28/05	Inmate on inmate assault	Relayed inmate account of situation.
5/9/06	Medical Services, Personal Property	Clarification of status of medical services, status of personal property.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: NORTH COAST CORRECTIONAL TREATMENT FACILITY</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
9/19/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings.	
9/7/06	Programming/Education	Clarification regarding class instructional methods.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: NORTHEAST OHIO CORRECTIONAL CENTER</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
5/26/05	Death Inquiry, Institutional Conditions, Mental Health Services, Classification, Legal Services	Suicide inquiry – medical cause, investigation, suicide watch, institutional overcrowding, delivery of medical and mental health services, classification criteria, law library availability.	
8/8/05	IGP, Medical, Legal Services, Access to Federal Monitors and CCA Complaint Hotline	Non-response to grievances, cannot acquire grievance forms, non-response to medical kites, cannot get medications and medical treatments, denied access to law library, and no assistance in getting legal material photocopied.	
8/26/05	IGP, Attorney visitation, Medical	Clarification of grievance procedure filing and timely response, Clarification of access to legal counsel, Clarification of delivery of medical services.	
8/26/05	Supervision, IGP	Officers allegedly encouraging inmate on inmate assault, non-response to request for grievance form.	
8/26/05	IGP, Operations, Legal Services, Medical Services, Dental Services, Medications, 'Culture' within institution.	Clarification of grievance procedure timely responses, Use of restroom privileges during meal time, Access to law library and to computers, Sick call – delay in scheduling, Dental inquiry regarding toothbrushes, floss, etc., Non-prescriptive pain medications are unreasonably limited, Culture within institution produces much low morale.	
9/13/05	Correctional system, Medications and Mental Health Services	Red tape pertaining to inmate's status under two numbers, Access to medications for mental health disorder.	
10/19/05	Medical Services, Food Services	No access to medications, vitamins, and medical-diet.	
5/2/06	Unit Housing, Sanitation	Overcrowded conditions, unsanitary showers	
6/13/06	Legal Services	Absence or diminished access to legal materials and services.	
6/28/06	Legal Services, IGP	Inadequate legal services and materials, Non-response to grievances.	
7/21/06	Supervision, IGP	Non-responsive to communication, fears of retaliation, non-response to grievances on numerous issues.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: NORTHEAST PRE-RELEASE CENTER</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
8/28/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Staff response, Medical Conditions.	
11/30/06	Medical Services	Inadequate medical treatment, malpractice regarding post-operative measures.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: OAKWOOD CORRECTIONAL FACILITY</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
2/8/05	Medical Services	Clarification of medical services to inmate.	
12/19/05	Death Inquiry	Cause of death, Autopsy and findings, Pre-existing medical or other conditions/ medical history.	
3/8/06	Supervision	Clarification of alleged use of force that allegedly caused inmate physical harm.	
6/26/06	Mental Health Services	Clarification of mental health treatments.	
7/6/06	Mental Health Services	Need for collaborative effort between Oakwood CF and Southern Ohio CF to provide mental health services.	



<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: OHIO REFORMATORY FOR WOMEN</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
1/10/05	Medical Services, DRC Policy regarding smoking, Use of Force	Clarification of seizure treatment, Consideration of no smoking policy, Clarification of alleged staff use of force on cuffed inmate.	
8/22/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, Medical history and pre-existing conditions, Clarification of response to medical emergency.	
2/6/06	Supervision, IGP	Clarification of action taken regarding alleged sexual abuse of inmates, harassment, no-response to grievances.	
3/15/06	Supervision, Policy, Sanitation	Clarification of 'new' policy and supervision of strip searches of inmates relevant to visitation, Inadequate provisions to wash hands.	
5/26/06	Recreation	Clarification of an allegedly lewd and inappropriate comedian brought to institution as entertainment.	
6/12/06	Supervision	Request for information regarding inappropriate supervision, misuse of isolation.	
6/26/06	Medical Services, Unit Housing	Inadequate medical treatments, Overcrowding putting inmates at a safety risk.	
8/24/06	Medical Services	Inadequate medical services, contradictory medical instructions.	
11/2/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Staff response, Pre-existing Medical Condition.	
11/7/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Staff response, Pre-existing Medical Conditions.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: OHIO STATE PENITENTIARY</b>			
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS	
6/7/05	Unit Management and Supervision	Clarification of inmate on inmate assault.	
7/14/05	Unit Management and Supervision	Use of force related to medical concern, denial of medical aide.	
10/24/05	Mental Health Services, Institutional Transfer	Clarification of mental services to inmate, status of institutional transfer.	
1/20/06	Medical Services, IGP	Inadequate medical treatment, delay in Appeal decision of Chief Inspector.	
3/15/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, Withholding personal property and clothes, Harassment.	
6/6/06	Sanitation, Unit Housing	Inadequate toilet facilities, mold, unsanitary conditions.	
8/11/06	Food Service, Medications, Inappropriate Supervision, Inmate Accounts.	Inadequate quantity of food, Medications mismanaged, Staff Harassment, Money orders not posted to inmate accounts.	
12/19/06	Food Service, Supervision, IGP	Officer allegedly tampering with food tray, Use of Force, Harassment, Inappropriate supervision, Retaliation for writing Complaint, Racial Discrimination.	
12/20/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, harassment and threats from inmates, Verbal Abuse, Use of Force (assault).	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: PICKAWAY CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>			
<b>DATE</b>	<b>GENERAL CATEGORY</b>	<b>SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS</b>	
1/18/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, Investigation findings.	
4/7/05	Building and Conditions, Fiscal Management	Clarification regarding demolition, remodeling, construction and maintenance of buildings relevant to the costs for such work.	
5/11/05	Segregation Cell	Condition of cell and confinement.	
6/13/05	Medical	Clarification of institutional response to MRSA staph infections, history of and status of current number of infections, steps to eliminate MRSA.	
7/28/05	Supervision, Medical Services, Job Assignment	Staff verbal abuse, questionable infirmary procedures and transport to infirmary, questionable appropriate job assignment.	
7/28/05	Medical Diet, Medical Aid, Operations and Procedures, Smoking Policy	Clarification regarding diabetic diet, status of medical-order for boots, practice of having inmates eat while handcuffed to belly chain, noncompliance with no smoking on unit policy.	
10/27/05	Segregation Privileges, Legal Services, Communication with family, Inmate Account	Segregation management, access to law library, denied communication with family pertaining to mother's health, inmate account funds are garnished.	
10/21/05	Institutional Separation	Clarification regarding separation due to alleged gang connection.	
11/28/05	Facility Conditions, Sanitation, Cleaning Products, Use of Force	Plumbing/drain in bathroom is in disrepair and floods with sewage, inadequate cleaning materials, staff's physical response to verbal exchange.	
12/30/05	Medical Services, Medication, IIS,	Non-response to a medical concern, not receiving medications, missing medical folder,	
1/31/06	Unit Housing, Institutional Transfer	Institutional and Unit assignment may prompt inmate assault on inmate, transfer needs to be cautiously monitored.	
2/27/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, use of force	
3/7/06	Medical Services	Absence of prompt medical response to an emergency situation.	
3/29/06	Unit Housing, Inappropriate Supervision	Unit is not properly managed, Staff create obstacles to inmate moving to new dorm.	
4/28/06	Medical and Dental Services	Denied medical and dental services.	
4/21/06	Sanitation, Facility Maintenance, Medical Services	Toilets, sinks, showers are contaminated with mold, etc., building is in disrepair, insect infestations, overcrowding, inadequate medical treatments.	
6/2/06	Supervision	Discrimination in removal of TVs and library materials available to disabled inmates at Frazier Med Center.	
6/5/06	Quartermaster, Recreation, Sanitation	Inadequate clothing issue, inadequate recreation, removal of TVs and library materials, inadequate porter services to clean unit, filthy floors.	
6/28/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Staff response, Pre-existing medical conditions.	
8/30/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, staff response, medical conditions.	
10/6/06	Unit management	Staff temperament allegedly incites inmate irritation, retaliation, harassment.	
10/16/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, staff response, medical conditions.	
11/7/06	Unit Housing, Sanitation, Recreation, Programming	Unsanitary living conditions in Frazier Health Center, absence of recreation and special programming	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: ROSS CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
1/11/05	Medical Services	Clarification of medical treatment.
2/8/05	Medical Services, Co-Pay, Clothing, Bedding, building Conditions, Hygiene	Inadequate hygiene/toilet paper, shortage of whites and blankets, alleged indifferent attitude from Doctor.
3/31/05	Gangs, Supervision, Unit Housing, Personal Property, Investigation	Gang confrontation, Staff refusal to move inmate to new unit, personal property taken or destroyed by other inmates, investigation inadequate.
6/29/05	Medical Services	Clarification of medical treatment.
6/30/05	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, use of force.
8/5/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, preexisting medical conditions, adequacy of assistance relevant to his medical conditions preceding death.
8/5/05	Institutional Transfer	Reason for lengthy stay in security control prior to transfer.
8/8/05	Operations	Inappropriate – illicit videos, Clarify incident.
12/1/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, pre-existing conditions, reason for delay in discovery of incident.
12/20/05	Operations, Supervision, Mail, Medical Services	Clarification of alleged camouflaging of excessive use of force, alleged withholding of sexual mistreatment reporting information, post age charges for appeals to Chief Inspector, inadequate clothing, officers allegedly obtaining personal information, inadequate meds/med tests.
3/30/06	Personal Safety, Supervision	Harassment, alleged threats.
5/3/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, staff response, Medical conditions.
7/25/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, staff response, Medical conditions.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: RICHLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
2/16/05	Death Inquiry	Clarification of operational procedures during incident, autopsy and findings.
4/6/05	Death Inquiry	Clarification of operational procedures during incident; autopsy and findings.
7/15/05	RIB, Gangs, Investigation	Conduct report on non-credible informant, association with security threat group, denied polygraph or CVSA test.
7/28/05	Quartermaster, Medical Service & Aide, Supervision	Medical footwear, alleged racial discrimination.
9/16/05	Medical Services	Withholding of information to family, withholding information to inmate,
11/14/05	Medical Services, Medical Aide, Medication	Denied medical cane, inadequate medication,
12/2/05	Medical Services	Delay in receiving medical services and medications.
7/24/06	IGP, Transfer, Mail,	Handling of Informal Complaints by Inspector, threats of institutional transfers, mail delays or lost legal mail, inmates handling other inmate mail, mishandling of mail.
8/30/06	Medical Services	Inadequate or denial of medical services.
9/20/06	Unit Housing, Special Management Housing, Supervision, IGP, Mental Health Services	Cell plumbing out of order, threat of conduct report, denial of writing materials, non-response to grievances, need for more mental health assistance.
10/20/06	Medical Services	Alleged denial of medical services from Ohio State University Hospital.
10/23/06	Sanitation, Segregation Unit Management, IGP, Personal Property	Segregation cells are flooded, unsanitary, plumbing broken, ineffective grievance procedure, Personal property missing after segregation.
12/1/06	Supervision, Visitation	Verbal abuse, Retaliation.
12/18/06	Medical Services	Follow-up on inmate's medical – delay in communicating to inmate and delay in treatment at OSU.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: SOUTHEASTERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
8/4/05	Operations	Smoking issue in dorms.
12/9/05	Operations	Smoking issue in dorms.
10/5/06	Supervision, IGP	Absence of staff communication regarding inmate's job assignment, Harassment regarding inmate's use of grievance procedure.
8/15/06	Visitation	Visitation denied.
11/7/06	Unit Management, Personal Property	Inmate assault without proper staff intervention, loss of property during transfer.

SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: SOUTHERN OHIO CORRECTIONAL FACILITY		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
1/20/05	Parole, Suicide Threat	Notification to institution of inmate instability.
3/18/05	Supervision	Staff use of force, harassment, retaliation.
3/31/05	Supervision, Mental Health Services, Gang	Alleged staff use of force, gang influence in institution, need for mental health evaluation.
5/10/05	Operations, Unit Communication, Supervision,	Allegation that staff allow inmates to deliver kites, misuse of channels of communication, inappropriate supervision.
6/11/05	Death Inquiry, Mental Health Services	Autopsy and findings, clarification of mental health services, suicide history.
6/13/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, clarification of suicide threats/risk, investigation findings.
6/15/05	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, threats, intimidation.
6/28/05	Mental Health Services	Shortage of psychiatric care, shortage of psychiatric medications.
6/28/05	Institutional Transfer, Mental Health Services, Inappropriate Supervision	Relays inmate's rationale for institutional transfer, alleged indifference to mental health needs, alleged use of force by guards.
6/29/05	Operations, Mail, Racial Disrespect	Security violation regarding shower door in 4B, Mail handled by other inmates, racial oppression through disrespect from Officers.
7/5/05	Food Services	Food quantity – reduction in overall amount as part of new brunch program.
7/14/05	Classification, Institutional Transfer	Clarification of classification procedures, allegedly “rode out” for no reason.
7/27/05	Gang/STG, Personal Property, Operations, Unit Housing, Protective Control, IGP	Gang threats to personal safety, Personal property missing during pickup, Pack up delay allowing 20 hours for inmate's property to be tampered with, refusal of Protective Control housing, grievances receive no action.
8/4/05	Institutional Transfer, Personal Safety	Relays issues of personal safety relevant to the possible move of inmates from Southern Ohio Correctional Facility to Ohio State Penitentiary.
8/15/05	Personal Safety	Fear for safety and life from anonymous inmate.
8/22/05	Personal Safety	Fear for safety and life, seeks interview and intervention from CIIC.
8/26/05	Personal Safety, Mental Health Services	Notification of fear for safety in 4B, need for mental health evaluation.
8/29/05	Food Service, IGP	Food trays being taken in K4, no response to Informal Complaints.
8/29/05	Operations, Supervision, Medical, Use of Force	Failure to interview regarding a use of force, racial discrimination, failure to receive proper medical services.
8/29/05	Supervision, Investigation	Alleged use of force, incomplete investigation practices.
9/1/05	Personal Safety, Supervision	Denied Protective Control multiple times, failure of staff to intervene in inmate on inmate assault.
9/7/05	Safety and security, Staffing levels and cuts, Budget Shortages	Budget cuts have allegedly eroded the safety in institution due to staff shortages, Inmates are allegedly given greater latitude and control – which raises risk of safety issues.
9/15/05	Classification, Transfer, Operations	Procedural issues hindering re-classification, personal property withheld and threats to destroy legal documents.
9/16/05	Mental Health Services	Inquiry into release from Mental Health services and medications.
9/23/05	Medical Services, IGP	Issues with medical services regarding infection of toes, Informal complaints are not addressed.
9/28/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, status of prior altercations, status regarding any consideration for mental health caseload.
10/6/05	Safety and security, Supervision	Unsafe operational and supervision methods aggravate tension, hostile environment. Officer harassment, use of force.
10/20/05	Safety and security, Inappropriate supervision, Food Services	Unsafe operational and supervision methods prompt increased agitation and unsafe environment, use of force by staff on inmates, contamination of food by staff.
10/12/05	Supervision, Unit Management, Personal property, legal materials	Hygiene supplies and clean clothes being denied, legal material denied.
10/24/05	Gangs, PC	Association with security threat group, threats to inmate.
11/15/05	Supervision	Use of Force, Racial Discrimination
11/15/05	Supervision	Use of Force, Racial Discrimination, alleged gang membership among staff, Unit tension and alleged unsafe environment.
11/29/05	Supervision, Personal Safety	Gang presence in institution, inmate on inmate threats and assaults.
12/7/05	Personal Property, Inappropriate Supervision	Inmate property damage in 4B, systemic issue.
12/13/06	Supervision, Medical Services	Use of Force, Special Response Team (SRT), alleged excessive force by staff, denial or delay in medical treatment.
12/19/05	Inappropriate Supervision	Alleged illicit relationship with staff led to alleged staff use of force on inmate (Inmate transferred to Oakwood).
12/19/05	Personal Safety	Gang related issues and alleged assaults.

<b>Southern Ohio Correctional Facility - CONTINUED</b>			
<b>DATE</b>	<b>GENERAL CATEGORY</b>	<b>SPECIFIC ISSUES/INQUIRY CONCERNS</b>	
12/29/05	Personal Safety	Gang related issues and alleged assaults.	
1/20/06	Supervision	Officer allegedly inappropriately touching inmates.	
1/20/06	Supervision	Officer allegedly inappropriately touching inmates.	
1/20/06	Supervision	Use of force, Threats of harm, Intimidation, Harassment.	
2/3/06	Supervision, Mental Health	Alleged inadequate supervision in unit, possible food contamination, request for mental health evaluation.	
2/7/06	Medical Services, Mental Health, RTU, PC	Request update on inmate medical and mental conditions, and status of Residential Treatment Unit placement and his request for Protective Control.	
2/9/06	Jobs	Alleged inadequate training on OPI machine.	
2/21/06	Supervision, Transfer	Alleged threats, animosity, retaliation from staff, request for institutional transfer.	
2/23/06	Safety and Security, PC	Clarification of inmate claims of denied help.	
3/2/06	Mental Health Services	Alleged unnecessary drug treatment.	
3/8/06	Supervision, Medical/Mental Services	Alleged inappropriate supervision, request evaluation of inmate.	
3/22/06	IGP, Mental Services	Alleged non-response to grievances, request for inmate evaluation for mental health services.	
3/23/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision reportedly promoting snitching, clarification of institution's response to allegations.	
4/12/06	Mental Health services	Alleged denial of mental health services in spite of inmate's suicide attempt.	
5/3/06	Safety, Commissary	Anonymous letter regarding items for sale in the commissary used as weapons.	
5/26/06	Special Management Housing, Transfer, STG	Clarification on inmate request for Protective Control, status of request for institutional transfer, gang presence.	
5/30/06	Supervision	Harassment, use of force, staff threats on 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> shift, inmate threatens hunger strike.	
6/12/06	Supervision,	Use of force, Absence of monitoring in isolation conducive to suicides.	
6/14/06	Supervision, Special Management Housing	Denial of PC, Inadequate communication from staff	
6/23/06	Supervision, Special Management Housing	Alleged inappropriate supervision in using inmates as snitches, Protective Control.	
6/28/06	Medical Services	Inadequate medications.	
6/30/06	Mental Health	Clarification on psychiatric medications given.	
7/5/06	Supervision, Medical Services	Denial of meals, recreation, phone, showers in K2 slammer cells. Staff ridicule and harassment. Delay in medical services.	
7/6/06	Personal Safety, Mental Health	Inmate fears for his safety from staff, clarification on inmate's mental health service status.	
7/7/06	Mental Health Services	Clarification of inmate's mental health status and services received.	
7/7/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, physical assaults, fictitious conduct reports for harassment.	
7/13/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, physical assaults, fictitious conduct reports for harassment.	
7/21/06	Supervision, Classification	Absent supervision and lack of intervention into inmate extortion, denial of Protective Control, gang presence, classification contested.	
7/21/06	Unit Housing, Supervision	Clarification of creation of a "powder keg" in K6 by locating inmates associated with security threat groups there.	
7/24/06	Supervision, Personal Property	Staff threats of harm, Staff permission of theft of personal property.	
7/24/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, alleged conduct reports without RIB hearings, violation of privacy, verbal abuse, threats on his life.	
8/1/06	Medical Services, Supervision, Medical Co-Pays, Housing conditions	Denied medical services, absence of medications, repeated co-pay charge, housing unit is dirty, hot, humid, and damp.	
8/4/06	Mental Health Services, Transfer	Inmate seeks transfer to resume treatments for Asperger's Syndrome.	
8/16/06	Medical, Supervision	Denial of medication for diabetes, Abusive language.	
8/16/06	Medical, LC	Mismanagement of medications during institutional transfer, Local Control for complaining,	
8/23/06	Mental Health Services	Clarification of mental health services provided, and need for additional attention to inmate's mental health.	
8/24/06	Medical Services, Food Services	Medical diet, Clarification of mental health services inmate is receiving.	
8/30/06	Medical	Delay in treatment, denial of medications.	
8/30/06	Personal Safety, Institutional Transfer	Clarification regarding inmate assault on inmate, inmate allegedly at high risk for personal safety at Southern Ohio CF and requests transfer to Toledo CI.	

<b>Southern Ohio Correctional Facility – CONTINUED</b>			
<b>DATE</b>	<b>GENERAL CATEGORY</b>	<b>SPECIFIC ISSUES/INQUIRY CONCERNS</b>	
8/30/06	Medical Services	Ineffective medications allegedly continue to be prescribed.	
9/8/06	Supervision, Personal Property	Inappropriate supervision, Personal property removed by another inmate.	
9/12/06	Personal Safety, Transfer, Supervision	Relay inmate's fear for safety, request for transfer, alleged officer hate crimes toward inmate, racial discrimination, use of force, request for Protective Control from staff.	
9/13/06	Mental Health Services	Clarification of mental health services to inmate.	
9/21/06	Medical Services	Clarification of alleged medical neglect, alleged negligent staff response to medical emergencies.	
10/3/06	Supervision, Medical Services, Safety	Verification/clarification of alleged favoritism toward one inmate, issue of crutches to one inmate and his alleged misuse of such, alleged compromised personal safety of staff and other inmates due to one inmate having crutches and misusing them.	
10/5/06	Personal Safety, Supervision, Personal Property, Medical Services, Unit Housing.	Retaliation (allegedly for using the legal system), inmate allegedly used as a snitch without adequate protection from harm, personal property destroyed or stolen, denial of medical services, extremely cold cell temperatures.	
10/6/06	Personal Safety, PC, STG	Relay inmate fears for personal safety, security threat group influence in institution, knives in Protective Control, inmate threats to be killed by other inmates.	
10/23/06	Medical Services, Mental Health, STG	Delay or denial of medical services, absence of medical tests, consideration for mental health evaluation, fear of security threat group poisoning inmate. .	
11/7/06	Medical Services, Mental Health, STG	Reiteration of concern of 10/23/06 regarding delay or denial of medical services, absence of medical tests, consideration for mental health evaluation, also fear of security threat group poisoning inmate.	
11/16/06	PC, STG	Protective Control committee demeanor that allegedly supports more violence within institution among inmates, gang affiliation.	
11/17/06	IGP	Clarification regarding Inspector response to inmate.	
12/1/06	Mental Health	Clarification of mental health services to inmate.	
12/8/06	Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, violation of privacy, second shift officer, discrimination and a show of bias at Rules Infraction Board hearings, lack of correctional officer ethics.	
12/12/06	Personal Safety, Supervision	Supervision allows gang members in general population to associate with Protective Control inmates too much at certain times of the day, thus increasing the safety risk for Protective Control inmates.	
12/20/06	Supervision, Unit management	Clarification of alleged moving of mentally ill inmates from the unit whenever there is an execution.	
12/20/06	Supervision, Personal Property	Use of Force, personal property stolen by inmates, harassments, threats.	
12/27/06	Medical Services	Clarification regarding alleged dispensation of certain medications.	

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: TOLEDO CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
<b>DATE</b>	<b>GENERAL CATEGORY</b>	<b>SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS</b>
2/4/05	Supervision, Medical Services	Use of Force, verification of medical services provided.
2/9/05	Supervision, Use of Force	Clarification of staff use of force.
3/4/05	Supervision	Use of force, practices regarding suicide cell, investigation.
8/8/05	Supervision, Medical, Investigation, Institutional Assignment	Clarification of Use of force, medical examination, investigation details, question regarding level 2 housed at level 3 institution.
10/13/05	Medical Services	Alleged denial of medical services.
11/3/05	Personal Safety, Supervision, Personal Property, Food Service, Segregation Privileges, Inmate Issues	Allegedly not safe in segregation, no phone call in segregation, institution does not take safety seriously, staff use of force, staff mixing food with fingers, inmate extortion, sexual assault, and property taken without institutional response, staff non-response to help sought.
11/17/05	Personal Safety, Unit Assignment, Gang issue	Institutional non-response to gang-related personal safety on unit.
12/12/05	Supervision, Legal Services, Segregation	Alleged inappropriate and inadequate supervision in segregation, retaliation, no legal mail in segregation.
2/22/06	Medical Services	Delay or denial of prompt treatment, violation of privacy regarding medical issues.
7/25/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, Staff response, pre-existing medical conditions.
8/4/06	Medical services, Personal Safety, IGP, Mental Health	Alleged improper medical care, inmate with possible need for mental health evaluation, non-response to grievance by Inspector, non-response from Chief Inspector, inmate relays distrust and paranoia.
12/5/06	Commissary	Alleged failure to order or reimburse inmate for shoes.

<b>SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: TRUMBULL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION</b>		
<b>DATE</b>	<b>GENERAL CATEGORY</b>	<b>SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS</b>
3/8/05	Supervision	Alleged excessive, unreported use of force.
4/4/05	Supervision	Excessive physical use of force in Residential Treatment Unit for mentally ill by officers.
6/20/05	RIB, Racial discrimination	Racial bias at Rules Infraction Board.
8/5/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, investigation findings.
10/20/05	Medical Services	Denial of medical services.
12/30/05	Personal Property	Personal property missing after segregation placement.
2/13/06	Medical Services	Denial or delay in medical treatment, aides, medications.
4/6/06	Medical Services	Denial of medication and treatment.
6/28/06	Personal Safety	Inmate's concern for personal safety.
8/25/06	Medical Services	Delay or denial of medical treatment, rehabilitation.
12/27/06	Inmate Assault	Clarification of investigation relevant to alleged gang rape and inmate assault on another inmate.

SUMMARY OF LETTERS OF INQUIRY BY SUBJECT: WARREN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION		
DATE	GENERAL CATEGORY	SPECIFIC ISSUES / INQUIRY CONCERNS
3/30/05	Supervision, IGP, Operating Procedure, Investigation, Unit sanitation, Food service.	Use of Force, deviation from standard procedure, operating procedure regarding suicide watch, investigation status, unsanitary cell conditions, missed meals, denial of Informal Complaint forms.
6/1/05	Medical Services, Medications	Delay in addressing medical injury and surgery, delay in addressing medications, alleged attitude of indifference from the doctor.
7/19/05	Supervision, Segregation, Food Service, Investigation	Alleged use of restraints in segregation, food tampering and/or missing meals, investigation status and findings.
8/4/05	IGP	Communication of inmates grievance to institution, establish need for inmates to know how to use grievance procedure.
10/6/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, medical treatment prior to death, overall medical condition.
10/25/05	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and findings, status of alleged brain injury, pre-existing medical conditions.
11/14/05	Medical Services, Supervision	Use of force, denial of adequate medical treatment for use of force injury, harassment by COs.
11/15/05	PC, Unit Housing	Communicate inmate fears of placement in general population.
12/2/05	Supervision	Failure to follow policy in administering meds to inmate.
2/7/06	Mental Health	Relayed mental perceptions of Residential Treatment Unit mentally ill inmate for evaluation.
3/10/06	Personal Safety, Transfer, Mental Health	Communication of inmate's fears for safety, request for institutional transfer, awareness of mental disorders.
3/16/06	Personal Safety, P C, STG	Relay request for protective custody, security threat group searching for inmate, death threats on inmate's family.
3/16/06	Personal Safety, PC, STG, Supervision	Clarification of staff response to inmate's fear for life, personal safety, staff practice of returning inmates to general population from Protective Control, gang presence and power in institution.
3/21/06	Personal Safety, PC, STG, Supervision	Clarification of staff response to inmate's fears for personal safety, staff practice of returning inmates to general population from Protective Control, gang presence in institution.
3/29/06	Personal Safety, Supervision, Mental Health Services	Clarification of staff response to inmate's fears for personal safety, staff practice of returning inmates to general population from PC, need for mental health services.
4/6/06	Sanitation, Unit management, Supervision	Mold in showers, housing two inmates in a single cell when one of them is maximum security – violation of policy, impure drinking water, staff retaliation.
4/19/06	Personal Safety	Relays inmate's concern about personal safety.
5/9/06	Personal Safety, STG	Relays inmate's concern with personal safety, gang related.
6/2/06	Legal Services	Clarification of alleged extortion –like practices of the inmate law clerk.
6/6/06	Supervision, Food Service, Personal Safety, Segregation, Supervision	Inappropriate supervision, alleged corporal punishment, denial of food, hostile inmates having access to other inmates in segregation,
6/20/06	Supervision	Clarification of facts regarding Use of Force incident.
9/13/06	Supervision, Personal Safety, STG	Clarification of institution's climate for personal safety at risk, presence and power of gangs within the institution, security precautions that should be taken, disagreement among staff, administration and management regarding handling of the institution's climate.
11/15/06	Death Inquiry	Autopsy and Findings, Staff response, Medical conditions.
12/18/06	Personal Safety	Clarification of alleged inmate on inmate sexual assault.



### Juvenile Correctional Facilities

<b>Facility</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Biennial Total</b>
Scioto Juvenile Correctional Facility	3	5	8
Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility	0	4	4
Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility	1	3	4
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	3	0	3
Ohio River Valley Correctional Facility	0	2	2
Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility	0	1	1
Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility	0	1	1
Freedom Center Residential Treatment Center	0	0	0
Lighthouse Youth Center at Paint Creek	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>23</b>

<b>Date</b>	<b>General Category</b>	<b>Specific Issues/Inquiry Concerns</b>
04/07/05	Inappropriate Supervision	Youth reported officer physically assaulted him.
06/13/05	Mental Health	Mother reported frequent medication changes have led to her daughter's mental deterioration and the extension of her sentence. Also stated that she is not informed of daughter's condition.
08/26/05	Housing Conditions, Inappropriate Supervision	Youth reported food and housing conditions were poor. Also alleged staff steal youth's property, abuse them, allow some girls to have girlfriends and do not respond to grievances.
02/01/06	Inappropriate Supervision	Youth alleged officers instigate fights and never receive discipline for doing so.
02/10/06	Inappropriate Supervision	Mother alleged her son fears for his life and has repeatedly sought assistance from staff simply to be told that they can do nothing unless something happens to him, that he will not be moved, that he will "just need to deal with it." Subsequently the youth reportedly placed himself on suicide watch to avoid being beaten up.
05/17/06	Inappropriate Supervision	Complaints received that allege youth are being locked down and denied access to bathrooms, school and recreation. Also reported that conditions are deteriorating and being ignored.
08/03/06	Overcrowding, Suicide Watch, Telephone System	Complaints allege Boone Unit is extremely overcrowded. Also, youth on suicide watch are allegedly not properly supervised and are not receiving proper mental health treatment. Lastly, issues regarding cost of and access to telephone.
11/08/06	Inappropriate Supervision	Youth alleged she is suffering from retaliation due to speaking with attorney.

<b>Indian River Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>General Category</b>	<b>Specific Issues/Inquiry Concerns</b>
02/14/06	Mental Health	Mother concerned that she is not notified what mental health medications or programming her son is receiving.
03/02/06	Staff Concerns	Staff reported that there is no order and that the "hands off" policy allows youth to run wild and get away with anything.
09/11/06	Staff Concerns	Staff relayed several incidents to illustrate the growing number of youth on youth and staff on youth assaults. Staff allege these assaults are increasing due to the lack of response by administration.
09/25/06	Inappropriate Supervision	Youth alleged he was assaulted by an officer and is now being harassed by that officer. Youth reported that this officer is sending youth after him and he is afraid to return to that officer's unit.

<b>Mohican Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>General Category</b>	<b>Specific Issues/Inquiry Concerns</b>
09/06/05	Inappropriate Supervision	Youth alleged that he was provoked and then assaulted by an officer. Youth relayed that he was initially found not guilty of assault due to witness statements and videotape. The superintendent reportedly overruled his not guilty conviction and he was transferred to another facility.
10/02/06	Staff Concerns	Staff expressed safety and security concerns regarding gang activity, as well as staff morale and the lack of disciplinary action for youth.
10/24/06	Staff Concerns	Staff expressed safety and security concerns regarding gang activity.
11/02/06	Staff Concerns	Staff stated that youth to staff ratio and mandated shifts were causing officers to become increasingly ineffective. Also staff expressed concern about alleged failure of man-down alarms.

<b>Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>General Category</b>	<b>Specific Issues/Inquiry Concerns</b>
02/18/05	Staff Concerns	Staff alleged there are serious management, safety and security concerns at the facility.
09/06/05	Staff Concerns	Staff alleged that school is unsafe, and youth are not being properly screened for admission to program. Staff reported that problems occur because administration is allegedly not supportive of officers and some officers are not properly trained.
09/12/05	Inappropriate Supervision	Youth alleged officer assaulted him and when he was placed on suicide watch he was reportedly left unattended on more than one occasion.

<b>Ohio River Valley Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>General Category</b>	<b>Specific Issues/Inquiry Concerns</b>
05/23/06	Inappropriate Supervision Assaults, Housing Conditions	Youth reports officers harass him and put youth in blind spots so they can restrain them. Also stated that other youth have assaulted him and staff allegedly did nothing. Lastly youth complains about lack of sanitary conditions in dorm.
11/15/06	Inappropriate Supervision	Youth alleges officers physically abused him.

<b>Circleville Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>General Category</b>	<b>Specific Issues/Inquiry Concerns</b>
08/02/06	Sexual Activity	Youth relays that he is experiencing immense pressure from other youth to have sex and that officers allow the sexual activity to occur.
<b>Cuyahoga Hills Juvenile Correctional Facility</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>General Category</b>	<b>Specific Issues/Inquiry Concerns</b>
07/17/06	Assaults, Visitation, Mail, Programming	Mother reports that her son was assaulted by another youth. She also states that nobody has explained the visiting procedures to her and her letters to her son were returned to sender. Lastly, she relays that her son is receiving no programming.

**THE WORK OF THE  
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION COMMITTEE:  
REFLECTIONS AND ANALYSIS**

**Original Report Date: April 20, 2006**

The following is a condensed, abbreviated version of the full report of the same title, prepared in conjunction with the CIIC Director's presentation at the international conference, "Opening Up a Closed World: What Constitutes Effective Prison Oversight?" held from April 23 – 26, 2006 at the University of Texas at Austin.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Correctional Institution Inspection Committee (CIIC) was created by statute effective November 9, 1977. The legislature saw a need for a permanent legislative oversight committee, in times of both crisis and calm, not just to respond and react to problems, but to be proactive and preventative.

On July 1, 2001, a significant historical event occurred when the Committee received no continuation funding for the biennium, resulting in the closure of the CIIC staff office. In spite of the lack of funds in the biennium, the Committee's statutory duties remained part of permanent law in the Ohio Revised Code. However, without the aid of CIIC staff, fulfilling the Committee's duties regarding inspections, evaluations and reports proved to be impossible. Of equal historical significance for the CIIC was the subsequent restoration of funds effective July 1, 2003, enabling the CIIC office to eventually re-open. Another significant, historical event occurred on April 29, 2005, when the Committee was granted additional statutory authority to inspect juvenile correctional facilities.

Acknowledgement of the CIIC as the subject of a case study at the University of Texas at Austin's Conference on Effective Prison Oversight in April 2006 is also a significant event in the Committee's history. More importantly, it is a significant event in corrections history that professionals are beginning to promote the creation and expansion of prison oversight for the myriad of good purposes served by such an entity.

The level of mutual understanding, respect and professional cooperation between the Committee and the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC) exceeds any in CIIC's history. Credit is due in whole or in large part to the positive attitude and enlightened perspective of persons in leadership positions within the Department who have demonstrated an appreciation for the Committee's existence. They have demonstrated that they value our communication on reported problems, and sought our understanding and support for proposed changes affecting the prisons. The same can be said regarding the Ohio Department of Youth Services (DYS) whose facilities are now inspected by the CIIC.

History has shown that the relationship and responsiveness of the Departments ultimately impacts CIIC's effectiveness and usefulness. A direct line of access exists between the

CIIC staff and the DRC and DYS liaisons and their institutions. Monthly CIIC meetings began for the first time in 2003, further serving communication purposes, while offering a forum accessible to the public. CIIC staff and key DRC staff meet quarterly for meaningful discussion of current issues. Prisons and juvenile correctional institutions welcome CIIC's presence. Meaningful CIIC reports on inspections and issues of importance have been submitted. In at least one instance, a Warden has used the report on his facility as a blueprint for change. This is a proud moment in CIIC's history.

## **COMPONENTS**

Based on professional assessments of prison oversight worldwide, the CIIC is reported to be a unique model, with critical components that maximize its potential for appeal and duplication. Such components include ingredients that are considered to be essential to effective prison oversight. Not only is the CIIC reported to be a one-of-a-kind model, its nearly 30 year history makes it especially worthy of close examination in any study or selection of prison oversight models for duplication. In brief, the CIIC has eight essential components:

- The CIIC is located within the Legislature.

The Legislature is an excellent location for prison oversight. The Legislature is the funding source for the prison system, with a corresponding need to know about the state of the prisons. Because the Legislature is not part of the executive branch or the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC), a legislative prison oversight committee provides an unbiased, outside entity to maximize objectivity.

Since the Legislature represents the public, including prison employees, families of prisoners and taxpayers at large, they already receive a steady stream of communication regarding the prisons. Further, in reviewing the DRC publication on its 30-year anniversary, the chronology of events was dominated by change caused by litigation or legislation. By locating prison oversight within the Legislature, it provides a means to maximize communication of needs and wants between the DRC and the Legislature.

- The CIIC is a bipartisan committee with each party equally represented by the appointed members from the House of Representatives and in the Senate.
- The CIIC Chairman serves for a biennium, with the position rotating to the other political party in the next biennium.
- The CIIC staff are non-partisan, corrections professionals, focused solely on assisting the Committee in fulfilling its statutory duties.
- CIIC duties require biennial inspections and evaluations of each Ohio prison's operations and conditions to include attendance of a general meal period and educational or rehabilitative programs
- CIIC may inspect and evaluate juvenile correctional institutions and local jails.

Placing oversight responsibilities for all state and local correctional facilities in one legislative oversight committee is clearly less costly than creating three separate

oversight committees for juvenile, adult and local correctional facilities. It has worked extremely well for the CIIC. Knowledge of both systems enables comparison, contrast, and the identification of positive aspects in one system that might address deficiencies in the other.

- CIIC duties require biennial reports on findings made in inspections and evaluations of operations, conditions and grievance procedure, and any proposals to assist in the development of needed improvements.
- The CIIC meets on a monthly basis when the House and Senate are both in session.

Although meetings are not statutorily mandated, since October 2003, the CIIC Chairman has called formal, public meetings typically on a monthly basis, whenever the House and Senate are both in session. The meetings are of critical importance in providing regular, routine opportunities for in-person contact and communication between CIIC staff and members, and between DRC staff and the CIIC.

The meetings also include an opportunity for the public to testify on any matters related to correctional facilities. Such testimony, which represents a wide spectrum of interests, has proven to be extremely valuable in guiding CIIC's direction and focus on issues of importance. The media presence at the public meetings and their reports on the content serves a positive purpose in raising the public's awareness of the Committee's existence and work, and may also serve to increase the perceived level of importance given to the meetings.

## **COMPOSITION**

The Correctional Institution Inspection Committee was created by Statute in section 103.71 of the Ohio Revised Code effective November 9, 1977. The Committee was originally a totally separate, independent Legislative Committee, but was later placed under the Legislative Service Commission (LSC), subject to the Commission's "direction and oversight."

The movement of the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee to the status of Subcommittee of the Legislative Service Commission has proven to be extremely beneficial to the Correctional Institution Inspection Committee. It enables the small CIIC staff to have access to the greater resources of LSC in terms of office space, equipment, supplies, and even technical assistance of the LSC computer experts. In addition, LSC employees perform time-consuming final processing responsibilities with respect to time sheets, payroll and billing. The movement to LSC also has the advantage of creating an administrative link between the CIIC, Speaker of the House, and President of the Senate. It creates a mutually beneficial system of checks and balances.

## **ACCESS**

Now as in the past, reported concerns and deficiencies regarding prison operations, conditions and programs are brought to the attention of Legislators on a daily basis through phone calls, letters and in-person visits to their offices. Prior to the creation of the CIIC, one Legislator was reportedly turned away when he requested to enter the Ohio Penitentiary to observe conditions firsthand. That may have been the reason why, more than anything else, the legislative proposal to create the CIIC ensured access to the prisons. It specified access to any prison and “any part thereof,” “at any time,” “without prior notice.” That portion of the Statute has since been amended slightly to specify access “during normal business hours,” unless for cause as determined by the Committee Chairman.

## **GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE**

When the legislative proposal was being considered in committee hearings, the Court appointed Special Master of a major class action suit at the Marion Correctional Institution recommended additional responsibilities for the Committee. In addition to inspecting Ohio prisons, evaluating their operations and conditions, and reporting on proposed programs to assist in the development of improvements, he recommended that the CIIC also be required to evaluate the inmate grievance procedure and to report findings to the Ohio General Assembly. An effective grievance procedure was viewed as essential to preventing costly litigation by quickly addressing underlying problems that otherwise end up in court.

Monthly statistical reports on the number of grievances filed and the subject of the grievances are prepared by the grievance Inspector at each prison. The CIIC receives the reports, which are logged into the CIIC database for later monitoring and evaluation. At a minimum, the data reveals the extent to which inmates are using the grievance procedure and the subject of reported problems. It provides a valuable indicator of system-wide problems, as well as problems unique to a specific prison. It can guide the CIIC to where focus should be directed in inspections, evaluations, and inquiries. It can serve as a management tool for the CIIC and for the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. Not only can an effective grievance procedure prevent costly litigation, but it also can prevent the violence, death, and destruction of costly prison riots. Knowledge of reported inmate problems at any given time is fundamental to effective prison oversight.

## **CONTACTS AND CONCERNS**

Legislators and their staff routinely receive contacts and concerns from their constituents. In an effort to assist, they make inquiries and prepare responses. Because of the CIIC mandate to inspect, evaluate and report on Ohio prisons, Legislators and other governmental officials who receive contacts and concerns regarding Ohio prisons often refer the communication to the CIIC. Beginning in the fall of 2003, an increasing number of such referrals has resulted in efforts by CIIC staff to introduce all new legislative

employees to CIIC's existence, purpose and function. Whenever new legislative staff training is conducted, CIIC staff provide them with an orientation to the CIIC.

The CIIC receives a steady stream of communication from prisoners, as well as from some prison staff. If not for that communication, CIIC's knowledge of problems would be limited to what can be seen on site during inspections, what can be detected from monthly report data, and what DRC officials choose to reveal regarding problems.

The CIIC receives many inmate contacts and reported concerns, about which inquiries are made when warranted. All correspondence is answered. Ongoing efforts continue to be made by the CIIC to let prison staff know that their communication of concerns is welcomed and wanted, so that their valuable input and perspective can be taken into account in CIIC inspections, evaluations and recommendations. Prison employees are more reluctant than inmates to contact the CIIC for fear of repercussion or reprisal potentially affecting their employment. Inroads have been made since CIIC's restart, so that prison union representatives have initiated contact with the CIIC and have testified at CIIC meetings to relay concerns. Further, more prison staff than ever in CIIC's history have contacted CIIC staff by phone, letter and in-office visit.

## **SYSTEM ADVOCACY**

The CIIC serves as the "listening ear of the Legislature" regarding the prisons. If there is an advocacy role connected with the CIIC statutory functions and duties, it is system advocacy, seeking to identify problems and areas in need of improvement in the prison system. Through the process of problem and solution identification, the CIIC seeks to assist in making the prison system the best that it can be, which benefits everyone.

## **INSPECTIONS**

### **Meal Attendance**

The original Statute required that an inspection last a minimum of four hours, but that portion has since been rescinded. Inspections still require members to attend a general meal period and an educational or rehabilitative program. The meal attendance is believed to be a reflection of the predominance of food complaints at the time that the legislation was drafted. However, the "chow hall" or inmate dining room has long been known as a "hot spot" where large numbers of inmates typically gather. Comments from inmates and staff during the meal period, and observations can provide indicators of the overall morale and environment, including but not limited to safety, security, sanitation and food services. Just as the presence of filth in an area of a prison is said to be an indicator that other, less visible areas of operation and conditions may be deficient, so also food services is often a similar reflection of the rest of the prison.

### **Program Attendance**

The requirement to attend a rehabilitative or educational program during each inspection is believed to be a reflection of the Legislature's acknowledgement of the importance of



productive activity to counter idleness and all of the problems that stem from it. In addition, it is a reflection of the belief that the prison experience ought to include opportunities for education and rehabilitation, what is referred to today as “re-entry” initiatives.

### **Itinerary**

Although not required by Statute, CIIC inspections typically begin and end with a meeting with the Warden and several administrative staff. It is an opportunity for open exchange regarding any observed or reported concerns, as well as positive aspects of the facility.

Inspections include an escorted walk-through of the facility with an opportunity to listen to staff and inmates along the way. Some approach the CIIC, while others respond to questions initiated by the CIIC. A concerted effort is made to be accessible to prison employees and inmates.

In addition to the meal period and program attendance, priority areas include the segregation unit, medical services, infirmary, mental health services, any residential mental health unit, and any other specialized housing unit for protective control, mentally retarded, substance abusers, and sex offenders. Faith-based housing units, the nursery for female inmates and their infants, and the therapeutic communities for substance abusers would be included.

General population dormitories or cellblocks are always included as well. The library and law library, vocational, educational and other program areas are typically included in the course of observing the required educational or rehabilitative program. Similarly, the entire food services area including the kitchen, storage areas and docks are typically included prior to or after the general meal period. Prison industry shops where prisoners make such items as license plates, furniture and flags, are also included in inspections.

### **Frequency**

The original Statute required at least an annual inspection of each prison. However, with the increase in the number of prisons from eight to more than 30, the Statute was amended to require only biennial inspections at each prison. In addition, the original Statute required at least two CIIC members to be present on an inspection, one from each political party. Due to the increased number of prisons to be inspected, the Statute was amended to require only one CIIC member to be present on an inspection, accompanied by at least one CIIC staff person.

Still, with the size of the prison system, it has been an on-going challenge to ensure that every prison is inspected every two years. Whether the General Assembly is in or out of session, Legislators have full schedules at the Statehouse or in their home districts. In spite of individual members’ unquestionable motivation, desire, and intent to maintain the required “continuing program of inspection,” it has proven to be extremely difficult to

guarantee that all prisons receive their biennial inspections due to time constraints on members. Further, some prisons that are the source of a large volume of reported concerns, or just one serious concern, ought to receive additional inspections beyond the biennial requirement.

### **PROPOSED CHANGE**

Recognizing the inherent difficulty of Legislators to find the time to fulfill the mandated biennial inspections, let alone the desired additional inspections, CIIC staff recommended that the statute be amended to allow an inspection to be conducted by one member and/or one CIIC staff. Such change would ensure the statutory requirement of biennial inspections at each Ohio prison is fulfilled, plus enable additional inspections where warranted. The original statutory language that remains intact specifies that the Committee may hire staff “to assist the Committee in carrying out its duties,” which specifically include the duties to inspect the facilities, evaluate operations and conditions, report findings in inspections and evaluations, and any proposals for improvements.

### **JAILS**

In addition to the mandate to inspect Ohio prisons, the original statute granted the Committee the authority to also inspect local correctional facilities. The authority, though not the mandate, to inspect city and county jails remains. Although such inspections have been extremely rare in CIIC history due in part to limited staff resources, they have occurred in response to reported concerns. Typically, the CIIC response to reported concerns from or regarding jails is to make inquiries to jail administrators. When warranted, inquiries or referrals are made to the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction’s Bureau of Adult Detention Facilities and Services, which established the Minimum Standards for Jails in Ohio. In spite of the Bureau’s function with respect to jails, CIIC members have expressed the desire for CIIC to retain its enabling authority to inspect jails when warranted.

### **JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES**

Effective April 29, 2005 the CIIC was granted similar enabling authority to inspect, evaluate and report findings regarding the juvenile correctional facilities. The new authority was preceded by widespread news media coverage of criminal prosecution and convictions of juvenile correctional officers for assault on juveniles. Juveniles relayed allegations of abuse by staff and by other youth, and neglect in medical and mental health care. Employees alleged that the facilities were sorely deficient in safety and security, affecting staff and juveniles alike. Through action taken by the Children’s Law Center, the Ohio Department of Youth Services faced major class action litigation. The Governor intervened by appointing a new Director of Youth Services, and the Legislature granted the CIIC the authority to inspect and evaluate juvenile correctional institutions.

**TRANSPARENCY**

In the Committee's early years, the prison system was clearly regarded as a "closed system." Not only was each prison fairly autonomous, but so also was the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction as a whole, including its Central Office. Outside of answering to the Governor as part of his executive branch, and responding to questions of the Legislature regarding their proposed budget, the only meaningful oversight seemed to stem from the Courts.

The experience of inspecting the juvenile institutions and interacting with the Department of Youth Services beginning in 2005 was very different than the CIIC experience in the late seventies with the Ohio prisons. The perception of the Committee appeared to be that it is a positive entity focused on assisting in the development of needed improvements for the benefit of all. Such a positive attitude is not new among the Department of Youth Services. From CIIC's early years and several times in the interim, the Director of Youth Services sought legislative support to authorize the CIIC or new legislative counterpart to inspect, evaluate and report on the juvenile correctional institutions.

**PROPOSED CHANGE**

The Department of Youth Services and CIIC staff have relayed the need for further legislation to ensure CIIC access to juvenile information necessary for inspection and evaluation purposes, without violating confidentiality laws.