



---

# **Northeast Ohio Correctional Center**

---

**August 22, 2014**

---

**Whitney Pesek,  
Report Coordinator**

---

**CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION INSPECTION COMMITTEE REPORT  
ON THE INSPECTION AND EVALUATION OF  
NORTHEAST OHIO CORRECTIONAL CENTER**

<b>Date of Inspection:</b>	August 22, 2014
<b>Type of Inspection:</b>	Announced
<b>Legislators/CIIC Staff Present:</b>	State Representative Robert Hagan Joanna E. Saul, CIIC Director Whitney Pesek, CIIC Juvenile Justice Fellow Jessica Valsi, LSC Fellow for the House Democratic Caucus Monica Cerrezuela, LSC Fellow for the Senate Democratic Caucus
<b>Facility Staff Present:</b>	Warden Michael Pugh  Several Federal Bureau of Prisons and Corrections Corporation of America staff were also present during the inspection.

### **Executive Summary**

Overall, CIIC's analysis of the incident is that the peaceful resolution of the incident is a credit to staff. The NEOCC incident resulted in no injuries to staff or inmates, it did not pose a threat to the community, and it was relatively quickly resolved. The Warden has taken some proactive measures to address the stated inmate concerns that prompted the protest. However, given the consistency of the inmate complaints spanning over years, CIIC recommends that NEOCC staff develop additional methods to address inmate concerns in order to prevent future incidents.

### **Institution Overview**

Northeast Ohio Correctional Center (NEOCC) is a privately owned<sup>1</sup> federal institution that houses "low" security male inmates for the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) and the United States Marshals Service (USMS).<sup>2i</sup>

NEOCC, constructed in 1997, is located on approximately 135 acres in Youngstown, Ohio and has a capacity for 2,016 inmates.<sup>ii</sup> As of September 11, 2014, NEOCC reported a total BOP population of 1,485 male inmates.<sup>iii</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> NEOCC is privately owned and operated by the Corrections Corporation of America.

<sup>2</sup> NEOCC also houses inmates from the Justice Prisoner and Alien Transportation System (JPATS) which is an agency managed by the USMS.

Demographically, 55.8 percent of the BOP population was classified as Hispanic, 32.5 percent as white, 8.6 percent as black, and 3.1 percent as of another race.<sup>iv</sup> Almost half the population is between the ages of 40 and 69.<sup>v</sup> The average length of stay for BOP inmates is 619 days.<sup>vi</sup>

During the inspection of NEOCC in 2013, staff relayed that 57 percent of the BOP inmates are from Mexico. Further, more than half of the BOP population at the time (58 percent) was serving a sentence based on illegal entry or re-entry offenses.

In the last CIIC inspection of NEOCC (July 2013), CIIC staff noted that one of the challenges of the facility is that it is built in a high security design, but houses low security inmates. The design of the facility at times impedes the ability of the facility to mirror similar low security facilities, which can negatively impact how the inmates feel about the prison accommodations.

CIIC staff also had a concern regarding staffing, which had been severely cut shortly prior to the CIIC inspection. A decrease in staffing has the potential to result in overwhelming the remaining staff and reduce their ability to effectively resolve inmate complaints. NEOCC staff relayed that they are currently in contract negotiations with the BOP and staff may be added back in the future.

Last, primary concerns relayed by inmates during the 2013 inspection were food and healthcare, which were also issues reported to have caused the incident. Inmates also relayed concerns regarding language and cultural barriers, and a fear that reporting concerns through the traditional means of the grievance procedure would result in retaliation.

### **Inspection Activities**

CIIC conducted an announced inspection of NEOCC following a peaceful protest on August 12 and the subsequent lockdown of the facility. CIIC staff initially met with Warden Pugh, who walked through the timeline of events leading up to, during, and after the protest, including video surveillance of the incident.

After meeting with Warden Pugh, Representative Hagan with CIIC and legislative staff visited the inmates being held in segregation because of the protest. Representative Hagan and the CIIC and legislative staff interviewed the inmates in segregation to evaluate the conditions of their confinement and to learn more about why the protest occurred, why they chose to participate, and what they hoped would be achieved by participating in the sit-in.

CIIC and legislative staff walked through the recreation area to get a firsthand view of where the incident had occurred.

CIIC and legislative staff ended the inspection with a close-out discussion with Warden Pugh.

**Incident Overview<sup>vii</sup>**

On August 12, 2014 at approximately 2:15 p.m., a group of about 40 inmates assembled in front of the gymnasium on Recreation Yard 3 with a total of 393 inmates on the recreation yard from Charlie and Delta Units. The group was composed primarily of Dominican inmates. When recreation staff spoke to the assembled group of inmates, they stated they would not leave the yard until they spoke to the Warden. The Chief of Security was notified and went to the recreation yard to speak to the inmates. The inmates advised that they were upset about facility food, medical and a specific Correctional Supervisor. While the Chief of Security was meeting with the inmates, multiple inmates from other nationalities and races began to gravitate towards the area where the others were assembled.

At approximately 2:40 p.m., the Chief of Security ordered Recreation Yard 3 to be cleared of all inmates at the direction of the Warden. Additional staff responded to the area to assist. All of the recreation sports equipment along with the larger ball field and gymnasium were secured. 248 inmates remained on the concrete basketball area in front of the gymnasium refusing to exit the yard.

At approximately 2:56 p.m., a facility recall was initiated. With the exception of the 248 inmates remaining on Recreation Yard 3, all of the other inmates complied and returned to their housing areas without incident.

At approximately 3:21 p.m., the Warden went to the recreation yard to speak with the inmates. The inmates provided five separate lists of concerns to the Warden. The list of concerns were related to food, commissary prices, laundry/frequency of clothing exchange, recreation hours/equipment, library schedule, medical care, and respect from staff (a specific Correctional Supervisor was named). The Warden informed the inmates he would not negotiate on the yard. If inmates returned to their respective housing units, he would meet with up to ten inmates Wednesday morning to discuss their concerns. The inmates stated that they would only comply with clearing the yard if the Warden agreed not to lock up their spokesperson and release an inmate from the Special Housing Unit (SHU) who was placed there earlier in the day. The Warden told them releasing the inmate from segregation was not negotiable. The inmates continued to refuse all requests to exit the yard.

At approximately 7:00 p.m., staff responded to the Recreation 3 courtyard fence line with less-than-lethal munitions, and were also posted on the roof. Inmates were given clear instructions in English and Spanish to clear the yard. However, only four inmates complied.

Staff developed an operations plan for clearing the yard, in conjunction with central office staff.

At approximately 2:00 a.m., staff initiated the operations plan. When the inmates were given a last chance opportunity to exit the recreation yard, an inmate spokesperson approached the exit door and requested to speak with the Warden.

When the Warden went to the yard at 2:15 a.m., the inmates indicated they wanted to voluntarily and peacefully return to their cells with the agreement the Warden would meet with them later in the day. The Warden agreed.

At approximately 2:42 a.m., the inmates began complying with staff orders to back up to the Recreation 3 hallway door in groups of five. The inmates were flex-cuffed, pat searched, photographed and escorted to their respective housing unit cells.

The last of the inmates were removed from the yard at approximately 4:01 a.m.

According to facility staff, at no time did any incidents of violence occur and the community was not in danger. All staff and inmates were accounted for.

Once the inmates returned to their cells, the facility was placed on lockdown as a precautionary measure while Warden Pugh and his staff conducted a full investigation. The inmates that were determined to be the initiators of the protest were then placed in segregation.

Warden Pugh reported on-site that his staff's investigation revealed that the protest was instigated by bad fruit served during lunch the day before,<sup>3</sup> and then a popular Dominican inmate's placement into segregation after a confrontation with staff at lunch on Tuesday, August 12. Warden Pugh also relayed that the Dominican population within NEOCC were the initial protestors, but then the Mexican inmates, finding common concerns with the Dominicans, also joined in the protest. Interviewed inmates relayed to CIIC and legislative staff that they did not personally want to participate in the protest, but that they could not leave due to other inmates.<sup>4</sup>

According to both staff and inmate reports, the incident was entirely spontaneous. CIIC's review of the recreation yard and the location of the incident supports a finding that the inmates did not intend to take control of the facility or to escape the facility.<sup>5</sup>

Overall, although no incident involving a large number of inmates is ever positive, the peaceful resolution is a credit to institutional staff.

---

<sup>3</sup> Warden Pugh reported that once staff were made aware of the spoiled oranges, they were immediately removed from the serving line.

<sup>4</sup> The interviewed inmates were not specific, but based on the interview, the implication was that the inmates belonged to the same ethnic group and that anyone leaving may have been subject to physical retaliation or social ostracization if they did not participate.

<sup>5</sup> The incident occurred on Recreation Yard 3, which is completely enclosed and does not border the street. Warden Pugh relayed that staff quickly secured the areas that may have prompted additional security concerns and that inmates were compliant with the directions.

## **Inmate Concerns Prompting the Incident**

The following presents the list of concerns relayed by the inmate during the incident:

### **(1) Food Quality**

Food satisfaction has consistently been a primary inmate concern at NEOCC during CIIC's inspections dating back to 2006.<sup>viii</sup> Both inmates and staff report that the NEOCC BOP menu caters to the Hispanic population only,<sup>6</sup> in addition to other concerns related to quality, quantity, and variety. In 2013, 83.2 percent of inmate survey respondents were "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied" with the quality of the meal. This level of dissatisfaction was significantly worse than the average responses from inmates on previous inspections.<sup>ix</sup>

On-site, inmates relayed concerns regarding the quality of food at NEOCC. More specifically, inmates reported they received beans and rice "everyday," that the cheese is "plastic,"<sup>7</sup> only "Mexican" food is offered, there is a need for more variety, that fruit is only offered two times a week and that the fruit served is poor quality (ex. "oranges are dry").

Warden Pugh relayed that staff were in the process of reviewing a new menu that would address the inmates' concerns, although it will still cater to the Hispanic population. He sent a budget request to CCA central office in order to implement the new menu, which was approved and will begin in 2015.

### **(2) Medical Care**

In addition to the food, medical care continues to be the other primary concern relayed by inmates dating back to 2006.<sup>8</sup> Since January 2014, the majority of letters to CIIC from NEOCC inmates have relayed concerns regarding medical care at the institution, particularly regarding inadequate/denial of treatment, including access to specialty consults.

On-site, an inmate relayed that "sick call" appointments cost \$2 and that they do not take care of your illness. An inmate relayed that it takes six months to get on the list for

---

<sup>6</sup> Staff have repeatedly stated that the menu caters to the Hispanic population because the majority of the BOP population is Hispanic.

<sup>7</sup> Inmates specifically alleged that they put the cheese in the microwave and it did not melt.

<sup>8</sup> In 2006, inmates reported that they were denied medical treatment and medical aids. In 2009, Medical comprised a large number of cited concerns to CIIC. Also in 2009, health care was the top reported concern to CIIC. In 2011, inmates relayed that the medical wait was too long, allegedly amounting to months, and medications were dispensed too infrequently. Also in 2011, Inmates reported that medical services were inadequate, deficient and unprofessional, with staff indifferent and slow to respond to medical needs. Inmates also replayed that requests to see a doctor at medical sick call takes two to three weeks to be scheduled. In 2013, inmates relayed that there were no mental health programs or group therapies conducted at the facility and a general lack of access to mental health services.

cavity filling and then wait another six to seven months to have it sealed. Another inmate stated that he came to NEOCC from another institution with an injury and NEOCC will not take care of it.

NEOCC staff stated that all inmates are timely seen and that they provide medical care that is comparable to the community. CIIC does not have access to individual inmates' medical records in order to independently evaluate this issue.

**(3) Recreation**

Inmates relayed that they do not receive enough open recreation. This concern may stem from the high security structure of the prison.<sup>9</sup> In the state system, for example, minimum security inmates are generally housed on a campus-style compound and they exit their housing units directly to a large recreation yard. At institutions with the low incident rates that NEOCC has, inmates generally have free access to come and go from the housing unit at all times other than count.<sup>10</sup>

During CIIC's conversation with staff, Warden Pugh relayed that he was aware that the inmates wanted to all recreate together. However, he felt that the mixing of the populations all at one time was a security concern. Warden Pugh reported that he does not plan to implement any changes in recreation at this time.

Warden Pugh provided the recreation schedule for August, reproduced below (also available in the Appendix):

<b>Recreation Yard 3</b>					
	<b>8:10 am – 10:15 am</b>	<b>10:25 am – 12:40 pm</b>	<b>2:10 pm – 3:25 pm</b>	<b>4:30 pm – 6:30 pm</b>	<b>7:05 pm – 9:30 pm</b>
<b>Sunday</b>	D	B	C	D	B
<b>Monday</b>	C,B	D	B,D	C	D
<b>Tuesday</b>	B,D	C	D,C	B	C
<b>Wednesday</b>	D,C	B	C,B	D	B
<b>Thursday</b>	C,B	D	B,D	C	D
<b>Friday</b>	B,D	C	D,C	B	C
<b>Saturday</b>	D	B	C	D	B

<sup>9</sup> NEOCC is an all-enclosed building with several smaller recreation yards and a gym. NEOCC has many counts, including an additional count over what regular BOP prisons would have. This necessarily requires recreation to be closed more times and there is additional time as inmates have to return to their housing units.

<sup>10</sup> In addition, NEOCC does not allow for all inmates to recreate together, but rather only certain housing units at certain times, which further restricts access to recreation.

Recreation Yard 2					
	8:10 am – 10:15 am	CLOSED	2:10 pm – 3:25 pm	CLOSED	7:05 pm – 9:30 pm
<b>Sunday</b>	B		D		C
<b>Monday</b>	D		C		B
<b>Tuesday</b>	C		B		D
<b>Wednesday</b>	B		D		C
<b>Thursday</b>	D		C		B
<b>Friday</b>	C		B		D
<b>Saturday</b>	B		D		C

Analysis of this recreation schedule by CIIC staff indicates that the amount of recreation time is in line with DRC medium security facilities, although it is less than the institutions with extremely low numbers of incidents similar to NEOCC (which generally have completely open recreation with the exception of count).

CIIC staff recognize that recreation times and evaluation of security concerns is within the discretion of the Warden and operational staff. However, given the extremely low violence incident rate at NEOCC and the fact that minimum security institutions in the state system have successfully allowed recreation for the entire population, CIIC recommends future re-evaluation by facility staff.

**(4) Laundry**

This issue was not independently brought up by inmates to CIIC and legislative staff during the site visit. However, Warden Pugh relayed that the concern was in regard to the quality of the laundry – some inmates would receive clean clothes back from the laundry, and others would receive damp and still-dirty clothes. The Warden relayed that a staff investigation found that the inmate laundry workers were using their positions to extort other inmates. If an inmate paid the laundry worker with commissary items (such as sausages), the laundry workers would clean the clothes well. If inmates did not pay, they would receive their clothes back damp and dirty.

During CIIC’s conversation with staff, Warden Pugh relayed that NEOCC staff have taken steps to remedy the issue. As a result of the investigation, the identified inmate extorters were removed from their positions. He is also considering rotating the inmate laundry staff every six months to inhibit inmates from using their job for extortion.

**(5) Commissary Prices**

Increases in commissary prices have been a concern for inmates at NEOCC during CIIC’s inspections dating back to 2006.<sup>x</sup> In 2011, inmates relayed that the commissary prices are too high, especially in relation to the pay they receive.<sup>xi</sup>

On-site, inmates reported that commissary prices are too expensive and that they are frequently raised. Inmates relayed that commissary prices were raised twice in the past



year alone, which prompted consideration of the inmates to boycott the commissary. This boycott was suppressed by facility staff, who reportedly threatened to send any inmate to segregation who engaged in the boycott. Inmates also reported that for every \$10 deposited into their inmate account \$0.75 is taken out for taxes.

CIIC conducted a comparison of commissary prices from NEOCC, BOP institutions at Elkton and Milan, and a state prison, the Ohio State Penitentiary (OSP), which is a supermax facility also located in Youngstown. All institutions were chosen due to their close geographical proximity.

Item	NEOCC (CCA)	FCI Elkton/Milan	OSP (DRC)
Creamy Peanut Butter	4.00	2.75	2.65
AA Batteries (4 pack)	3.00	2.35	2.15
Ramen (Chicken)	.40	.30	.28
Block Velveeta Cheese/Cheese Squeeze	4.15	1.65	2.64
Light Tuna	2.60	1.60	1.76
Beef Summer Sausage	2.45	1.90	3.43
Mayonnaise	4.20	3.40	2.75
100% Columbian Coffee	4.15	3.05	2.56
Sony Digital AM/FM Radio	58.55	44.10	
Koss Clear Earbuds	5.00	4.70	3.91
Hot Chocolate	2.40	1.70	1.51
Snickers	1.10	.80	.73
Ibuprofen	2.85	2.70	2.10
Ice Cream Pint	3.00	2.40	2.27
Sweat Shirt (up to size XL)	17.55	15.50	9.43
Raisin Bran	4.75	3.65	3.17
Band-Aids	1.05	1.85	.74
Instant Variety Oatmeal	3.45	2.70	2.42

NEOCC staff relayed that they had conducted a comparison of commissary prices and that they were in line with other federal facilities.<sup>11</sup> NEOCC staff also recently approved

<sup>11</sup> NEOCC staff relayed the following response to CIIC’s concern regarding commissary prices: “Our commissary products are similar to BOP prices on average, however individual items may be different. It is also true that each BOP facility can have a different price for a given commodity depending on their size and needs of the inmate population. For this reason it is not possible for us to match BOP pricing at individual BOP facilities for each commodity. We also understand it is not uncommon for inmates moving from one BOP facility to another BOP facility to complain because a favorite commodity they enjoy buying is priced higher at the new BOP facility. We also experience this at times with inmates coming into our CCA facilities. We do offer our inmates a menu of commissary products at a fair and competitive value. The fact that inmates have to pay taxes at our facility also contributes to the feeling of higher commodity prices, triggering complaints.”

a ten percent reduction on 11 commissary items.<sup>12</sup> Last, NEOCC has a “commissary committee” that includes inmate representatives from all of the housing units,<sup>13</sup> which the Warden also reportedly attends in order to listen to and respond to inmate complaints.

CIIC staff encourage NEOCC staff to continue to review this issue.

### **(6) Staff-Inmate Interactions/Inmate Grievance Procedure**

Over the years, NEOCC inmates have alleged that staff have threatened them that if the inmates made any complaints, staff would place them in segregation. They were concerned that reporting concerns would result in an increase in security classification and transfer to a higher security prison. While on-site, inmates relayed that they believed that staff threw away grievances and complaints. They stated that the sit-in was the only way to voice their concerns effectively. Language and cultural barriers potentially impact staff/inmate interactions.<sup>14</sup>

Inmates’ lack of faith in the official process of the grievance procedure is very concerning, as it may lead inmates to act out through other means. As earlier discussed, a group of the inmates discussed boycotting the commissary earlier in the year in an effort to protest the commissary prices. The current protest was a larger demonstration, although still peaceful.

Warden Pugh relayed that he fully investigates any and all complaints regarding staff and that staff are very aware that he will not tolerate any misconduct or mistreatment. He stated that inmates feel comfortable with addressing concerns with him, but that he cannot always do what the inmates want due to security, operational, management and other reasons, some of which may not be understood by the inmate population.

CIIC staff commend the Warden for his open attitude toward inmate complaints. Inmates on-site also relayed that they could speak to the Warden; however, they did not feel that he would make the requested changes.<sup>15</sup> CIIC staff are concerned that there

---

<sup>12</sup> The items include Keefe 100% Columbian Coffee, Caso Pork Craklins Hot, Hershey Milk Chocolate, Snickers, Jack Link Turkey Sausage, 5 oz Regular Sausage, 5 oz Hot Sausage, Brushy Creek Chili Beans, Keefe Pk PreCooked Rice, Pro-Tection Shave Cream, and White 8 ½ x 11 pad.

<sup>13</sup> Staff described the commissary committee as follows: “The inmates bring forth concerns and ideas, they select which items should be added or removed from the list and they select Holiday items. The commissary staff also bring forth their concerns to inmates such as an increase in bubble sheets not being completed accurately and items that will be discontinued. The committee is an opportunity for two way communication in an organized meeting setting between inmates and staff. The Warden attends the meetings and answers any questions the inmates have.”

<sup>14</sup> As stated above, the BOP population is primarily composed of foreign citizens, many of whom illegally entered the United States. Most of the inmates do not speak English. At least one inmate voiced a concern on-site that he felt that they were mistreated because they were illegal immigrants.

<sup>15</sup> NEOCC staff relayed that “the Warden responds to all concerns timely, however, it may not be the desired response.”

may be additional demonstrations or protests in the future if the inmates do not feel that they are effectively heard through current communication channels.

### **(7) Library Schedule**

The last item on the inmates' list of complaints pertained to the library and particularly access to the law library.

This concern is also not new to CIIC. In the 2011 CIIC inspection, inmates relayed that the library and law library are inadequate in space, computers, typewriters, and photocopiers to meet the needs of the number of inmates who need to use these items. Inmates also reported inadequate legal and library assistance, and lack of privacy regarding the copying of legal materials.<sup>xii</sup>

In the 2013 inspection, CIIC staff evaluated access to the library, and found that total hours of operation for the NEOCC library were significantly higher than the DRC average.<sup>16</sup> However, it was also noted that the per capita rate of materials was significantly lower than the DRC average.<sup>17</sup>

Warden Pugh relayed that the library schedule is comparable to other institutions and that if an inmate has an upcoming court deadline, he is permitted additional time in the library. Warden Pugh provided the current library schedule to CIIC; CIIC's analysis confirms that the total number of hours of operation are in line with DRC facilities.

### **Conclusion**

Overall, the NEOCC incident resulted in no injuries to staff or inmates, it did not pose a threat to the community, and it was relatively quickly resolved. Staff responded in a responsible manner and did not deploy any weapons, including OC spray, which is remarkable. The Warden, in particular, demonstrated courage under fire by voluntarily going onto the recreation yard several times over a number of hours in order to negotiate with the inmates and defuse the situation. Without question, the incident was a "success" in terms of how staff handled it and the resolution.

In addition, the Warden and NEOCC staff have taken action where a definite problem was identified, such as the extortion by the inmate laundry workers. This is commendable and will hopefully build trust with the inmates. In addition, the Warden has implemented a new menu and lowered prices on some commissary items.

However, CIIC staff have concerns regarding whether there will be a future disturbance if inmates do not feel that their concerns have been resolved and if they do not trust

---

<sup>16</sup> Total hours of the NEOCC BOP library operation are 71 hours per week or 280 hours per month, which is 55 percent higher than the state system institutional library monthly average of 180.6 hours per month.

<sup>17</sup> Per capita rate of materials available is 2.6 in the BOP library, which is significantly lower than the state system per capita average of 9.5 items per inmate.

official processes. CIIC staff encourage NEOCC staff to be proactive and to work on this issue.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY**

- Develop additional methods for inmates to air and resolve complaints. Consider involving inmates and staff in the development of these methods. Build in a component that would assure inmates that they will not be retaliated against for voicing complaints.
- Consider including menu items that reflect other nationalities and/or having greater variety in the menu rotation.
- Consider evaluating inmate concerns regarding the timeliness of medical care and access to outside specialists.
- Consider opening up recreation for a trial period, particularly given the low incident rate.
- Consider re-evaluating commissary prices and methods to reduce costs for the inmate population.

APPENDIX

Inmate Bulletin



Bulletin No: NEOCC-2014-7-34  
 Date of Issue: July 31, 2014  
 SUBJECT: August-Rotating Recreation Yard Schedule


Recreation Yard 3					
	8:10 am-10:15 am	10:25 am-12:40 pm	2:10 pm-3:25 pm	4:30 pm-6:30 pm	7:05 pm-9:30 pm
Sunday	D	B	C	D	B
Monday	C,B*	D	B,D*	C	D
Tuesday	B,D*	C	D,C*	B	C
Wednesday	D,C*	B	C,B*	D	B
Thursday	C,B*	D	B,D*	C	D
Friday	B,D*	C	D,C*	B	C
Saturday	D	B	C	D	B

\*The second units will not be called when the building is running on a holiday schedules.

Recreation Yard 2					
	8:10 am-10:15 am	CLOSED	2:10 pm-3:25 pm	CLOSED	7:05 pm-9:30 pm
Sunday	B		D		C
Monday	D		C		B
Tuesday	C		B		D
Wednesday	B		D		C
Thursday	D		C		B
Friday	C		B		D
Saturday	B		D		C

Leisure Time (1930-2130) 7:30pm-9:30pm						
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
D	C	B	D	C	B	D

Meal Rotation			
	Breakfast	Lunch	Dinner
Sunday	D,B,C	B,D,C	D,C,B
Monday	C,D,B	D,C,B	C,B,D
Tuesday	B,C,D	C,B,D	B,D,C
Wednesday	D,B,C	B,D,C	D,C,B
Thursday	C,D,B	D,C,B	C,B,D
Friday	B,C,D	C,B,D	B,D,C
Saturday	D,B,C	B,D,C	D,C,B

//s// Michael Pugh   
 Michael Pugh, Warden July 31, 2014

Distribution: Unit Bulletin Board, Town Hall Meeting, LAN, Captains' Clipboard, Executive Staff, and BOP Oversight Staff

**Inmate Bulletin**



**Bulletin No: NEOCC-2014-6-32**  
**Date of Issue: June 19, 2014**  
**Subject: BOP Library Schedule**

Effective: June 29, 2014 through September 27, 2014 (Junio 29, 2014 – Septiembre 27, 2014)

MORNING/ MANANA	SUNDAY/ DOMINGO	MONDAY/ LUNES	TUESDAY/ MARTES	WEDNESDAY/ MIERCOLES	THURSDAY/ JUEVES	FRIDAY/ VIERNES	SATURDAY/ SABADO
07:50-1125	D	B	C	D	B	C	D
AFTERNOON/ TARDE							
1340-1530	B	C	D	B	C	D	B
EVENENING/ NOCHE							
16:30-1845	Closed/Cerrado	Closed/Cerrado	Closed/Cerrado	C	D	B	Closed/Cerrado
1905-20:30	Closed/Cerrado	Closed/Cerrado	Closed/Cerrado	OPEN	OPEN	OPEN	Closed/Cerrado

*//s// Michael Pugh*  
 Michael Pugh, Warden June 19, 2014

Distribution: Staff Bulletin Board, LAN, Captains' Clipboard, Executive Staff, BOP Oversight Staff

## ENDNOTES

---

<sup>i</sup> Northeast Ohio Correctional Center facility profile, Corrections Corporation of America website, Accessed by: <http://cca.com/facilities/northeast-ohio-correctional-center>

<sup>ii</sup> Northeast Ohio Correctional Center facility profile, Corrections Corporation of America website, Accessed by: <http://cca.com/facilities/northeast-ohio-correctional-center>

<sup>iii</sup> Northeast Ohio Correctional Center information provided on September 13, 2014.

<sup>iv</sup> Ibid.

<sup>v</sup> Ibid.

<sup>vi</sup> Ibid.

<sup>vii</sup> Incident report provided by Northeast Ohio Correctional Center.

<sup>viii</sup> Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Inspection Report, April 2006. Accessed by: [http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast\\_ohio\\_correctional\\_center\\_2006.pdf](http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast_ohio_correctional_center_2006.pdf)

<sup>ix</sup> Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Inspection Report, July 2013. Accessed by: [http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast\\_ohio\\_correctional\\_center\\_2013.pdf](http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast_ohio_correctional_center_2013.pdf)

<sup>x</sup> Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Inspection Report, April 2006. Accessed by: [http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast\\_ohio\\_correctional\\_center\\_2006.pdf](http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast_ohio_correctional_center_2006.pdf)

<sup>xi</sup> Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Inspection Report, February 2011. Accessed by:

[http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast\\_ohio\\_correctional\\_center\\_2011.pdf](http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast_ohio_correctional_center_2011.pdf)

<sup>xii</sup> Correctional Institution Inspection Committee, Northeast Ohio Correctional Center Inspection Report, February 2011. Accessed by:

[http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast\\_ohio\\_correctional\\_center\\_2011.pdf](http://www.ciic.state.oh.us/docs/northeast_ohio_correctional_center_2011.pdf)